

**EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF ODD INCREASING
SOLUTIONS FOR A CLASS OF INHOMOGENEOUS
ALLEN-CAHN EQUATIONS IN \mathbb{R}**

C. SOURDIS

ABSTRACT. We prove existence and uniqueness of odd increasing solutions to a class of inhomogeneous Allen-Cahn type equations. A typical example is $u'' + |x|^\alpha(u - u^3) = 0$, $\alpha > 0$. We use the fact that this is well known when $\alpha = 0$ and a continuation argument. Our motivation is the study of layered solutions for $\varepsilon^2 u'' + h(x)(u - u^3) = 0$, $h(x) = |x - x_1|^\alpha + o(|x - x_1|^\alpha)$, $x \rightarrow x_1$, $h > 0$, $x \neq x_1$ in a bounded interval with Neumann boundary conditions and $\varepsilon > 0$ small (see [3]).

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULT

We consider the problem of finding increasing solutions to the problem

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} u'' + |x|^\alpha f(u) = 0 & x \in \mathbb{R} \\ u(x) \rightarrow -1 \text{ as } x \rightarrow -\infty & u(x) \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty, \\ u \text{ odd,} \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha > 0$ and $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ is odd, $f(\pm 1) = 0$, $f_u(1) < 0$, $f(u) > 0$, $u \in (0, 1)$,

$$(1.2) \quad f(\delta u) \geq \delta f(u) \quad \forall u \in (0, 1), \delta \in (0, \delta_0],$$

for some small $\delta_0 > 0$. A typical example is $f(u) = u - u|u|^p$, $p > 0$.

Remark 1.1. In [3] we did not require (1.2) but $f_u(0) > 0$. Existence and asymptotic stability of a solution were established by topological arguments.

Let u_0 be the unique odd solution of

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} u'' + f(u) = 0 & x \in \mathbb{R} \\ u(x) \rightarrow -1 \text{ as } x \rightarrow -\infty & u(x) \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases}$$

We have $u'_0 > 0$.

By odd reflection, (1.1) is equivalent to

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{cases} u'' + x^\alpha f(u) = 0 & x > 0 \\ u(0) = 0 & u(x) \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 1.1. *There exists a solution u of (1.4) such that $u'(x) > 0$, $x \geq 0$.*

Partly supported by grant FONDECYT 3085026.

Proof. Note that

$$(1.5) \quad u_\delta(x) = \begin{cases} \delta u_0(x-1) & x \geq 1 \\ 0 & 0 \leq x \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

$\delta \geq 0$ is a nontrivial subsolution of (1.4) if $\delta \in (0, \delta_0]$.
Indeed, in $[0,1)$ we have $u_\delta = 0$. So,

$$u_\delta'' + x^\alpha f(u_\delta) = x^\alpha f(0) = 0, \quad x \in [0, 1).$$

In $(1, \infty)$ we have, for small $\delta \in (0, \delta_0]$,

$$\begin{aligned} u_\delta'' + x^\alpha f(u_\delta) &= \delta u_0''(x-1) + x^\alpha f(\delta u_0(x-1)) \\ (\text{by (1.2)}) &\geq \delta u_0''(x-1) + \delta x^\alpha f(u_0(x-1)) \\ &\geq \delta u_0''(x-1) + \delta f(u_0(x-1)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $u_\delta(0) = 0$, $u_\delta(\infty) = \delta < 1$. Finally, the function u_δ is the maximum of two regular sub-solutions, and therefore u_δ itself must be a subsolution.

Let ϕ_ε solve

$$\begin{cases} -\phi_\varepsilon'' - f_u(1)|x|^\alpha \phi_\varepsilon = -\phi_\varepsilon & x > \varepsilon^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}} \\ \phi_\varepsilon(\varepsilon^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}}) = 1 - u_0(\varepsilon^{-\frac{2+\alpha}{\alpha}}) > 0 & \phi_\varepsilon'(\varepsilon^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}}) = -\varepsilon^{-1} u_0'(\varepsilon^{-\frac{2+\alpha}{\alpha}}) < 0. \end{cases}$$

We have $\phi_\varepsilon > 0$, $\phi_\varepsilon' < 0$, $\phi_\varepsilon'' > 0$, $x > \varepsilon^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}}$, and a standard barrier argument yields

$$0 < \phi_\varepsilon(x) \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{C\varepsilon^{\frac{2+\alpha}{\alpha}}}} e^{-(x-\varepsilon^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}})}, \quad x > \varepsilon^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}},$$

provided $\varepsilon > 0$ is small (recall that $f_u(1) < 0$ and $1 - u_0(x) \leq C e^{-|x|/C}$ for a generic constant C).

We claim that, for small ε ,

$$v_\varepsilon = \begin{cases} 1 - \phi_\varepsilon(x) & x > \varepsilon^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}} \\ u_0\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) & 0 < x \leq \varepsilon^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}} \end{cases}$$

is a supersolution of (1.4). Note that $v_\varepsilon > u_\delta$ if $\delta \leq \delta_0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ is small. We have $v_\varepsilon \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $v_\varepsilon(0) = 0$, $v_\varepsilon(\infty) = 1$. In $(0, \varepsilon^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}})$,

$$v_\varepsilon'' + |x|^\alpha f(v_\varepsilon) = \left(-\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} + |x|^\alpha\right) f(v_\varepsilon) \leq 0.$$

In $(\varepsilon^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}}, \infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_\varepsilon'' + |x|^\alpha f(v_\varepsilon) &= -\phi'' + |x|^\alpha f(1 - \phi) \\
 &= -\phi'' - f_u(1)|x|^\alpha \phi + |x|^\alpha O(\phi^2) \\
 &= (-1 + |x|^\alpha O(|\phi|)) \phi \\
 &\leq \left(-1 + C \left(|x - \varepsilon^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}}|^\alpha + \varepsilon^{-2} \right) e^{-\frac{1}{c\varepsilon^{\frac{2+\alpha}{\alpha}}}} e^{-\left(x - \varepsilon^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}}\right)} \right) \phi < 0
 \end{aligned}$$

if ε is small.

Hence, there exists a solution u of the differential equation of (1.4) such that

$$u_\delta \leq u \leq v_\varepsilon, \quad x \geq 0.$$

It is easy to see that $u'(x) > 0$, $x \geq 0$ and, thus, u solves (1.4). The proof of the proposition is complete. \square

Remark 1.2. *Alternatively, one can get existence using the continuation argument we will use for uniqueness (starting from $\alpha = 0$).*

Lemma 1.1. *Every increasing solution u of (1.4) satisfies*

$$u(x) \geq \delta_0 u_0(x - 1), \quad x \geq 1.$$

Proof. We prove the result by using the subsolutions u_δ defined in (1.5) and Serrin's sweeping technique (cf. [2]).

By the proof of Proposition 1.1, u_δ is a subsolution of (1.4) if $\delta \in [0, \delta_0]$. Suppose that u is an increasing solution of (1.4). Then, if $\delta = 0$, $u \geq u_\delta$ in $(0, \infty)$. By the Serrin sweeping principle, and since $u(0) = 0$, $u(\infty) = 1$ while $u_\delta(0) = 0$, $u_\delta(\infty) = \delta < 1$, $u'_\delta(0) = 0$, we see that

$$u(x) \geq u_{\delta_0}(x) = \delta_0 u_0(x - 1)_+ \quad \text{in } (0, \infty).$$

To prove this, we let $\tilde{\delta} = \sup \{ \delta \in [0, \delta_0] : u \geq u_\delta \text{ in } (0, \infty) \}$, note that $u \geq u_{\tilde{\delta}}$ in $(0, \infty)$, and apply the maximum principle to $u - u_{\tilde{\delta}}$ to deduce that this function has a positive lower bound in $(0, \infty)$. This contradicts the maximality of $\tilde{\delta}$ if $\tilde{\delta} < \delta_0$. The proof of the lemma is complete. \square

Lemma 1.2. *There exists $\alpha_0 > 0$ such that (1.4) has a unique increasing solution for each $0 < \alpha \leq \alpha_0$.*

Proof. The idea is to obtain good asymptotics for the solution and then use this to prove uniqueness.

Step 1 Suppose that u_i are increasing solutions of (1.4) for α_i where $\alpha_i > 0$ and $\alpha_i \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

We have $0 < u_i < 1$ in $(0, \infty)$ and by equation

$$(1.6) \quad u_i'' + x^{\alpha_i} f(u_i) = 0, \quad x \in (0, \infty),$$

we see that

$$(1.7) \quad \|u_i\|_{C^2[0, L]} \leq C(L), \quad L > 0, \quad i \geq 1.$$

Using the Arzela-Ascoli theorem and the standard diagonal argument we obtain that, for a subsequence,

$$u_i \rightarrow u_* \quad \text{in } C_{loc}^2[0, \infty),$$

for some nondecreasing $0 \leq u_* \leq 1$ bounded solution of

$$u'' + f(u) = 0, \quad x > 0, \quad u(0) = 0,$$

(we also used that $x^\alpha \rightarrow 1$ in $C_{loc}[0, \infty)$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$).

By Lemma 1.1, we also have $u_* \geq \delta_0 u_0(x-1)$, $x \geq 1$, i.e, u_* is nontrivial. Hence, $u_* \equiv u_0$. By the uniqueness of the limit, we conclude that the every subsequence of u_i satisfies

$$(1.8) \quad u_i \rightarrow u_0 \quad \text{in } C_{loc}^2[0, \infty) \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty.$$

Step 2 Suppose that the lemma is false and that u_i, v_i are two distinct increasing solutions of (1.4) with $\alpha = \alpha_i$ and $\alpha_i \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Let

$$\phi_i = \frac{u_i - v_i}{\|u_i - v_i\|_{L^\infty(0, \infty)}}.$$

Then ϕ_i satisfies

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{cases} \phi_i'' + V_i(x)\phi_i = 0 & x \in (0, \infty) \\ \phi_i(0) = 0 & \|\phi_i\|_{L^\infty(0, \infty)} = 1, \phi_i(\infty) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$V_i(x) = \begin{cases} x^{\alpha_i} \frac{f(u_i) - f(v_i)}{u_i - v_i} & \text{if } u_i(x) \neq v_i(x) \\ x^{\alpha_i} f_u(u_i) & \text{if } u_i(x) = v_i(x). \end{cases}$$

which converges in $C_{loc}[0, \infty)$ to $f_u(u_0)$ by Step 1. Using once more the Arzela-Ascoli theorem and the standard diagonal argument we see that, for a subsequence,

$$(1.10) \quad \phi_i \rightarrow \phi_* \quad \text{in } C_{loc}^2[0, \infty) \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty,$$

where ϕ_* is bounded in $(0, \infty)$ and solves

$$\phi'' + f_u(u_0)\phi = 0, \quad x > 0, \quad u(0) = 0,$$

Hence,

$$(1.11) \quad \phi_* \equiv 0.$$

(To see this note that the boundedness of ϕ and $f_u(1) < 0$ imply that $\phi_* \in H^2(0, \infty)$. So, its odd reflection is in the kernel of the operator $B\phi = \phi'' + f_u(u_0)\phi$, $\phi \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$. Thus, $\phi_* = \lambda u'_0$, and by setting $x = 0$ we get $\lambda = 0$).

There exist $c, d > 0$ such that $f_u(u) < -c$ if $|u - 1| \leq d$. Moreover, there is an $L_0 > 0$ such that $0 < 1 - u_0(x) < d$ if $x \geq L_0$. Since u_i, v_i are increasing and converge to u_0 uniformly in compact intervals, we get that $1 - d < u_i(x), v_i(x) < 1$, $x \geq L_0$ if i is sufficiently large. By the mean value theorem,

$$V_i(x) = x^{\alpha_i} f_u(\theta u_i + (1 - \theta)v_i), \quad \theta \in [0, 1].$$

Hence,

$$(1.12) \quad V_i(x) \leq -cx^{\alpha_i}, \quad x \geq L_0.$$

There exist $x_i > 0$ such that, without loss of generality, $\phi_i(x_i) = 1$, $\phi_i'(x_i) = 0$, $\phi_i''(x_i) \leq 0$, $i \geq 1$. We claim that the sequence $\{x_i\}$ is bounded. Indeed, if not, by (1.9), (1.12) we find that $\phi_i''(x_i) \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, a contradiction.

By passing to a subsequence we may assume that $x_i \rightarrow x_* \geq 0$. In view of (1.10), we get

$$\phi_*(x_*) = 1,$$

which contradicts (1.11). The proof of the lemma is complete. \square

Lemma 1.3. *If u is an increasing solution of (1.1) then u is nondegenerate. In particular it is asymptotically stable, i.e, if*

$$(1.13) \quad -\psi_1'' - |x|^\alpha f_u(u)\psi_1 = \mu_1\psi_1 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R},$$

$\psi_1 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, $\psi_1 > 0$ in \mathbb{R} , then $\mu_1 > 0$.

Proof. We will show that the spectrum, in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, of the operator defined by the left-hand side of (1.13) is strictly positive. Since $-|x|^\alpha f_u(u) \rightarrow \infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, its spectrum consists of discrete simple eigenvalues $\mu_1 < \mu_2 < \dots$ with $\mu_i \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ and corresponding eigenfunctions ψ_1, ψ_2, \dots . Each ψ_i , $i \geq 1$ has exactly $i - 1$ nodes. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\psi_1 > 0$ is an even function of x . It is standard to show that $1 - u, \psi_1$ tend to 0 super-exponentially as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ (see [3]). Note that $w = u' > 0$ solves

$$(1.14) \quad -w'' - |x|^\alpha f_u(u)w = \alpha|x|^{\alpha-2}xf(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By multiplying (1.13) with w , (1.14) with ψ_1 and subtracting, we find that

$$\mu_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_1 w dx = \alpha \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x|^{\alpha-2} x f(u) \psi_1 dx = 2\alpha \int_0^{\infty} x^{\alpha-1} f(u) \psi_1 dx > 0.$$

The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 1.4. *If U is an increasing solution of $(1.1)_A$ (this means $\alpha = A$) then there exists a $\delta > 0$ and an increasing solution u_α of $(1.1)_\alpha$ for $|\alpha - A| \leq \delta$. Moreover,*

$$(1.15) \quad \|u_\alpha - u_A\|_{L^\infty(0, \infty)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow A.$$

Proof. We seek a solution u of $(1.1)_\alpha$ in the form $u = u_A + \varphi$, $\varphi \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ odd. In terms of φ , $(1.1)_\alpha$ becomes

$$L(\varphi) = |x|^\alpha N(\varphi) + E,$$

where

$$L(\varphi) = -\varphi'' - |x|^\alpha f_u(U)\varphi$$

$$N(\varphi) = f(U + \varphi) - f(U) - f_u(U)\varphi$$

$$E = U'' + |x|^\alpha f(U).$$

We introduce the norm $\|\varphi\|_w = \|e^{|x|}\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}$ and the Banach space

$$X = \{\varphi : \varphi \text{ is odd, } \|\varphi\|_w < \infty\}.$$

Arguing as in Lemma 1.2 we see that L is nonsingular if α is close to A . By rather standard arguments we see that if $f \in X$ then there exists a unique $\varphi \in H^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap X$ such that $L(\varphi) = f$. Moreover $\|\varphi\|_w \leq C\|f\|_w$ (C is a generic constant). Note that (if $\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1$) we have

$$|x|^\alpha |N(\varphi)| \leq C|x|^\alpha \varphi^2 \leq C|x|^\alpha e^{-2|x|} \|\varphi\|_w^2$$

$$|E| = |(|x|^\alpha - |x|^A) f(U)| \leq C \left| |x|^\alpha - |x|^A \right| e^{-|x|^{1+\alpha/2}},$$

$(1-U)$ decays super-exponentially). Now the existence of a solution u of $(1.1)_\alpha$ with $|\alpha - A|$ small satisfying (1.15) follows from Banach's fixed point theorem. We can show that $|u'_\alpha(x) - U'(x)| \rightarrow 0$ as $\alpha \rightarrow A$. It follows that $u \geq 0$ in $(0, \infty)$ and, thus, $u' > 0$ in \mathbb{R} provided α is close to A . \square

Lemma 1.5. *If u_α are increasing solutions of $(1.1)_\alpha$, $\alpha > \alpha_*$ then there exists $\delta > 0$ and increasing solutions u_α of $(1.1)_\alpha$ for $\alpha_* - \delta \leq \alpha \leq \alpha_*$. Moreover u_α are continuous functions of $[\alpha_* - \delta, \alpha]$ to $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ (assuming that they are for $\alpha > \alpha_*$).*

Proof. We know that there exists a sequence of increasing solutions u_i of (1.1) with $\alpha_i \rightarrow \alpha_*$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ and $\alpha_i > \alpha_*$. Since $|u_i| \leq 1$, we can employ Arzela-Ascoli's theorem and the standard diagonal argument again to show that there exists a nondecreasing solution u_* of the equation of (1.1) with $\alpha = \alpha_*$. By Lemma 1.1 we get that u_* is nontrivial and, thus, is an increasing solution of (1.1). By the C_{loc} convergence and the fact that u_α are increasing we have that the convergence is uniform in \mathbb{R} . This establishes continuity from $[\alpha_*, \alpha]$ to $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. By Lemma 1.4 we obtain increasing solutions for $\alpha_* - \delta \leq \alpha \leq \alpha_*$. The continuity assertion follows by subtracting two different equations and working as in the proof of Lemma 1.4. \square

Theorem 1.1. *If $\alpha > 0$ then there exists a unique increasing solution of (1.1).*

Proof. Existence was established in Proposition 1.1. For the uniqueness we will argue by contradiction. Suppose that u_1, u_2 are two distinct increasing solutions of $(1.1)_{\alpha^0}$ for some $\alpha^0 > 0$. By Lemmas 1.4, 1.5 we can construct increasing solutions $u_i(\alpha)$, $i = 1, 2$ of $(1.1)_\alpha$ for $0 < \alpha < \alpha^0$ such that $u_i : (0, \alpha^0] \rightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is continuous. Lemma 1.2 implies that there exists an α_1 such that $u_1(\alpha_1) = u_2(\alpha_1)$. The continuity of the branches yields that the linearization of (1.1) at $u_1(\alpha_1)$ is singular (as in 1.2). On the other hand, since $u_1(\alpha_1)$ is increasing, we get a contradiction by Lemma 1.3. Therefore, the proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete. \square

Remark 1.3. *Our approach for uniqueness is motivated from [1].*

Remark 1.4. *If instead of (1.2) we had the stronger*

$$f(\delta u) \geq \delta f(u), \quad u \in [0, 1], \quad \delta \in [0, 1],$$

as in the case $f(u) = u - u|u|^p$, $p > 0$, the uniqueness proof is very simple. Suppose that u, v are two increasing solutions of (1.4). Then δu , $\delta \in [0, 1]$ is a family of subsolutions and $\delta u \leq v$ in $(0, \infty)$ if $\delta = 0$. The Serrin sweeping principle yields $u \leq v$ in $(0, \infty)$. Similarly, $v \leq u$ in $(0, \infty)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] C.S. LIN, Uniqueness of least energy solutions to a semilinear elliptic equation in R^2 , Manuscripta Math 84 (1994) 13-19.
- [2] D. SATTINGER, Topics in stability and bifurcation theory, Springer Lectures Notes in Mathematics 309 (1973).
- [3] C. SOURDIS, Transition layer solutions in a critical case, preprint (2008).

C. SOURDIS, DEPARTAMENTO DE INGENIERIA MATEMATICA, UNIVERSIDAD DE CHILE, SANTIAGO, CHILE

E-mail address: schristos@dim.uchile.cl