

# NOTES ON MEASURABLE COBOUNDARIES

*Konstantin Athanassopoulos*

*Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, University of Crete, GR-70013  
University Campus, Voutes-Iraklion, Greece*

## 1 Preliminaries from Harmonic Analysis

Let  $(G, +)$  be an abelian group. A function  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is said to be positive definite if

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^n \lambda_j \bar{\lambda}_k f(x_j - x_k) \geq 0$$

for every  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in G$ ,  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$  and every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Some basic properties of the positive definite functions are summarized in the following.

**Proposition 1.1.** *If  $G$  is an abelian group and  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a positive definite function, then*

- (a)  $f(0) \geq 0$ ,
- (b)  $\overline{f(x)} = f(-x)$ ,
- (c)  $|f(x)| \leq f(0)$  for every  $x \in G$ , and
- (d)  $|f(x) - f(y)|^2 \leq 2f(0)[f(0) - \operatorname{Re}f(x - y)]$  for every  $x, y \in G$ .
- (e)  $|f(x + y) - f(y)|^2 \leq 2f(0)[f(0) - \operatorname{Re}f(x)]$  for every  $x, y \in G$ .

*Proof.* To prove (a) it suffices to take  $n = 1$  and  $x_1 = 0$ ,  $\lambda_1 = 1$ . The second property (b) follows from the elementary observation that if  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  are such that  $az + b\bar{z} \in \mathbb{R}$  for every  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , then  $a = \bar{b}$ . Indeed, if we take  $n = 2$ ,  $x_1 = x$ ,  $x_2 = 0$ , then

$$(|\lambda_1|^2 + |\lambda_2|^2)f(0) + \lambda_1 \bar{\lambda}_2 f(x) + \bar{\lambda}_1 \lambda_2 f(-x) \geq 0$$

and in particular  $\lambda_1 \bar{\lambda}_2 f(x) + \bar{\lambda}_1 \lambda_2 f(-x) \in \mathbb{R}$  for every  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ . Taking the values

$$\lambda_1 = 1, \quad \lambda_2 = -\frac{|f(x)|}{f(x)}$$

in the above inequality yields (c), since if  $f(x) = 0$ , there is nothing to prove by (a). Property (d) is trivial if  $f(x) - f(y) = 0$ . Otherwise, we take  $n = 3$ ,  $x_1 = 0$ ,  $x_2 = x$ ,  $x_3 = y$  and then

$$\begin{aligned} & (|\lambda_1|^2 + |\lambda_2|^2 + |\lambda_3|^2)f(0) + \bar{\lambda}_1 \lambda_2 f(x) + \lambda_1 \bar{\lambda}_2 \overline{f(x)} + \bar{\lambda}_1 \lambda_3 f(y) + \lambda_1 \bar{\lambda}_3 \overline{f(y)} \\ & + \lambda_2 \bar{\lambda}_3 f(x - y) + \bar{\lambda}_2 \lambda_3 \overline{f(x - y)} \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

for every  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ , by (b). Taking the special values

$$\lambda_1 = 1, \quad \lambda_2 = t \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{f(x) - f(y)}, t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \lambda_3 = -\lambda_2,$$

we get

$$2[f(0) - \operatorname{Re}f(x-y)]t^2 + 2|f(x) - f(y)|t + f(0) \geq 0$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , and thus necessarily

$$4|f(x) - f(y)|^2 - 8f(0)[f(0) - \operatorname{Re}f(x-y)] \leq 0.$$

The last property (e) is a restatement of (d).  $\square$

**Corollary 1.2.** *If  $G$  is an abelian group and  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a positive definite function, then  $G_0 = \{x \in G : f(x) = f(0)\}$  is a subgroup of  $G$  and  $f$  is constant on each of its cosets in  $G$ .  $\square$*

**Corollary 1.3.** *If a positive definite function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is continuous at 0, then it is uniformly continuous.  $\square$*

**Examples 1.4.** (i) A trivial example of a positive definite function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is  $f(0) = 1$  and  $f(x) = 0$  for  $x \neq 0$ .

(ii) The cosine  $\cos : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$  is a positive definite function because

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^n \lambda_j \bar{\lambda}_k \cos(x_j - x_k) = \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \cos x_j \right|^2 + \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \sin x_j \right|^2 \geq 0.$$

(iii) For every  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$  the function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by  $f(x) = e^{i\xi x}$  is positive definite since

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^n \lambda_j \bar{\lambda}_k e^{i\xi(x_j - x_k)} = \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j e^{i\xi x_j} \right|^2 \geq 0.$$

(iv) Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space and let  $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  be a unitary operator. For every  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  the double sequence  $a_n = \langle U^n(x), x \rangle$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , is positive definite, since

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^n \lambda_j \bar{\lambda}_k a_{j-k} = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j U^j(x) \right\|^2 \geq 0$$

for every  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$  and every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(v) Let  $\mu$  be a non-negative finite Borel measure on  $S^1$  and let

$$a_n = \int_{S^1} z^n d\mu, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

be the double sequence of its Fourier coefficients. The so defined function  $a : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is positive definite, because

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^n \lambda_j \bar{\lambda}_k \int_{S^1} z^{j-k} d\mu = \int_{S^1} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k z^k \right|^2 d\mu \geq 0.$$

(vi) Let  $\mu$  be a non-negative finite Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ . The Fourier-Stieltjes transform  $\hat{\mu}$  of  $\mu$  is the positive definite function

$$\hat{\mu}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\xi x} d\mu(x), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R},$$

since

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^n \lambda_j \bar{\lambda}_k \hat{\mu}(\xi_j - \xi_k) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k e^{-i\xi_k x} \right|^2 d\mu(x) \geq 0.$$

The last two examples are the easy parts of the following two famous theorems of Harmonic Analysis, whose proofs can be found in [4]. The first one is due to G. Herglotz and its continuous version is due to S. Bochner.

**Theorem 1.5.** (Herglotz) *A double sequence  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  of complex numbers is positive definite if and only if there exists a non-negative finite Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $S^1$  such that*

$$a_n = \int_{S^1} z^n d\mu, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad \square$$

**Theorem 1.6.** (Bochner) *For a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  *$f$  is positive definite and continuous.*
- (ii)  *$f$  is the Fourier-Stieltjes transform of a non-negative finite Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ , that is*

$$f(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\xi x} d\mu(x), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}. \quad \square$$

If  $f : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is in  $L^1$  (with respect to Lebesgue measure), then  $f$  is said to be positive definite in the integral sense if

$$\iint_{S^1 \times S^1} f(x\bar{y}) \overline{u(x)} u(y) dx dy \geq 0$$

for every continuous function  $u : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . This implies that  $\hat{f} \geq 0$ . The following characterization is proved in [2].

**Proposition 1.7.** *A continuous function  $f : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is positive definite in the integral sense if and only if it is positive definite.  $\square$*

A positive definite  $L^1$  (locally bounded) function can be reconstructed from its Fourier transform according to the following theorem of S. Bochner whose proof can be found in [2].

**Theorem 1.8.** (Bochner) *If the  $L^1$  function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is positive definite and essentially bounded in some neighbourhood of  $1 \in S^1$ , then*

$$f(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(n) z^n$$

for almost every  $z \in S^1$ , so that  $f$  is equal almost everywhere to a continuous positive definite function.  $\square$

In the above we take  $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$  and a function  $f : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  can be considered as a  $2\pi$ -periodic function of a real variable. In the general real variable case, a bounded measurable function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is said to be positive definite in the integral sense if

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x-y) u(x) \overline{u(y)} dx dy \geq 0$$

for every  $u \in L^1$  (with respect to Lebesgue measure). A continuous positive definite function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is positive definite in the integral sense. This follows from Bochner's theorem, but it can also be proved directly although not so easily. Bochner's theorem was generalized by F. Riesz in [5] as follows.

**Theorem 1.9.** *If a bounded measurable function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is positive definite in the integral sense, then there exists a non-negative, finite Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$f(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\xi x} d\mu(x)$$

for almost every  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.10.** *A bounded measurable and positive definite function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is equal almost everywhere to a continuous positive definite function.  $\square$ .*

## 2 Distributions of multiplicative cocycles

Let  $X$  be a compact metric space and let  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of points of  $X$ . A Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $X$  is called the distribution of this sequence if

$$\int_X \phi d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \phi(a_k)$$

for every  $\phi \in C(X)$ . Then  $\mu$  is necessarily positive and a probability measure on  $X$ . As it is expected, most sequences in  $X$  have no distribution.

Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu, T)$  be a measurable dynamical system, that is  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  is a measurable space,  $\mu$  is a probability measure on the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is an invertible bimeasurable map. A multiplicative cocycle is a function  $F : \mathbb{Z} \times X \rightarrow S^1$  such that  $F(n, \cdot) : X \rightarrow S^1$  is measurable for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and

$$F(m+n, x) = F(m, x)F(n, T^m(x))$$

for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in X$  and every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The cocycle  $F$  is called a coboundary if there exists a measurable function  $q : X \rightarrow S^1$  such that

$$F(n, x) = \frac{q(T^n(x))}{q(x)}$$

for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in X$  and every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

From a multiplicative cocycle  $F$  as above we can define a measurable dynamical systems  $S : S^1 \times X \rightarrow S^1 \times X$  by

$$S(z, x) = (zF(1, x), T(x))$$

which is called the corresponding skew product of  $F$ . Inductively,

$$S^n(z, x) = (zF(n, x), T^n(x))$$

for every  $(z, x) \in S^1 \times X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . It is obvious that  $S$  is a bimeasurable bijection and preserves the product probability measure  $\frac{1}{2\pi}dz \times \mu$  on  $S^1 \times X$ . Indeed, if  $U \subset S^1$  is a Borel set and  $V \in \mathcal{A}$ , from Fubini's theorem we get

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{S^1 \times X} (\chi_{U \times V} \circ S) dz d\mu &= \iint_{S^1 \times X} \chi_U(zF(1, x)) \chi_V(T(x)) dz d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_X \left( \int_{S^1} \chi_U(zF(1, x)) dz \right) \chi_V(T(x)) d\mu(x) = \int_X \left( \int_{S^1} \chi_U(z) dz \right) \chi_V(T(x)) d\mu(x) \\ &= \left( \int_{S^1} \chi_U(z) dz \right) \cdot \left( \int_X \chi_V d\mu \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the corresponding skew product  $S$  we can show that the multiplicative cocycle  $F$  is uniquely determined by the measurable function  $F(1, \cdot) : X \rightarrow S^1$ , since  $F(0, x) = 1$  and inductively

$$F(n, x) = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} F(1, T^k(x))$$

for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Conversely, if  $f : X \rightarrow S^1$  is a measurable function, then the formula

$$F(n, x) = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} f(T^k(x))$$

defines a multiplicative cocycle. To see this, we consider the skew product transformation  $S(z, x) = (zf(x), T(x))$ , so that  $S^n(z, x) = (zF(n, x), T^n(x))$ , and now the formula  $S^{n+m} = S^n \circ S^m$  yields the cocycle condition for  $F$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *If  $F$  is a multiplicative cocycle for  $T$ , then for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in X$  the sequence  $(F(n, x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $S^1$  has a distribution.*

*Proof.* Firstly we observe that the Ergodic Theorem applied to  $f(z, x) = z$  gives that the limit

$$f^*(z, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(S^k(z, x)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} zF(k, x)$$

exists for almost every  $(z, x) \in S^1 \times X$  and hence the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n F(k, x)$$

exists for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in X$ .

Since for every  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  the function  $F^m$  is again a multiplicative cocycle, the above observation implies that the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (F(k, x))^m$$

exists for every  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in X$ . For each fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in X$  the double sequence  $((F(n, x))^m)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is positive definite, because for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N \in \mathbb{C}$  we have

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^N \lambda_j \bar{\lambda}_k (F(n, x))^{j-k} = \left| \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j (F(n, x))^j \right|^2 \geq 0.$$

Thus, if  $x \in X$  is a point for which the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (F(k, x))^m$$

exists for every  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then the sequence

$$\left( \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (F(k, x))^m \right)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

is positive definite. It follows now from Theorem 1.5 that there exists a non-negative finite Borel measure  $\nu_x$  on  $S^1$  such that

$$\int_{S^1} z^m d\nu_x(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (F(k, x))^m$$

for all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This means that  $\nu_x$  is a distribution of the sequence  $(F(n, x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of points of  $S^1$ .  $\square$

### 3 Schmidt's criterion for additive cocycles

An additive cocycle with respect to a measurable dynamical system  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu, T)$  is a function  $A : \mathbb{Z} \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $A(n, \cdot) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is measurable for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and

$$A(m+n, x) = A(m, x) + A(n, T^m(x))$$

for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in X$  and every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The cocycle  $a$  is called a coboundary if there exists a measurable function  $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$A(n, x) = u(T^n(x)) - u(x)$$

for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in X$  and every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

An additive cocycle  $A$  is determined by the measurable function  $f = A(1, \cdot) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , because  $A(0, x) = 0$  and

$$A(n, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A(1, T^k(x)) = S_n f(x)$$

for every  $x \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . A similar formula holds for negative integers. More precisely,

$$A(-n, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A(-1, T^{-k}(x))$$

and  $A(1, x) + A(-1, T(x)) = A(1 - 1, x) = 0$ , which means that  $A(-1, x) = A(1, T^{-1}(x))$  for all  $x \in X$ . Hence

$$A(-n, x) = \sum_{k=1}^n f(T^{-k}(x))$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in X$ .

Obviously, the additive cocycle  $A$  is a coboundary if and only if there exists a measurable function  $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(x) = A(1, x) = u(T(x)) - u(x)$  for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in X$ . We shall make no distinction between  $f$  and the corresponding cocycle  $A(n, x) = S_n f(x)$ . A necessary condition for an integrable function to be a coboundary is the following ([1]).

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu, T)$  be a measurable dynamical system and  $f \in L^1(\mu)$ . If  $f$  is a coboundary, then*

$$\int_X f d\mu = 0.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\int_X f d\mu > \epsilon > 0$  and  $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a measurable function such that  $f(x) = u(T(x)) - u(x)$  for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in X$ . If

$$M = \{x \in X : \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} S_n f(x) > \epsilon\}.$$

Then,  $M \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mu(M) > \epsilon$  from the Ergodic Theorem. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we put

$$M_n = \bigcap_{m=n}^{\infty} \{x \in X : \frac{1}{m} S_m f(x) > \epsilon\}.$$

Obviously,  $M_n \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $M \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n$ . Thus, there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mu(M_n) > 0$ .

If  $M_{n,l} = M_n \cap u^{-1}([l, l + 1))$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $M_n = \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{n,l}$  and so there exists  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\mu(M_{n,l}) > 0$ . By Poincaré Recurrence, there exist infinitely many  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\mu(T^m(M_{n,l}) \cap M_{n,l}) = \mu(M_{n,l}) > 0.$$

We pick such a  $m$  large enough so that  $\frac{1}{m} < \epsilon$ . Thus, there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $u(x), u(T^m(x)) \in [l, l + 1)$  and

$$\frac{1}{m}(u(T^m(x)) - u(x)) > \epsilon.$$

This means that  $|u(x) - u(T^m(x))| < 1$  and at the same time  $u(T^m(x)) - u(x) > m\epsilon > 1$ . This contradiction proves the assertion.  $\square$

Let  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of real numbers. A non-negative finite Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  is said to be the distribution of the sequence if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\xi x} d\mu(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n e^{-i\xi a_k}$$

for almost all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$  (with respect to the Lebesgue measure). Unlike the compact case, the distribution of a real sequence may be the zero measure.

**Example 3.2.** Let  $a_n = n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$  is such that  $\frac{\xi}{2\pi}$  is irrational, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n e^{-i\xi k} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-it} dt = 0.$$

This implies that the sequence does have a distribution, but it is the zero measure.

Suppose that the limit of the right hand side exists for almost all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then, the so defined function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is bounded, measurable and positive definite in the integral sense, because

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x-y)u(x)\overline{u(y)}dxdy = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |\hat{u}(a_k)|^2 \geq 0$$

for every  $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . It follows from Theorem 1.9 that there exists a non-negative, finite Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\xi x} d\mu(x) = f(\xi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n e^{-i\xi a_k}$$

for almost all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ . Moreover, for every  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , if we multiply and integrate, from Fubini's theorem and dominated convergence we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{g} d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \hat{g}(a_k).$$

Since the image of the Fourier transform is uniformly dense in  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ , we conclude that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} h d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n h(a_k)$$

for every  $h \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *For every measurable function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the sequence  $(S_n f(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has a distribution for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in X$ .*

*Proof.* For each  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$  the function  $F_\xi : \mathbb{Z} \times X \rightarrow S^1$  defined by  $F_\xi(n, x) = e^{-i\xi S_n f(x)}$  is a multiplicative cocycle. By Theorem 2.1, for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in X$  the sequence  $(F_\xi(n, x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has a distribution. In particular, for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in X$  the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n e^{-i\xi S_k f(x)}$$

exists. Let

$$E = \{(\xi, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times X : \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n e^{-i\xi S_k f(x)} \text{ does not exist}\}.$$



If  $E_x = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R} : (\xi, x) \in E\}$  and  $E_\xi = \{x \in X : (\xi, x) \in E\}$ , from Fubini's theorem we have

$$\int_X \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{E_x}(\xi) d\xi \right) d\mu = \iint_{\mathbb{R} \times X} \chi_E d\xi d\mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \int_X \chi_{E_\xi}(x) d\mu \right) d\xi = 0.$$

Therefore, there exists a measurable set  $M \subset X$  with  $\mu(M) = 1$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{E_x}(\xi) d\xi = 0$$

for all  $x \in M$ . Thus, for every  $x \in M$  we have  $\chi_E(\xi, x) = \chi_{E_x}(\xi) = 0$  for almost every  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ . In other words, for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in X$  the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n e^{-i\xi S_k f(x)}$$

exists for almost every  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ . From the preceding considerations, this implies the conclusion.  $\square$

The above theorem says that for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in X$  there exists a non-negative finite Borel measure  $\mu_x$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} g d\mu_x = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n g(S_k f(x))$$

for every  $g \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ . Since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} g d\mu_{T(x)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n g(S_{k+1} f(x) - f(x))$$

or equivalently

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t + f(x)) d\mu_{T(x)}(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n g(S_{k+1} f(x)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t) d\mu_x(t)$$

for every  $g \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ , it follows that  $\mu_{T(x)}(A - f(x)) = \mu_x(A)$  for every Borel set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ . In particular,  $\mu_{T(x)}(\mathbb{R}) = \mu_x(\mathbb{R})$  and  $T^{-1}(\{x \in X : \mu_x = 0\}) = \{x \in X : \mu_x = 0\}$ . This implies that if  $T$  is ergodic, then either  $\mu_x = 0$  for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in X$  or  $\mu_x \neq 0$  for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in X$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** *A measurable function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a coboundary if and only if  $\mu_x \neq 0$  for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in X$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that there exists a measurable function  $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f = u - u \circ T$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere on  $X$ . For convenience we put

$$h(\xi, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n e^{-i\xi S_k f(x)}.$$

We know that for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in X$  the limit  $h(\xi, x)$  exists for almost every  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ . Obviously,

$$h(\xi, x) = e^{-i\xi u(x)} \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n e^{i\xi u(T^k(x))}.$$

Let  $G = \{x \in X : h(\xi, x) = 0 \text{ for almost all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . An easy computation shows that  $h(\xi, T(x)) = e^{-i\xi f(x)} h(\xi, x)$ , which implies that  $T(G) = G$ . If now  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  is such that  $\hat{g} > 0$ , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \hat{g}(u(T^k(x))) = 0$$

for all  $x \in G$  and integrating over  $G$  we arrive at

$$\int_G (\hat{g} \circ u) d\mu = 0.$$

This means that necessarily  $\mu(G) = 0$ .

Conversely, if  $\mu_x \neq 0$  for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in X$ , then  $\mu_{T(x)}(\mathbb{R}) = \mu_x(\mathbb{R}) > 0$  for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in X$ . Let  $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by

$$u(x) = \sup\{t \in \mathbb{R} : \mu_x((-\infty, t)) \leq \frac{1}{2}\mu_x(\mathbb{R})\}.$$

We have

$$u(T(x)) = \sup\{t \in \mathbb{R} : \mu_x((-\infty, t + f(x))) \leq \frac{1}{2}\mu_x(\mathbb{R})\} = u(x) + f(x)$$

and it only remains to prove that  $u$  is measurable. Let  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  be fixed. There exists a non-decreasing sequence  $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$  converging pointwise to  $\chi_{(-\infty, t)}$  and so

$$\mu_x((-\infty, t)) = \sup\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}} g_n d\mu_x : n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} = \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n g_m(S_k f(x))\right).$$

Hence the function  $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\psi(x) = \mu_x((-\infty, t)) - \frac{1}{2}\mu_x(\mathbb{R})$$

is measurable and  $u^{-1}([t, +\infty)) = \psi^{-1}((-\infty, 0])$  is a measurable set.  $\square$

The following characterization of measurable coboundaries through a growth criterion is due to K. Schmidt.

**Theorem 3.5.** *A measurable function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a coboundary if and only if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $M > 0$  such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a measurable set  $F_n \subset X$  with  $\mu(F_n) > 1 - \epsilon$  and such that  $|S_n f(x)| \leq M$  for every  $x \in F_n$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that there exists a measurable function  $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f = u \circ T - u$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere on  $X$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . There exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $0 \leq 1 - \mu(u^{-1}((-n, n))) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  for every  $n \geq N$ . Thus, if we put

$$F_n = u^{-1}((-n, n)) \cap T^{-n}(u^{-1}((-n, n))),$$

then  $\mu(X \setminus F_n) < \epsilon$  and  $|S_n f(x)| = |u(T^n(x)) - u(x)| \leq 2N$  for every  $x \in F_n$ .

For the converse we assume that  $f$  is not a coboundary. From Theorem 3.4, there exists a measurable set  $F \subset X$  with  $\mu(F) > 0$  such that for every  $x \in F$  we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n e^{-i\xi S_k f(x)} = 0$$

for almost every  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ . Applying our assumption for the choice  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}\mu(F)$ , there exists some  $M > 0$  such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a measurable set  $F_n \subset X$  with  $\mu(F_n) > 1 - \frac{1}{2}\mu(F)$  and  $|S_n f(x)| \leq M$  for every  $x \in F_n$ . Then,  $\mu(F \cap F_n) > \frac{1}{2}\mu(F)$ . The Fourier transform of the Fejer kernel  $K_{2M}$  is the continuous function

$$\hat{K}_{2M}(t) = \max\left\{0, 1 - \frac{|t|}{2M}\right\}$$

(see page 139 in [4]). Multiplying with  $K_{2M}(\xi)$  and integrating with respect to  $\xi$  we arrive at

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \max\left\{0, 1 - \frac{|S_k f(x)|}{2M}\right\} = 0.$$

However, the integral of the average in the limit is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_X \max\left\{0, 1 - \frac{|S_k f(x)|}{2M}\right\} d\mu &\geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{F \cap F_n} \max\left\{0, 1 - \frac{|S_k f(x)|}{2M}\right\} d\mu \\ &\geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{F \cap F_k} \frac{1}{2} d\mu \geq \frac{1}{4}\mu(F) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This contradiction concludes the proof.  $\square$

In the ergodic case the above growth condition can be somewhat weakened.

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $T$  be ergodic and let  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a measurable function. For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $M > 0$  let  $E_{n,M} = \{x \in X : |S_n f(x)| > M\}$ . Then,  $f$  is a coboundary if and only if there exists some  $M > 0$  such that*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu(E_{k,M}) < 1.$$

*Proof.* The necessity is obvious from Schmidt's criterion because if  $f$  is a coboundary, then for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $M > 0$  such that  $\mu(E_{n,M}) < \epsilon$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For the sufficiency suppose that  $f$  is not a coboundary and let  $M > 0$  be any. There exists a measurable set  $F \subset X$  such that  $\mu(F) > 0$  and  $\mu_x = 0$  for all  $x \in F$ , by Theorem 3.4. Since  $T$  is assumed to be ergodic, we have  $\mu_x = 0$  for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in X$  and thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n g(S_k f(x)) = 0$$

for every  $g \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ . We choose any  $g \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $g \geq 0$  and  $g(t) = 1$  for  $|t| \leq M$ . Integrating,

$$0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_X g(S_k f(x)) d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \int_{E_{k,M}} g(S_k f(x)) d\mu + 1 - \mu(E_{k,M}) \right)$$

and therefore

$$1 - \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu(E_{k,M}) = 0. \quad \square$$

## References

- [1] D.V. Anosov, On an additive functional homology equation connected with an ergodic rotation of the circle, *Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Mat.* 37 (1973), 1259-1274.
- [2] R.F. Edwards, *Fourier Series: A modern introduction*, Graduate Texts in Math. Vol. 64, Springer, 1979.
- [3] H. Helson, *The Spectral Theorem*, Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 1227, Springer, 1985.
- [4] Y. Katznelson, *An introduction to Harmonic Analysis*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2004.
- [5] F. Riesz, Über Sätze von Stone und Bochner, *Acta Sci. Math* 6 (1932-34), 184-198.