


ELEMENTS BOOK 12

Proportional Stereometry[†]

[†]The novel feature of this book is the use of the so-called *method of exhaustion* (see Prop. 10.1), a precursor to integration which is generally attributed to Eudoxus of Cnidus.

α'.

Τὰ ἐν τοῖς κύκλοις ὅμοια πολύγωνα πρὸς ἀλληλά ἐστὶν ὡς τὰ ἀπὸ τῶν διαμέτρων τετράγωνα.



Ἐστωσαν κύκλοι οἱ $ABΓ$, $ZHΘ$, καὶ ἐν αὐτοῖς ὅμοια πολύγωνα ἔστω τὰ $ABΓΔΕ$, $ZHΘΚΛ$, διάμετροι δὲ τῶν κύκλων ἔστωσαν BM , HN . λέγω, ὅτι ἐστὶν ὡς τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς BM τετράγωνον πρὸς τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς HN τετράγωνον, οὕτως τὸ $ABΓΔΕ$ πολύγωνον πρὸς τὸ $ZHΘΚΛ$ πολύγωνον.

Ἐπεζεύχθωσαν γὰρ αἱ BE , AM , HA , ZN . καὶ ἐπεὶ ὅμοιον τὸ $ABΓΔΕ$ πολύγωνον τῷ $ZHΘΚΛ$ πολυγώνῳ, ἴση ἐστὶ καὶ ἡ ὑπὸ BAE γωνία τῇ ὑπὸ HZA , καὶ ἐστὶν ὡς ἡ BA πρὸς τὴν AE , οὕτως ἡ HZ πρὸς τὴν ZA . δύο δὲ τρίγωνά ἐστι τὰ BAE , HZA μίαν γωνίαν μιᾶ γωνίᾳ ἴσην ἔχοντα τὴν ὑπὸ BAE τῇ ὑπὸ HZA , περι δὲ τὰς ἴσας γωνίας τὰς πλευρὰς ἀνάλογον· ἰσογώνιον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ ABE τρίγωνον τῷ ZHA τριγώνῳ. ἴση ἄρα ἐστὶν ἡ ὑπὸ AEB γωνία τῇ ὑπὸ ZAH . ἀλλ' ἡ μὲν ὑπὸ AEB τῇ ὑπὸ AMB ἐστὶν ἴση· ἐπὶ γὰρ τῆς αὐτῆς περιφερείας βεβήκασιν· ἡ δὲ ὑπὸ ZAH τῇ ὑπὸ ZNH · καὶ ἡ ὑπὸ AMB ἄρα τῇ ὑπὸ ZNH ἐστὶν ἴση. ἔστι δὲ καὶ ὀρθὴ ἡ ὑπὸ BAM ὀρθὴ τῇ ὑπὸ HZN ἴση· καὶ ἡ λοιπὴ ἄρα τῇ λοιπῇ ἐστὶν ἴση. ἰσογώνιον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ ABM τρίγωνον τῷ ZHN τριγώνῳ. ἀνάλογον ἄρα ἐστὶν ὡς ἡ BM πρὸς τὴν HN , οὕτως ἡ BA πρὸς τὴν HZ . ἀλλὰ τοῦ μὲν τῆς BM πρὸς τὴν HN λόγον διπλασίων ἐστὶν ὁ τοῦ ἀπὸ τῆς BM τετραγώνου πρὸς τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς HN τετράγωνον, τοῦ δὲ τῆς BA πρὸς τὴν HZ διπλασίων ἐστὶν ὁ τοῦ $ABΓΔΕ$ πολυγώνου πρὸς τὸ $ZHΘΚΛ$ πολύγωνον· καὶ ὡς ἄρα τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς BM τετράγωνον πρὸς τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς HN τετράγωνον, οὕτως τὸ $ABΓΔΕ$ πολύγωνον πρὸς τὸ $ZHΘΚΛ$ πολύγωνον.

Τὰ ἄρα ἐν τοῖς κύκλοις ὅμοια πολύγωνα πρὸς ἀλληλά ἐστὶν ὡς τὰ ἀπὸ τῶν διαμέτρων τετράγωνα· ὅπερ εἶδει δεῖξαι.


β'.

Οἱ κύκλοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσὶν ὡς τὰ ἀπὸ τῶν διαμέτρων τετράγωνα.

Ἐστωσαν κύκλοι οἱ $ABΓΔ$, $EZHΘ$, διάμετροι δὲ αὐτῶν

Proposition 1

Similar polygons (inscribed) in circles are to one another as the squares on the diameters (of the circles).



Let ABC and FGH be circles, and let $ABCDE$ and $FGHKL$ be similar polygons (inscribed) in them (respectively), and let BM and GN be the diameters of the circles (respectively). I say that as the square on BM is to the square on GN , so polygon $ABCDE$ (is) to polygon $FGHKL$.

For let BE , AM , GL , and FN have been joined. And since polygon $ABCDE$ (is) similar to polygon $FGHKL$, angle BAE is also equal to (angle) GFL , and as BA is to AE , so GF (is) to FL [Def. 6.1]. So, BAE and GFL are two triangles having one angle equal to one angle, (namely), BAE (equal) to GFL , and the sides around the equal angles proportional. Triangle ABE is thus equiangular with triangle FGL [Prop. 6.6]. Thus, angle AEB is equal to (angle) FLG . But, AEB is equal to AMB , and FLG to FNG , for they stand on the same circumference [Prop. 3.27]. Thus, AMB is also equal to FNG . And the right-angle BAM is also equal to the right-angle GFN [Prop. 3.31]. Thus, the remaining (angle) is also equal to the remaining (angle) [Prop. 1.32]. Thus, triangle ABM is equiangular with triangle FGN . Thus, proportionally, as BM is to GN , so BA (is) to GF [Prop. 6.4]. But, the (ratio) of the square on BM to the square on GN is the square of the ratio of BM to GN , and the (ratio) of polygon $ABCDE$ to polygon $FGHKL$ is the square of the (ratio) of BA to GF [Prop. 6.20]. And, thus, as the square on BM (is) to the square on GN , so polygon $ABCDE$ (is) to polygon $FGHKL$.


Thus, similar polygons (inscribed) in circles are to one another as the squares on the diameters (of the circles). (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

Proposition 2

Circles are to one another as the squares on (their) diameters.


Let $ABCD$ and $EFGH$ be circles, and [let] BD and

[ἔστωσαν] αἱ $B\Delta$, $Z\Theta$ λέγω, ὅτι ἔστιν ὡς ὁ $AB\Gamma\Delta$ κύκλος πρὸς τὸν $EZH\Theta$ κύκλον, οὕτως τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς $B\Delta$ τετράγωνον πρὸς τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς $Z\Theta$ τετράγωνον.



Εἰ γὰρ μὴ ἔστιν ὡς ὁ $AB\Gamma\Delta$ κύκλος πρὸς τὸν $EZH\Theta$, οὕτως τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς $B\Delta$ τετράγωνον πρὸς τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς $Z\Theta$, ἔσται ὡς τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς $B\Delta$ πρὸς τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς $Z\Theta$, οὕτως ὁ $AB\Gamma\Delta$ κύκλος ἦτοι πρὸς ἔλασσόν τι τοῦ $EZH\Theta$ κύκλου χωρίον ἢ πρὸς μείζον. ἔστω πρότερον πρὸς ἔλασσον τὸ Σ , καὶ ἐγγεγράφω εἰς τὸν $EZH\Theta$ κύκλον τετράγωνον τὸ $EZH\Theta$. τὸ δὴ ἐγγεγραμμένον τετράγωνον μείζον ἔστιν ἢ τὸ ἥμισυ τοῦ $EZH\Theta$ κύκλου, ἐπειδὴ περ ἐὰν διὰ τῶν E, Z, H, Θ σημείων ἐφαπτομένης [εὐθείας] τοῦ κύκλου ἀγάγωμεν, τοῦ περιγραφομένου περὶ τὸν κύκλον τετραγώνου ἥμισυ ἔστι τὸ $EZH\Theta$ τετράγωνον, τοῦ δὲ περιγραφέντος τετραγώνου ἐλάττων ἔστιν ὁ κύκλος· ὥστε τὸ $EZH\Theta$ ἐγγεγραμμένον τετράγωνον μείζον ἔστι τοῦ ἡμίσεως τοῦ $EZH\Theta$ κύκλου. τετμήσθωσαν δίχα αἱ $EZ, ZH, H\Theta, \Theta E$ περιφέρειαι κατὰ τὰ K, Λ, M, N σημεία, καὶ ἐπεζεύχθωσαν αἱ $EK, KZ, Z\Lambda, \Lambda H, HM, M\Theta, \Theta N, NE$ · καὶ ἕκαστον ἄρα τῶν $EKZ, Z\Lambda H, HM\Theta, \Theta NE$ τριγώνων μείζον ἔστιν ἢ τὸ ἥμισυ τοῦ καθ' ἑαυτὸ τμήματος τοῦ κύκλου, ἐπειδὴ περ ἐὰν διὰ τῶν K, Λ, M, N σημείων ἐφαπτομένης τοῦ κύκλου ἀγάγωμεν καὶ ἀναπληρώσωμεν τὰ ἐπὶ τῶν $EZ, ZH, H\Theta, \Theta E$ εὐθειῶν παραλληλόγραμμα, ἕκαστον τῶν $EKZ, Z\Lambda H, HM\Theta, \Theta NE$ τριγώνων ἥμισυ ἔσται τοῦ καθ' ἑαυτὸ παραλληλογράμμου, ἀλλὰ τὸ καθ' ἑαυτὸ τμήμα ἐλαττόν ἔστι τοῦ παραλληλογράμμου· ὥστε ἕκαστον τῶν $EKZ, Z\Lambda H, HM\Theta, \Theta NE$ τριγώνων μείζον ἔστι τοῦ ἡμίσεως τοῦ καθ' ἑαυτὸ τμήματος τοῦ κύκλου. τέμνοντες δὴ τὰς ὑπολειπομένας περιφερείας δίχα καὶ ἐπιζευγύνοντες εὐθείας καὶ τοῦτο αἰεὶ ποιοῦντες καταλείβομεν τινὰ ἀποτμήματα τοῦ κύκλου, ἃ ἔσται ἐλάσσονα τῆς ὑπεροχῆς, ἢ ὑπερέχει ὁ $EZH\Theta$ κύκλος τοῦ Σ χωρίου.

FH [be] their diameters. I say that as circle $ABCD$ is to circle $EFGH$, so the square on BD (is) to the square on FH .



For if the circle $ABCD$ is not to the (circle) $EFGH$, as the square on BD (is) to the (square) on FH , then as the (square) on BD (is) to the (square) on FH , so circle $ABCD$ will be to some area either less than, or greater than, circle $EFGH$. Let it, first of all, be (in that ratio) to (some) lesser (area), S . And let the square $EFGH$ have been inscribed in circle $EFGH$ [Prop. 4.6]. So the inscribed square is greater than half of circle $EFGH$, inasmuch as if we draw tangents to the circle through the points E, F, G , and H , then square $EFGH$ is half of the square circumscribed about the circle [Prop. 1.47], and the circle is less than the circumscribed square. Hence, the inscribed square $EFGH$ is greater than half of circle $EFGH$. Let the circumferences EF, FG, GH , and HE have been cut in half at points K, L, M , and N (respectively), and let $EK, KF, FL, LG, GM, MH, HN$, and NE have been joined. And, thus, each of the triangles EKF, FLG, GMH , and HNE is greater than half of the segment of the circle about it, inasmuch as if we draw tangents to the circle through points K, L, M , and N , and complete the parallelograms on the straight-lines EF, FG, GH , and HE , then each of the triangles EKF, FLG, GMH , and HNE will be half of the parallelogram about it, but the segment about it is less than the parallelogram. Hence, each of the triangles EKF, FLG, GMH , and HNE is greater than half of the segment of the circle about it. So, by cutting the circumferences remaining behind in half, and joining straight-lines, and doing this continually, we will (even-

ἔδειχθη γὰρ ἐν τῷ πρώτῳ θεωρήματι τοῦ δεκάτου βιβλίου, ὅτι δύο μεγεθῶν ἀνίσων ἐκκειμένων, ἐὰν ἀπὸ τοῦ μείζονος ἀφαιρεθῇ μείζον ἢ τὸ ἡμισυ καὶ τοῦ καταλειπομένου μείζον ἢ τὸ ἡμισυ, καὶ τοῦτο ἀεὶ γίγνηται, λειφθήσεται τι μέγεθος, ὃ ἔσται ἔλασσον τοῦ ἐκκειμένου ἐλάσσονος μεγέθους. λελείφθω οὖν, καὶ ἔστω τὰ ἐπὶ τῶν EK , KZ , ZA , AH , HM , $M\Theta$, ΘN , NE τμήματα τοῦ $EZH\Theta$ κύκλου ἐλάττονα τῆς ὑπεροχῆς, ἢ ὑπερέχει ὁ $EZH\Theta$ κύκλος τοῦ Σ χωρίου. λοιπὸν ἄρα τὸ $EKZAHM\Theta N$ πολύγωνον μείζον ἔστι τοῦ Σ χωρίου. ἐγγεγράφθω καὶ εἰς τὸν $AB\Gamma\Delta$ κύκλον τῷ $EKZAHM\Theta N$ πολυγώνῳ ὁμοιον πολύγωνον τὸ $A\Xi B O\Gamma\Delta P$. ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς $B\Delta$ τετράγωνον πρὸς τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς $Z\Theta$ τετράγωνον, οὕτως τὸ $A\Xi B O\Gamma\Delta P$ πολύγωνον πρὸς τὸ $EKZAHM\Theta N$ πολύγωνον. ἀλλὰ καὶ ὡς τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς $B\Delta$ τετράγωνον πρὸς τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς $Z\Theta$, οὕτως ὁ $AB\Gamma\Delta$ κύκλος πρὸς τὸ Σ χωρίον· καὶ ὡς ἄρα ὁ $AB\Gamma\Delta$ κύκλος πρὸς τὸ Σ χωρίον, οὕτως τὸ $A\Xi B O\Gamma\Delta P$ πολύγωνον πρὸς τὸ $EKZAHM\Theta N$ πολύγωνον· ἐναλλάξ ἄρα ὡς ὁ $AB\Gamma\Delta$ κύκλος πρὸς τὸ ἐν αὐτῷ πολύγωνον, οὕτως τὸ Σ χωρίον πρὸς τὸ $EKZAHM\Theta N$ πολύγωνον. μείζων δὲ ὁ $AB\Gamma\Delta$ κύκλος τοῦ ἐν αὐτῷ πολυγώνου· μείζον ἄρα καὶ τὸ Σ χωρίον τοῦ $EKZAHM\Theta N$ πολυγώνου. ἀλλὰ καὶ ἔλαττον· ὅπερ ἔστιν ἀδύνατον. οὐκ ἄρα ἔστιν ὡς τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς $B\Delta$ τετράγωνον πρὸς τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς $Z\Theta$, οὕτως ὁ $AB\Gamma\Delta$ κύκλος πρὸς ἔλασσόν τι τοῦ $EZH\Theta$ κύκλου χωρίου. ὁμοίως δὲ δεῖξομεν, ὅτι οὐδὲ ὡς τὸ ἀπὸ $Z\Theta$ πρὸς τὸ ἀπὸ $B\Delta$, οὕτως ὁ $EZH\Theta$ κύκλος πρὸς ἔλασσόν τι τοῦ $AB\Gamma\Delta$ κύκλου χωρίου.

Λέγω δὴ, ὅτι οὐδὲ ὡς τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς $B\Delta$ πρὸς τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς $Z\Theta$, οὕτως ὁ $AB\Gamma\Delta$ κύκλος πρὸς μείζον τι τοῦ $EZH\Theta$ κύκλου χωρίου.

Εἰ γὰρ δυνατόν, ἔστω πρὸς μείζον τὸ Σ . ἀνάπαλιν ἄρα [ἔστιν] ὡς τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς $Z\Theta$ τετράγωνον πρὸς τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς $B\Delta$, οὕτως τὸ Σ χωρίον πρὸς τὸν $AB\Gamma\Delta$ κύκλον. ἀλλ' ὡς τὸ Σ χωρίον πρὸς τὸν $AB\Gamma\Delta$ κύκλον, οὕτως ὁ $EZH\Theta$ κύκλος πρὸς ἔλαττόν τι τοῦ $AB\Gamma\Delta$ κύκλου χωρίου· καὶ ὡς ἄρα τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς $Z\Theta$ πρὸς τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς $B\Delta$, οὕτως ὁ $EZH\Theta$ κύκλος πρὸς ἔλασσόν τι τοῦ $AB\Gamma\Delta$ κύκλου χωρίου· ὅπερ ἀδύνατον ἔδειχθη. οὐκ ἄρα ἔστιν ὡς τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς $B\Delta$ τετράγωνον πρὸς τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς $Z\Theta$, οὕτως ὁ $AB\Gamma\Delta$ κύκλος πρὸς μείζον τι τοῦ $EZH\Theta$ κύκλου χωρίου. ἔδειχθη δέ, ὅτι οὐδὲ πρὸς ἔλασσον ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς $B\Delta$ τετράγωνον πρὸς τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς $Z\Theta$, οὕτως ὁ $AB\Gamma\Delta$ κύκλος πρὸς τὸν $EZH\Theta$ κύκλον.

Οἱ ἄρα κύκλοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσὶν ὡς τὰ ἀπὸ τῶν διαμέτρων τετράγωνα· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

tually) leave behind some segments of the circle whose (sum) will be less than the excess by which circle $EFGH$ exceeds the area S . For we showed in the first theorem of the tenth book that if two unequal magnitudes are laid out, and if (a part) greater than a half is subtracted from the greater, and (if from) the remainder (a part) greater than a half (is subtracted), and this happens continually, then some magnitude will (eventually) be left which will be less than the lesser laid out magnitude [Prop. 10.1]. Therefore, let the (segments) have been left, and let the (sum of the) segments of the circle $EFGH$ on EK , KF , FL , LG , GM , MH , HN , and NE be less than the excess by which circle $EFGH$ exceeds area S . Thus, the remaining polygon $EKFLGMHN$ is greater than area S . And let the polygon $AOBPCQDR$, similar to the polygon $EKFLGMHN$, have been inscribed in circle $ABCD$. Thus, as the square on BD is to the square on FH , so polygon $AOBPCQDR$ (is) to polygon $EKFLGMHN$ [Prop. 12.1]. But, also, as the square on BD (is) to the square on FH , so circle $ABCD$ (is) to area S . And, thus, as circle $ABCD$ (is) to area S , so polygon $AOBPCQDR$ (is) to polygon $EKFLGMHN$ [Prop. 5.11]. Thus, alternately, as circle $ABCD$ (is) to the polygon (inscribed) within it, so area S (is) to polygon $EKFLGMHN$ [Prop. 5.16]. And circle $ABCD$ (is) greater than the polygon (inscribed) within it. Thus, area S is also greater than polygon $EKFLGMHN$. But, (it is) also less. The very thing is impossible. Thus, the square on BD is not to the (square) on FH , as circle $ABCD$ (is) to some area less than circle $EFGH$. So, similarly, we can show that the (square) on FH (is) not to the (square) on BD as circle $EFGH$ (is) to some area less than circle $ABCD$ either.

So, I say that neither (is) the (square) on BD to the (square) on FH , as circle $ABCD$ (is) to some area greater than circle $EFGH$.

For, if possible, let it be (in that ratio) to (some) greater (area), S . Thus, inversely, as the square on FH [is] to the (square) on DB , so area S (is) to circle $ABCD$ [Prop. 5.7 corr.]. But, as area S (is) to circle $ABCD$, so circle $EFGH$ (is) to some area less than circle $ABCD$ (see lemma). And, thus, as the (square) on FH (is) to the (square) on BD , so circle $EFGH$ (is) to some area less than circle $ABCD$ [Prop. 5.11]. The very thing was shown (to be) impossible. Thus, as the square on BD is to the (square) on FH , so circle $ABCD$ (is) not to some area greater than circle $EFGH$. And it was shown that neither (is it in that ratio) to (some) lesser (area). Thus, as the square on BD is to the (square) on FH , so circle $ABCD$ (is) to circle $EFGH$.

Thus, circles are to one another as the squares on

(their) diameters. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.


Λήμμα.

Λέγω δή, ὅτι τοῦ Σ χωρίου μείζονος ὄντος τοῦ ΕΖΗΘ κύκλου ἐστὶν ὡς τὸ Σ χωρίον πρὸς τὸν ΑΒΓΔ κύκλον, οὕτως ὁ ΕΖΗΘ κύκλος πρὸς ἑλαττόν τι τοῦ ΑΒΓΔ κύκλου χωρίον.

Γεγονέτω γὰρ ὡς τὸ Σ χωρίον πρὸς τὸν ΑΒΓΔ κύκλον, οὕτως ὁ ΕΖΗΘ κύκλος πρὸς τὸ Τ χωρίον. λέγω, ὅτι ἑλαττόν ἐστὶ τὸ Τ χωρίον τοῦ ΑΒΓΔ κύκλου. ἐπεὶ γὰρ ἐστὶν ὡς τὸ Σ χωρίον πρὸς τὸν ΑΒΓΔ κύκλον, οὕτως ὁ ΕΖΗΘ κύκλος πρὸς τὸ Τ χωρίον, ἐναλλάξ ἐστὶν ὡς τὸ Σ χωρίον πρὸς τὸν ΕΖΗΘ κύκλον, οὕτως ὁ ΑΒΓΔ κύκλος πρὸς τὸ Τ χωρίον. μείζον δὲ τὸ Σ χωρίον τοῦ ΕΖΗΘ κύκλου· μείζων ἄρα καὶ ὁ ΑΒΓΔ κύκλος τοῦ Τ χωρίου. ὥστε ἐστὶν ὡς τὸ Σ χωρίον πρὸς τὸν ΑΒΓΔ κύκλον, οὕτως ὁ ΕΖΗΘ κύκλος πρὸς ἑλαττόν τι τοῦ ΑΒΓΔ κύκλου χωρίον· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

γ'.

Πᾶσα πυραμὶς τρίγωνον ἔχουσα βάσιν διαιρεῖται εἰς δύο πυραμίδας ἴσας τε καὶ ὁμοίας ἀλλήλαις καὶ [ὁμοίας] τῇ ὅλῃ τριγώνου ἐχούσας βάσεις καὶ εἰς δύο πρίσματα ἴσα· καὶ τὰ δύο πρίσματα μείζονά ἐστὶν ἢ τὸ ἥμισυ τῆς ὅλης πυραμίδος.



Ἐστω πυραμὶς, ἥς βάσις μὲν ἐστὶ τὸ ΑΒΓ τρίγωνον, κορυφή δὲ τὸ Δ σημεῖον· λέγω, ὅτι ἡ ΑΒΓΔ πυραμὶς διαιρεῖται εἰς δύο πυραμίδας ἴσας ἀλλήλαις τριγώνου βάσεις ἐχούσας καὶ ὁμοίας τῇ ὅλῃ καὶ εἰς δύο πρίσματα ἴσα· καὶ τὰ δύο πρίσματα μείζονά ἐστὶν ἢ τὸ ἥμισυ τῆς ὅλης πυραμίδος.

Τετμήσθωσαν γὰρ αἱ ΑΒ, ΒΓ, ΓΑ, ΑΔ, ΔΒ, ΔΓ δίχα κατὰ τὰ Ε, Ζ, Η, Θ, Κ, Λ σημεῖα, καὶ ἐπεξεύχθωσαν αἱ ΘΕ, ΕΗ, ΗΘ, ΘΚ, ΚΛ, ΛΘ, ΚΖ, ΖΗ. ἐπεὶ ἴση ἐστὶν ἡ μὲν ΑΕ τῇ ΕΒ, ἡ δὲ ΑΘ τῇ ΔΘ, παράλληλος ἄρα ἐστὶν ἡ ΕΘ τῇ ΔΒ. διὰ τὰ αὐτὰ δὴ καὶ ἡ ΘΚ τῇ ΑΒ παράλληλός ἐστιν.


Lemma

So, I say that, area S being greater than circle $EFGH$, as area S is to circle $ABCD$, so circle $EFGH$ (is) to some area less than circle $ABCD$.

For let it have been contrived that as area S (is) to circle $ABCD$, so circle $EFGH$ (is) to area T . I say that area T is less than circle $ABCD$. For since as area S is to circle $ABCD$, so circle $EFGH$ (is) to area T , alternately, as area S is to circle $EFGH$, so circle $ABCD$ (is) to area T [Prop. 5.16]. And area S (is) greater than circle $EFGH$. Thus, circle $ABCD$ (is) also greater than area T [Prop. 5.14]. Hence, as area S is to circle $ABCD$, so circle $EFGH$ (is) to some area less than circle $ABCD$. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

Proposition 3

Any pyramid having a triangular base is divided into two pyramids having triangular bases (which are) equal, similar to one another, and [similar] to the whole, and into two equal prisms. And the (sum of the) two prisms is greater than half of the whole pyramid.



Let there be a pyramid whose base is triangle ABC , and (whose) apex (is) point D . I say that pyramid $ABCD$ is divided into two pyramids having triangular bases (which are) equal to one another, and similar to the whole, and into two equal prisms. And the (sum of the) two prisms is greater than half of the whole pyramid.

For let AB , BC , CA , AD , DB , and DC have been cut in half at points E , F , G , H , K , and L (respectively). And let HE , EG , GH , HK , KL , LH , KF , and FG have been joined. Since AE is equal to EB , and AH to DH ,

παραλληλόγραμμον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ ΘΕΒΚ· ἴση ἄρα ἐστὶν ἡ ΘΚ τῆ EB. ἀλλὰ ἡ EB τῆ EA ἐστὶν ἴση· καὶ ἡ AE ἄρα τῆ ΘΚ ἐστὶν ἴση. ἔστι δὲ καὶ ἡ ΑΘ τῆ ΘΔ ἴση· δύο δὲ αἱ EA, ΑΘ δυσὶ ταῖς ΚΘ, ΘΔ ἴσαι εἰσὶν ἑκατέρα ἑκατέρα· καὶ γωνία ἡ ὑπὸ ΕΑΘ γωνία τῆ ὑπὸ ΚΘΔ ἴση· βάσις ἄρα ἡ ΕΘ βάσει τῆ ΚΔ ἐστὶν ἴση. ἴσον ἄρα καὶ ὁμοίον ἐστὶ τὸ ΑΕΘ τρίγωνον τῷ ΘΚΔ τριγώνῳ. διὰ τὰ αὐτὰ δὲ καὶ τὸ ΑΘΗ τρίγωνον τῷ ΘΛΔ τριγώνῳ ἴσον τέ ἐστὶ καὶ ὁμοίον. καὶ ἐπεὶ δύο εὐθεῖαι ἀπτόμεναι ἀλλήλων αἱ ΕΘ, ΘΗ παρὰ δύο εὐθείας ἀπτομένας ἀλλήλων τὰς ΚΔ, ΔΛ εἰσὶν οὐκ ἐν τῷ αὐτῷ ἐπιπέδῳ οὔσαι, ἴσας γωνίας περιέξουσιν. ἴση ἄρα ἐστὶν ἡ ὑπὸ ΕΘΗ γωνία τῆ ὑπὸ ΚΔΛ γωνία. καὶ ἐπεὶ δύο εὐθεῖαι αἱ ΕΘ, ΘΗ δυσὶ ταῖς ΚΔ, ΔΛ ἴσαι εἰσὶν ἑκατέρα ἑκατέρα, καὶ γωνία ἡ ὑπὸ ΕΘΗ γωνία τῆ ὑπὸ ΚΔΛ ἐστὶν ἴση, βάσις ἄρα ἡ ΕΗ βάσει τῆ ΚΛ [ἐστὶν] ἴση· ἴσον ἄρα καὶ ὁμοίον ἐστὶ τὸ ΕΘΗ τρίγωνον τῷ ΚΔΛ τριγώνῳ. διὰ τὰ αὐτὰ δὲ καὶ τὸ ΑΕΗ τρίγωνον τῷ ΘΚΛ τριγώνῳ ἴσον τε καὶ ὁμοίον ἐστὶν. ἡ ἄρα πυραμῖς, ἧς βάσις μὲν ἐστὶ τὸ ΑΕΗ τρίγωνον, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ Θ σημεῖον, ἴση καὶ ὁμοία ἐστὶ πυραμίδι, ἧς βάσις μὲν ἐστὶ τὸ ΘΚΛ τρίγωνον, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ Δ σημεῖον. καὶ ἐπεὶ τριγώνου τοῦ ΑΔΒ παρὰ μίαν τῶν πλευρῶν τὴν ΑΒ ἤχεται ἡ ΘΚ, ἰσογώνιον ἐστὶ τὸ ΑΔΒ τρίγωνον τῷ ΔΘΚ τριγώνῳ, καὶ τὰς πλευρὰς ἀνάλογον ἔχουσιν· ὁμοίον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ ΑΔΒ τρίγωνον τῷ ΔΘΚ τριγώνῳ. διὰ τὰ αὐτὰ δὲ καὶ τὸ μὲν ΔΒΓ τρίγωνον τῷ ΔΚΛ τριγώνῳ ὁμοίον ἐστὶν, τὸ δὲ ΑΔΓ τῷ ΔΛΘ. καὶ ἐπεὶ δύο εὐθεῖαι ἀπτομένας ἀλλήλων αἱ ΒΑ, ΑΓ παρὰ δύο εὐθείας ἀπτομένας ἀλλήλων τὰς ΚΘ, ΘΛ εἰσὶν οὐκ ἐν τῷ αὐτῷ ἐπιπέδῳ, ἴσας γωνίας περιέξουσιν. ἴση ἄρα ἐστὶν ἡ ὑπὸ ΒΑΓ γωνία τῆ ὑπὸ ΚΘΛ. καὶ ἐστὶν ὡς ἡ ΒΑ πρὸς τὴν ΑΓ, οὕτως ἡ ΚΘ πρὸς τὴν ΘΛ· ὁμοίον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ ΑΒΓ τρίγωνον τῷ ΘΚΛ τριγώνῳ. καὶ πυραμῖς ἄρα, ἧς βάσις μὲν ἐστὶ τὸ ΑΒΓ τρίγωνον, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ Δ σημεῖον, ὁμοία ἐστὶ πυραμίδι, ἧς βάσις μὲν ἐστὶ τὸ ΘΚΛ τρίγωνον, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ Δ σημεῖον. ἀλλὰ πυραμῖς, ἧς βάσις μὲν [ἐστὶ] τὸ ΘΚΛ τρίγωνον, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ Δ σημεῖον, ὁμοία ἐδείχθη πυραμίδι, ἧς βάσις μὲν ἐστὶ τὸ ΑΕΗ τρίγωνον, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ Θ σημεῖον. ἑκατέρα ἄρα τῶν ΑΕΗΘ, ΘΚΛΔ πυραμίδων ὁμοία ἐστὶ τῆ ὅλη τῆ ΑΒΓΔ πυραμίδι.

Καὶ ἐπεὶ ἴση ἐστὶν ἡ ΒΖ τῆ ΖΓ, διπλάσιον ἐστὶ τὸ ΕΒΖΗ παραλληλόγραμμον τοῦ ΗΖΓ τριγώνου. καὶ ἐπεὶ, ἐὰν ἦ δύο πρίσματα ἰσοῦψῆ, καὶ τὸ μὲν ἔχη βάσιν παραλληλόγραμμον, τὸ δὲ τρίγωνον, διπλάσιον δὲ ἦ τὸ παραλληλόγραμμον τοῦ τριγώνου, ἴσα ἐστὶ τὰ πρίσματα, ἴσον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ πρίσμα τὸ περιεχόμενον ὑπὸ δύο μὲν τριγώνων τῶν ΒΚΖ, ΕΘΗ, τριῶν δὲ παραλληλογράμμων τῶν ΕΒΖΗ, ΕΒΚΘ, ΘΚΖΗ τῷ πρισματι τῷ περιεχομένῳ ὑπὸ δύο μὲν τριγώνων τῶν ΗΖΓ, ΘΚΛ, τριῶν δὲ παραλληλογράμμων τῶν ΚΖΓΛ, ΛΓΗΘ, ΘΚΖΗ. καὶ φανερόν, ὅτι ἑκάτρων τῶν πρισμάτων, οὗ τε βάσις τὸ ΕΒΖΗ παραλληλόγραμμον, ἀπεναντίον δὲ ἡ ΘΚ εὐθεῖα, καὶ οὗ βάσις τὸ ΗΖΓ τρίγωνον, ἀπεναντίον δὲ τὸ ΘΚΛ τρίγωνον, μεῖζόν ἐστὶν ἑκατέρας

EH is thus parallel to *DB* [Prop. 6.2]. So, for the same (reasons), *HK* is also parallel to *AB*. Thus, *HEBK* is a parallelogram. Thus, *HK* is equal to *EB* [Prop. 1.34]. But, *EB* is equal to *EA*. Thus, *AE* is also equal to *HK*. And *AH* is also equal to *HD*. So the two (straight-lines) *EA* and *AH* are equal to the two (straight-lines) *KH* and *HD*, respectively. And angle *EAH* (is) equal to angle *KHD* [Prop. 1.29]. Thus, base *EH* is equal to base *KD* [Prop. 1.4]. Thus, triangle *AEH* is equal and similar to triangle *HKD* [Prop. 1.4]. So, for the same (reasons), triangle *AHG* is also equal and similar to triangle *HLD*. And since *EH* and *HG* are two straight-lines joining one another (which are respectively) parallel to two straight-lines joining one another, *KD* and *DL*, not being in the same plane, they will contain equal angles [Prop. 11.10]. Thus, angle *EHG* is equal to angle *KDL*. And since the two straight-lines *EH* and *HG* are equal to the two straight-lines *KD* and *DL*, respectively, and angle *EHG* is equal to angle *KDL*, base *EG* [is] thus equal to base *KL* [Prop. 1.4]. Thus, triangle *EHG* is equal and similar to triangle *KDL*. So, for the same (reasons), triangle *AEG* is also equal and similar to triangle *HKL*. Thus, the pyramid whose base is triangle *AEG*, and apex the point *H*, is equal and similar to the pyramid whose base is triangle *HKL*, and apex the point *D* [Def. 11.10]. And since *HK* has been drawn parallel to one of the sides, *AB*, of triangle *ADB*, triangle *ADB* is equiangular to triangle *DHK* [Prop. 1.29], and they have proportional sides. Thus, triangle *ADB* is similar to triangle *DHK* [Def. 6.1]. So, for the same (reasons), triangle *DBC* is also similar to triangle *DKL*, and *ADC* to *DLH*. And since two straight-lines joining one another, *BA* and *AC*, are parallel to two straight-lines joining one another, *KH* and *HL*, not in the same plane, they will contain equal angles [Prop. 11.10]. Thus, angle *BAC* is equal to (angle) *KHL*. And as *BA* is to *AC*, so *KH* (is) to *HL*. Thus, triangle *ABC* is similar to triangle *HKL* [Prop. 6.6]. And, thus, the pyramid whose base is triangle *ABC*, and apex the point *D*, is similar to the pyramid whose base is triangle *HKL*, and apex the point *D* [Def. 11.9]. But, the pyramid whose base [is] triangle *HKL*, and apex the point *D*, was shown (to be) similar to the pyramid whose base is triangle *AEG*, and apex the point *H*. Thus, each of the pyramids *AEGH* and *HKLD* is similar to the whole pyramid *ABCD*.

And since *BF* is equal to *FC*, parallelogram *EBFG* is double triangle *GFC* [Prop. 1.41]. And since, if two prisms (have) equal heights, and the former has a parallelogram as a base, and the latter a triangle, and the parallelogram (is) double the triangle, then the prisms are equal [Prop. 11.39], the prism contained by the two

τῶν πυραμίδων, ὧν βάσεις μὲν τὰ ΑΕΗ, ΘΚΛ τρίγωνα, κορυφαί, δὲ τὰ Θ, Δ σημεία, ἐπειδήπερ [καί] ἐὰν ἐπιζεύξωμεν τὰς ΕΖ, ΕΚ εὐθείας, τὸ μὲν πρίσμα, οὗ βάσις τὸ ΕΒΖΗ παραλληλόγραμμον, ἀπεναντίον δὲ ἡ ΘΚ εὐθεΐα, μείζον ἐστὶ τῆς πυραμίδος, ἧς βάσις τὸ ΕΒΖ τρίγωνον, κορυφή δὲ τὸ Κ σημεῖον. ἀλλ' ἡ πυραμίς, ἧς βάσις τὸ ΕΒΖ τρίγωνον, κορυφή δὲ τὸ Κ σημεῖον, ἴση ἐστὶ πυραμίδι, ἧς βάσις τὸ ΑΕΗ τρίγωνον, κορυφή δὲ τὸ Θ σημεῖον· ὑπὸ γὰρ ἴσων καὶ ὁμοίων ἐπιπέδων περιέχονται. ὥστε καὶ τὸ πρίσμα, οὗ βάσις μὲν τὸ ΕΒΖΗ παραλληλόγραμμον, ἀπεναντίον δὲ ἡ ΘΚ εὐθεΐα, μείζον ἐστὶ πυραμίδος, ἧς βάσις μὲν τὸ ΑΕΗ τρίγωνον, κορυφή δὲ τὸ Θ σημεῖον. ἴσον δὲ τὸ μὲν πρίσμα, οὗ βάσις τὸ ΕΒΖΗ παραλληλόγραμμον, ἀπεναντίον δὲ ἡ ΘΚ εὐθεΐα, τῷ πρίσματι, οὗ βάσις μὲν τὸ ΗΖΓ τρίγωνον, ἀπεναντίον δὲ τὸ ΘΚΛ τρίγωνον· ἡ δὲ πυραμίς, ἧς βάσις τὸ ΑΕΗ τρίγωνον, κορυφή δὲ τὸ Θ σημεῖον, ἴση ἐστὶ πυραμίδι, ἧς βάσις τὸ ΘΚΛ τρίγωνον, κορυφή δὲ τὸ Δ σημεῖον. τὰ ἄρα εἰρημένα δύο πρίσματα μείζονά ἐστι τῶν εἰρημένων δύο πυραμίδων, ὧν βάσεις μὲν τὰ ΑΕΗ, ΘΚΛ τρίγωνα, κορυφαί δὲ τὰ Θ, Δ σημεία.

Ἡ ἄρα ὅλη πυραμίς, ἧς βάσις τὸ ΑΒΓ τρίγωνον, κορυφή δὲ τὸ Δ σημεῖον, διήρηται εἰς τε δύο πυραμίδας ἴσας ἀλλήλαις [καὶ ὁμοίας τῇ ὅλῃ] καὶ εἰς δύο πρίσματα ἴσα, καὶ τὰ δύο πρίσματα μείζονά ἐστὶν ἢ τὸ ἥμισυ τῆς ὅλης πυραμίδος· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

δ'.

Ἐὰν ὦσι δύο πυραμίδες ὑπὸ τὸ αὐτὸ ὕψος τριγώνους ἔχουσαι βάσεις, διαιρεθῆ δὲ ἑκατέρα αὐτῶν εἰς τε δύο πυραμίδας ἴσας ἀλλήλαις καὶ ὁμοίας τῇ ὅλῃ καὶ εἰς δύο πρίσματα ἴσα, ἔσται ὡς ἡ τῆς μιᾶς πυραμίδος βάσις πρὸς τὴν τῆς ἑτέρας πυραμίδος βάσιν, οὕτως τὰ ἐν τῇ μιᾷ πυραμίδι πρίσματα πάντα πρὸς τὰ ἐν τῇ ἑτέρᾳ πυραμίδι πρίσματα πάντα ἰσοπληθῆ.

Ἐστῶσαν δύο πυραμίδες ὑπὸ τὸ αὐτὸ ὕψος τριγώνους ἔχουσαι βάσεις τὰς ΑΒΓ, ΔΕΖ, κορυφὰς δὲ τὰ Η, Θ σημεία, καὶ διηρήσθω ἑκατέρα αὐτῶν εἰς τε δύο πυραμίδας ἴσας ἀλλήλαις καὶ ὁμοίας τῇ ὅλῃ καὶ εἰς δύο πρίσματα ἴσα· λέγω,

triangles BKF and EHG , and the three parallelograms $EBFG$, $EBKH$, and $HKFG$, is thus equal to the prism contained by the two triangles GFC and HKL , and the three parallelograms $KFCL$, $LCGH$, and $HKFG$. And (it is) clear that each of the prisms whose base (is) parallelogram $EBFG$, and opposite (side) straight-line HK , and whose base (is) triangle GFC , and opposite (plane) triangle HKL , is greater than each of the pyramids whose bases are triangles AEG and HKL , and apex the points H and D (respectively), inasmuch as, if we [also] join the straight-lines EF and EK then the prism whose base (is) parallelogram $EBFG$, and opposite (side) straight-line HK , is greater than the pyramid whose base (is) triangle EBF , and apex the point K . But the pyramid whose base (is) triangle EBF , and apex the point K , is equal to the pyramid whose base is triangle AEG , and apex point H . For they are contained by equal and similar planes. And, hence, the prism whose base (is) parallelogram $EBFG$, and opposite (side) straight-line HK , is greater than the pyramid whose base (is) triangle AEG , and apex the point H . And the prism whose base is parallelogram $EBFG$, and opposite (side) straight-line HK , (is) equal to the prism whose base (is) triangle GFC , and opposite (plane) triangle HKL . And the pyramid whose base (is) triangle AEG , and apex the point H , is equal to the pyramid whose base (is) triangle HKL , and apex the point D . Thus, the (sum of the) aforementioned two prisms is greater than the (sum of the) aforementioned two pyramids, whose bases (are) triangles AEG and HKL , and apexes the points H and D (respectively).





Thus, the whole pyramid, whose base (is) triangle ABC , and apex the point D , has been divided into two pyramids (which are) equal to one another [and similar to the whole], and into two equal prisms. And the (sum of the) two prisms is greater than half of the whole pyramid. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

Proposition 4

If there are two pyramids with the same height, having triangular bases, and each of them is divided into two pyramids equal to one another, and similar to the whole, and into two equal prisms then as the base of one pyramid (is) to the base of the other pyramid, so (the sum of) all the prisms in one pyramid will be to (the sum of) all the equal number of prisms in the other pyramid.

Let there be two pyramids with the same height, having the triangular bases ABC and DEF , (with) apexes the points G and H (respectively). And let each of them have been divided into two pyramids equal to one an-

ὅτι ἐστὶν ὡς ἡ $ABΓ$ βᾶσις πρὸς τὴν $ΔΕΖ$ βᾶσιν, οὕτως τὰ ἐν τῇ $ABΓH$ πυραμίδι πρίσματα πάντα πρὸς τὰ ἐν τῇ $ΔΕΖΘ$ πυραμίδι πρίσματα ἰσοπληθῆ.



Ἐπεὶ γὰρ ἴση ἐστὶν ἡ μὲν $ΒΞ$ τῇ $ΞΓ$, ἡ δὲ $ΑΛ$ τῇ $ΛΓ$, παράλληλος ἄρα ἐστὶν ἡ $ΛΞ$ τῇ $ΑΒ$ καὶ ὅμοιον τὸ $ΑΒΓ$ τρίγωνον τῷ $ΛΞΓ$ τριγώνῳ. διὰ τὰ αὐτὰ δὴ καὶ τὸ $ΔΕΖ$ τρίγωνον τῷ $ΡΦΖ$ τριγώνῳ ὅμοιον ἐστίν. καὶ ἐπεὶ διπλασίον ἐστὶν ἡ μὲν $ΒΓ$ τῆς $ΓΞ$, ἡ δὲ $ΕΖ$ τῆς $ΖΦ$, ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ἡ $ΒΓ$ πρὸς τὴν $ΓΞ$, οὕτως ἡ $ΕΖ$ πρὸς τὴν $ΖΦ$. καὶ ἀναγέγραπται ἀπὸ μὲν τῶν $ΒΓ$, $ΓΞ$ ὁμοιά τε καὶ ὁμοίως κείμενα εὐθύγραμμα τὰ $ΑΒΓ$, $ΛΞΓ$, ἀπὸ δὲ τῶν $ΕΖ$, $ΖΦ$ ὁμοιά τε καὶ ὁμοίως κείμενα [εὐθύγραμμα] τὰ $ΔΕΖ$, $ΡΦΖ$. ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς τὸ $ΑΒΓ$ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ $ΛΞΓ$ τρίγωνον, οὕτως τὸ $ΔΕΖ$ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ $ΡΦΖ$ τρίγωνον· ἐναλλάξ ἄρα ἐστὶν ὡς τὸ $ΑΒΓ$ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ $ΔΕΖ$ [τρίγωνον], οὕτως τὸ $ΛΞΓ$ [τρίγωνον] πρὸς τὸ $ΡΦΖ$ τρίγωνον. ἀλλ' ὡς τὸ $ΛΞΓ$ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ $ΡΦΖ$ τρίγωνον, οὕτως τὸ πρίσμα, οὗ βᾶσις μὲν [ἐστὶ] τὸ $ΛΞΓ$ τρίγωνον, ἀπεναντίον δὲ τὸ $ΟΜΝ$, πρὸς τὸ πρίσμα, οὗ βᾶσις μὲν τὸ $ΡΦΖ$ τρίγωνον, ἀπεναντίον δὲ τὸ $ΣΤΥ$. καὶ ὡς ἄρα τὸ $ΑΒΓ$ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ $ΔΕΖ$ τρίγωνον, οὕτως τὸ πρίσμα, οὗ βᾶσις μὲν τὸ $ΛΞΓ$ τρίγωνον, ἀπεναντίον δὲ τὸ $ΟΜΝ$, πρὸς τὸ πρίσμα, οὗ βᾶσις μὲν τὸ $ΡΦΖ$ τρίγωνον, ἀπεναντίον δὲ τὸ $ΣΤΥ$. ὡς δὲ τὰ εἰρημένα πρίσματα πρὸς ἄλληλα, οὕτως τὸ πρίσμα, οὗ βᾶσις μὲν τὸ $ΚΒΞΛ$ παραλληλόγραμμον, ἀπεναντίον δὲ ἡ $ΟΜ$ εὐθεῖα, πρὸς τὸ πρίσμα, οὗ βᾶσις μὲν τὸ $ΠΕΦΡ$ παραλληλόγραμμον, ἀπεναντίον δὲ ἡ $ΣΤ$ εὐθεῖα. καὶ τὰ δύο ἄρα πρίσματα, οὗ τε βᾶσις μὲν τὸ $ΚΒΞΛ$ παραλληλόγραμμον, ἀπεναντίον δὲ ἡ $ΟΜ$, καὶ οὗ βᾶσις μὲν τὸ $ΛΞΓ$, ἀπεναντίον δὲ τὸ $ΟΜΝ$, πρὸς τὰ πρίσματα, οὗ τε βᾶσις μὲν τὸ $ΠΕΦΡ$, ἀπεναντίον δὲ ἡ $ΣΤ$ εὐθεῖα, καὶ οὗ βᾶσις μὲν τὸ $ΡΦΖ$ τρίγωνον, ἀπεναντίον δὲ τὸ $ΣΤΥ$. καὶ ὡς ἄρα ἡ $ΑΒΓ$ βᾶσις πρὸς τὴν $ΔΕΖ$ βᾶσιν, οὕτως τὰ εἰρημένα δύο πρίσματα πρὸς τὰ εἰρημένα δύο πρίσματα.

Καὶ ὁμοίως, ἐὰν διαιρεθῶσιν αἱ $ΟΜΝΗ$, $ΣΤΥΘ$ πυραμίδες εἰς τε δύο πρίσματα καὶ δύο πυραμίδας, ἔσται ὡς ἡ

other, and similar to the whole, and into two equal prisms [Prop. 12.3]. I say that as base ABC is to base DEF , so (the sum of) all the prisms in pyramid $ABCG$ (is) to (the sum of) all the equal number of prisms in pyramid $DEFH$.

For since BO is equal to OC , and AL to LC , LO is thus parallel to AB , and triangle ABC similar to triangle LOC [Prop. 12.3]. So, for the same (reasons), triangle DEF is also similar to triangle RVF . And since BC is double CO , and EF (double) FV , thus as BC (is) to CO , so EF (is) to FV . And the similar, and similarly laid out, rectilinear (figures) ABC and LOC have been described on BC and CO (respectively), and the similar, and similarly laid out, [rectilinear] (figures) DEF and RVF on EF and FV (respectively). Thus, as triangle ABC is to triangle LOC , so triangle DEF (is) to triangle RVF [Prop. 6.22]. Thus, alternately, as triangle ABC is to [triangle] DEF , so [triangle] LOC (is) to triangle RVF [Prop. 5.16]. But, as triangle LOC (is) to triangle RVF , so the prism whose base [is] triangle LOC , and opposite (plane) PMN , (is) to the prism whose base (is) triangle RVF , and opposite (plane) STU (see lemma). And, thus, as triangle ABC (is) to triangle DEF , so the prism whose base (is) triangle LOC , and opposite (plane) PMN , (is) to the prism whose base (is) triangle RVF , and opposite (plane) STU . And as the aforementioned prisms (are) to one another, so the prism whose base (is) parallelogram $KBOL$, and opposite (side) straight-line PM , (is) to the prism whose base (is) parallelogram $QEV R$, and opposite (side) straight-line ST [Props. 11.39, 12.3]. Thus, also, (is) the (sum of the) two prisms—that whose base (is) parallelogram $KBOL$, and opposite (side) PM , and that whose base (is) LOC , and opposite (plane) PMN —to (the sum of) the (two) prisms—that whose base (is) $QEV R$, and opposite (side) straight-line ST , and that whose base (is) triangle RVF , and opposite (plane) STU [Prop. 5.12]. And, thus, as base ABC (is) to base DEF , so the (sum

OMN βάσις πρὸς τὴν ΣΤΥ βάσιν, οὕτως τὰ ἐν τῇ OMNH πυραμίδι δύο πρίσματα πρὸς τὰ ἐν τῇ ΣΤΥΘ πυραμίδι δύο πρίσματα. ἀλλ' ὡς ἡ OMN βάσις πρὸς τὴν ΣΤΥ βάσιν, οὕτως ἡ ABΓ βάσις πρὸς τὴν ΔΕΖ βάσιν· ἴσον γὰρ ἑκάτερον τῶν OMN, ΣΤΥ τριγῶνων ἑκατέρω τῶν ΛΕΓ, ΡΦΖ. καὶ ὡς ἄρα ἡ ABΓ βάσις πρὸς τὴν ΔΕΖ βάσιν, οὕτως τὰ τέσσαρα πρίσματα πρὸς τὰ τέσσαρα πρίσματα. ὁμοίως δὲ καὶ τὰς ὑπολειπομένας πυραμίδας διέλωμεν εἰς τε δύο πυραμίδας καὶ εἰς δύο πρίσματα, ἔσται ὡς ἡ ABΓ βάσις πρὸς τὴν ΔΕΖ βάσιν, οὕτως τὰ ἐν τῇ ABΓH πυραμίδι πρίσματα πάντα πρὸς τὰ ἐν τῇ ΔΕΖΘ πυραμίδι πρίσματα πάντα ἰσοπληθῆ· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

Λήμμα.

Ὅτι δὲ ἔστιν ὡς τὸ ΛΕΓ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ ΡΦΖ τρίγωνον, οὕτως τὸ πρίσμα, οὗ βάσις τὸ ΛΕΓ τρίγωνον, ἀπεναντίον δὲ τὸ OMN, πρὸς τὸ πρίσμα, οὗ βάσις μὲν τὸ ΡΦΖ [τρίγωνον], ἀπεναντίον δὲ τὸ ΣΤΥ, οὕτω δεικτέον.

Ἐπὶ γὰρ τῆς αὐτῆς καταγραφῆς νενοήσθωσαν ἀπὸ τῶν H, Θ κάθετοι ἐπὶ τὰ ABΓ, ΔΕΖ ἐπίπεδα, ἴσαι δηλαδὴ τυγχάνουσαι διὰ τὸ ἰσοῦψεῖς ὑποκεῖσθαι τὰς πυραμίδας. καὶ ἐπεὶ δύο εὐθεῖαι ἢ τε ΗΓ καὶ ἡ ἀπὸ τοῦ H κάθετος ὑπὸ παραλλήλων ἐπιπέδων τῶν ABΓ, OMN τέμνονται, εἰς τοὺς αὐτοὺς λόγους τμηθῆσονται. καὶ τέμνηται ἡ ΗΓ δίχα ὑπὸ τοῦ OMN ἐπιπέδου κατὰ τὸ N· καὶ ἡ ἀπὸ τοῦ H ἄρα κάθετος ἐπὶ τὸ ABΓ ἐπίπεδον δίχα τμηθήσεται ὑπὸ τοῦ OMN ἐπιπέδου. διὰ τὰ αὐτὰ δὴ καὶ ἡ ἀπὸ τοῦ Θ κάθετος ἐπὶ τὸ ΔΕΖ ἐπίπεδον δίχα τμηθήσεται ὑπὸ τοῦ ΣΤΥ ἐπιπέδου. καὶ εἰσιν ἴσαι αἱ ἀπὸ τῶν H, Θ κάθετοι ἐπὶ τὰ ABΓ, ΔΕΖ ἐπίπεδα· ἴσαι ἄρα καὶ αἱ ἀπὸ τῶν OMN, ΣΤΥ τριγῶνων ἐπὶ τὰ ABΓ, ΔΕΖ κάθετοι. ἰσοῦψῆ ἄρα [ἔστι] τὰ πρίσματα, ὧν βάσεις μὲν εἰσι τὰ ΛΕΓ, ΡΦΖ τρίγωνα, ἀπεναντίον δὲ τὰ OMN, ΣΤΥ. ὥστε καὶ τὰ στερεὰ παραλληλεπίπεδα τὰ ἀπὸ τῶν εἰρημένων πρισματῶν ἀναγραφόμενα ἰσοῦψῆ καὶ πρὸς ἄλληλά [εἰσιν] ὡς αἱ βάσεις· καὶ τὰ ἡμίση ἄρα ἔστιν ὡς ἡ ΛΕΓ βάσις πρὸς τὴν ΡΦΖ βάσιν, οὕτως τὰ εἰρημένα πρίσματα πρὸς ἄλληλα· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

of the first) aforementioned two prisms (is) to the (sum of the second) aforementioned two prisms.

And, similarly, if pyramids *PMNG* and *STUH* are divided into two prisms, and two pyramids, as base *PMN* (is) to base *STU*, so (the sum of) the two prisms in pyramid *PMNG* will be to (the sum of) the two prisms in pyramid *STUH*. But, as base *PMN* (is) to base *STU*, so base *ABC* (is) to base *DEF*. For the triangles *PMN* and *STU* (are) equal to *LOC* and *RVF*, respectively. And, thus, as base *ABC* (is) to base *DEF*, so (the sum of) the four prisms (is) to (the sum of) the four prisms [Prop. 5.12]. So, similarly, even if we divide the pyramids left behind into two pyramids and into two prisms, as base *ABC* (is) to base *DEF*, so (the sum of) all the prisms in pyramid *ABCG* will be to (the sum of) all the equal number of prisms in pyramid *DEFH*. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.


Lemma

And one may show, as follows, that as triangle *LOC* is to triangle *RVF*, so the prism whose base (is) triangle *LOC*, and opposite (plane) *PMN*, (is) to the prism whose base (is) [triangle] *RVF*, and opposite (plane) *STU*.

For, in the same figure, let perpendiculars have been conceived (drawn) from (points) *G* and *H* to the planes *ABC* and *DEF* (respectively). These clearly turn out to be equal, on account of the pyramids being assumed (to be) of equal height. And since two straight-lines, *GC* and the perpendicular from *G*, are cut by the parallel planes *ABC* and *PMN* they will be cut in the same ratios [Prop. 11.17]. And *GC* was cut in half by the plane *PMN* at *N*. Thus, the perpendicular from *G* to the plane *ABC* will also be cut in half by the plane *PMN*. So, for the same (reasons), the perpendicular from *H* to the plane *DEF* will also be cut in half by the plane *STU*. And the perpendiculars from *G* and *H* to the planes *ABC* and *DEF* (respectively) are equal. Thus, the perpendiculars from the triangles *PMN* and *STU* to *ABC* and *DEF* (respectively, are) also equal. Thus, the prisms whose bases are triangles *LOC* and *RVF*, and opposite (sides) *PMN* and *STU* (respectively), [are] of equal height. And, hence, the parallelepiped solids described on the aforementioned prisms [are] of equal height and (are) to one another as their bases [Prop. 11.32]. Likewise, the halves (of the solids) [Prop. 11.28]. Thus, as base *LOC* is to base *RVF*, so the aforementioned prisms (are) to one another. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

ε΄.

Αἱ ὑπὸ τὸ αὐτὸ ὕψος οὔσαι πυραμίδες καὶ τριγώνους ἔχουσαι βάσεις πρὸς ἀλλήλας εἰσὶν ὡς αἱ βάσεις.




Ἐστῶσαν ὑπὸ τὸ αὐτὸ ὕψος πυραμίδες, ὧν βάσεις μὲν τὰ $ABΓ$, $ΔEZ$ τρίγωνα, κορυφαὶ δὲ τὰ H , $Θ$ σημεία· λέγω, ὅτι ἐστὶν ὡς ἡ $ABΓ$ βάσις πρὸς τὴν $ΔEZ$ βάσιν, οὕτως ἡ $ABΓH$ πυραμὶς πρὸς τὴν $ΔEZΘ$ πυραμίδα.

Εἰ γὰρ μὴ ἐστὶν ὡς ἡ $ABΓ$ βάσις πρὸς τὴν $ΔEZ$ βάσιν, οὕτως ἡ $ABΓH$ πυραμὶς πρὸς τὴν $ΔEZΘ$ πυραμίδα, ἔσται ὡς ἡ $ABΓ$ βάσις πρὸς τὴν $ΔEZ$ βάσιν, οὕτως ἡ $ABΓH$ πυραμὶς ἤτοι πρὸς ἕλασσόν τι τῆς $ΔEZΘ$ πυραμίδος στερεὸν ἢ πρὸς μείζον. ἔστω πρότερον πρὸς ἕλασσον τὸ X , καὶ διηρήσθω ἡ $ΔEZΘ$ πυραμὶς εἰς τε δύο πυραμίδας ἴσας ἀλλήλαις καὶ ὁμοίας τῇ ὅλῃ καὶ εἰς δύο πρίσματα ἴσα· τὰ δὴ δύο πρίσματα μείζονά ἐστιν ἢ τὸ ἥμισυ τῆς ὅλης πυραμίδος. καὶ πάλιν αἱ ἐκ τῆς διαρέσεως γινόμεναι πυραμίδες ὁμοίως διηρήσθωσαν, καὶ τοῦτο αἰεὶ γινέσθω, ἕως οὗ λειφθῶσί τινες πυραμίδες ἀπὸ τῆς $ΔEZΘ$ πυραμίδος, αἱ εἰσὶν ἐλάττωνας τῆς ὑπεροχῆς, ἢ ὑπερέχει ἡ $ΔEZΘ$ πυραμὶς τοῦ X στερεοῦ. λελείφθωσαν καὶ ἔστωσαν λόγου ἕνεκεν αἱ $ΔΠΡΣ$, $ΣΤΥΘ$ · λοιπὰ ἄρα τὰ ἐν τῇ $ΔEZΘ$ πυραμίδι πρίσματα μείζονά ἐστι τοῦ X στερεοῦ. διηρήσθω καὶ ἡ $ABΓH$ πυραμὶς ὁμοίως καὶ ἰσοπληθῶς τῇ $ΔEZΘ$ πυραμίδι· ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ἡ $ABΓ$ βάσις πρὸς τὴν $ΔEZ$ βάσιν, οὕτως τὰ ἐν τῇ $ABΓH$ πυραμίδι πρίσματα πρὸς τὰ ἐν τῇ $ΔEZΘ$ πυραμίδι πρίσματα, ἀλλὰ καὶ ὡς ἡ $ABΓ$ βάσις πρὸς τὴν $ΔEZ$ βάσιν, οὕτως ἡ $ABΓH$ πυραμὶς πρὸς τὸ X στερεόν· καὶ ὡς ἄρα ἡ $ABΓH$ πυραμὶς πρὸς τὸ X στερεόν, οὕτως τὰ ἐν τῇ $ABΓH$ πυραμίδι πρίσματα πρὸς τὰ ἐν τῇ $ΔEZΘ$ πυραμίδι πρίσματα· ἐναλλάξ ἄρα ὡς ἡ $ABΓH$ πυραμὶς πρὸς τὰ ἐν αὐτῇ πρίσματα, οὕτως τὸ X στερεόν πρὸς τὰ ἐν τῇ $ΔEZΘ$ πυραμίδι πρίσματα. μείζων δὲ ἡ $ABΓH$ πυραμὶς τῶν ἐν αὐτῇ πρισμάτων· μείζων ἄρα καὶ τὸ X στερεόν τῶν ἐν τῇ $ΔEZΘ$ πυραμίδι πρισμάτων. ἀλλὰ καὶ ἕλαττον· ὅπερ ἐστὶν ἀδύνατον. οὐκ ἄρα ἐστὶν ὡς ἡ $ABΓ$ βάσις πρὸς τὴν $ΔEZ$ βάσιν, οὕτως ἡ $ABΓH$ πυραμὶς πρὸς ἕλασσόν τι τῆς $ΔEZΘ$ πυραμίδος στερεόν. ὁμοίως δὴ δειχθήσεται, ὅτι οὐδὲ ὡς ἡ $ΔEZ$ βάσις πρὸς τὴν $ABΓ$ βάσιν, οὕτως ἡ $ΔEZΘ$ πυραμὶς πρὸς ἕλαττόν τι τῆς $ABΓH$ πυραμίδος στερεόν.

Λέγω δὴ, ὅτι οὐκ ἐστὶν οὐδὲ ὡς ἡ $ABΓ$ βάσις πρὸς τὴν $ΔEZ$ βάσιν, οὕτως ἡ $ABΓH$ πυραμὶς πρὸς μείζον τι τῆς $ΔEZΘ$ πυραμίδος στερεόν.

Proposition 5

Pyramids which are of the same height, and have triangular bases, are to one another as their bases.



Let there be pyramids of the same height whose bases (are) the triangles ABC and DEF , and apexes the points G and H (respectively). I say that as base ABC is to base DEF , so pyramid $ABCG$ (is) to pyramid $DEFH$.

For if base ABC is not to base DEF , as pyramid $ABCG$ (is) to pyramid $DEFH$, then base ABC will be to base DEF , as pyramid $ABCG$ (is) to some solid either less than, or greater than, pyramid $DEFH$. Let it, first of all, be (in this ratio) to (some) lesser (solid), W . And let pyramid $DEFH$ have been divided into two pyramids equal to one another, and similar to the whole, and into two equal prisms. So, the (sum of the) two prisms is greater than half of the whole pyramid [Prop. 12.3]. And, again, let the pyramids generated by the division have been similarly divided, and let this be done continually until some pyramids are left from pyramid $DEFH$ which (when added together) are less than the excess by which pyramid $DEFH$ exceeds the solid W [Prop. 10.1]. Let them have been left, and, for the sake of argument, let them be $DQRS$ and $STUH$. Thus, the (sum of the) remaining prisms within pyramid $DEFH$ is greater than solid W . Let pyramid $ABCG$ also have been divided similarly, and a similar number of times, as pyramid $DEFH$. Thus, as base ABC is to base DEF , so the (sum of the) prisms within pyramid $ABCG$ (is) to the (sum of the) prisms within pyramid $DEFH$ [Prop. 12.4]. But, also, as base ABC (is) to base DEF , so pyramid $ABCG$ (is) to solid W . And, thus, as pyramid $ABCG$ (is) to solid W , so the (sum of the) prisms within pyramid $ABCG$ (is) to the (sum of the) prisms within pyramid $DEFH$ [Prop. 5.11]. Thus, alternately, as pyramid $ABCG$ (is) to the (sum of the) prisms within it, so solid W (is) to the (sum of the) prisms within pyramid $DEFH$ [Prop. 5.16]. And pyramid $ABCG$ (is) greater than the (sum of the) prisms within it. Thus, solid W (is) also greater than the (sum of the) prisms within pyramid $DEFH$ [Prop. 5.14]. But, (it is) also less. This very thing is impossible. Thus, as base ABC is to base DEF , so pyramid $ABCG$ (is)

Εἰ γὰρ δυνατόν, ἔστω πρὸς μείζον τὸ X· ἀνάπαλιν ἄρα ἔστιν ὡς ἡ ΔΕΖ βᾶσις πρὸς τὴν ΑΒΓ βᾶσιν, οὕτως τὸ X στερεὸν πρὸς τὴν ΑΒΓΗ πυραμίδα. ὡς δὲ τὸ X στερεὸν πρὸς τὴν ΑΒΓΗ πυραμίδα, οὕτως ἡ ΔΕΖΘ πυραμὶς πρὸς ἔλασσόν τι τῆς ΑΒΓΗ πυραμίδος, ὡς ἐμπροσθεν ἐδείχθη· καὶ ὡς ἄρα ἡ ΔΕΖ βᾶσις πρὸς τὴν ΑΒΓ βᾶσιν, οὕτως ἡ ΔΕΖΘ πυραμὶς πρὸς ἔλασσόν τι τῆς ΑΒΓΗ πυραμίδος· ὅπερ ἄτοπον ἐδείχθη. οὐκ ἄρα ἔστιν ὡς ἡ ΑΒΓ βᾶσις πρὸς τὴν ΔΕΖ βᾶσιν, οὕτως ἡ ΑΒΓΗ πυραμὶς πρὸς μείζον τι τῆς ΔΕΖΘ πυραμίδος στερεόν. ἐδείχθη δέ, ὅτι οὐδὲ πρὸς ἔλασσον. ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ἡ ΑΒΓ βᾶσις πρὸς τὴν ΔΕΖ βᾶσιν, οὕτως ἡ ΑΒΓΗ πυραμὶς πρὸς τὴν ΔΕΖΘ πυραμίδα· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

not to some solid less than pyramid $DEFH$. So, similarly, we can show that base DEF is not to base ABC , as pyramid $DEFH$ (is) to some solid less than pyramid $ABCG$ either.

So, I say that neither is base ABC to base DEF , as pyramid $ABCG$ (is) to some solid greater than pyramid $DEFH$.





For, if possible, let it be (in this ratio) to some greater (solid), W . Thus, inversely, as base DEF (is) to base ABC , so solid W (is) to pyramid $ABCG$ [Prop. 5.7. corr.]. And as solid W (is) to pyramid $ABCG$, so pyramid $DEFH$ (is) to some (solid) less than pyramid $ABCG$, as shown before [Prop. 12.2 lem.]. And, thus, as base DEF (is) to base ABC , so pyramid $DEFH$ (is) to some (solid) less than pyramid $ABCG$ [Prop. 5.11]. The very thing was shown (to be) absurd. Thus, base ABC is not to base DEF , as pyramid $ABCG$ (is) to some solid greater than pyramid $DEFH$. And, it was shown that neither (is it in this ratio) to a lesser (solid). Thus, as base ABC is to base DEF , so pyramid $ABCG$ (is) to pyramid $DEFH$. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

ζ'.

Proposition 6

Αἱ ὑπὸ τὸ αὐτὸ ὕψος οὔσαι πυραμίδες καὶ πολυγώνους ἔχουσαι βᾶσεις πρὸς ἀλλήλας εἰσὶν ὡς αἱ βᾶσεις.

Pyramids which are of the same height, and have polygonal bases, are to one another as their bases.



Ἐστῶσαν ὑπὸ τὸ αὐτὸ ὕψος πυραμίδες, ὧν [αἱ] βᾶσεις μὲν τὰ ΑΒΓΔΕ, ΖΗΘΚΛ πολύγωνα, κορυφαὶ δὲ τὰ Μ, Ν σημεία· λέγω, ὅτι ἔστιν ὡς ἡ ΑΒΓΔΕ βᾶσις πρὸς τὴν ΖΗΘΚΛ βᾶσιν, οὕτως ἡ ΑΒΓΔΕΜ πυραμὶς πρὸς τὴν ΖΗΘΚΛΝ πυραμίδα.

Let there be pyramids of the same height whose bases (are) the polygons $ABCDE$ and $FGHKL$, and apexes the points M and N (respectively). I say that as base $ABCDE$ is to base $FGHKL$, so pyramid $ABCDEM$ (is) to pyramid $FGHKLN$.

Ἐπεζύχθησαν γὰρ αἱ ΑΓ, ΑΔ, ΖΘ, ΖΚ. ἐπεὶ οὖν δύο πυραμίδες εἰσὶν αἱ ΑΒΓΜ, ΑΓΔΜ τριγώνους ἔχουσαι βᾶσεις καὶ ὕψος ἴσον, πρὸς ἀλλήλας εἰσὶν ὡς αἱ βᾶσεις· ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ἡ ΑΒΓ βᾶσις πρὸς τὴν ΑΓΔ βᾶσιν, οὕτως ἡ ΑΒΓΜ πυραμὶς πρὸς τὴν ΑΓΔΜ πυραμίδα. καὶ συνθέντι ὡς ἡ ΑΒΓΔ βᾶσις πρὸς τὴν ΑΓΔ βᾶσιν, οὕτως ἡ ΑΒΓΔΜ

For let AC , AD , FH , and FK have been joined. Therefore, since $ABCM$ and $ACDM$ are two pyramids having triangular bases and equal height, they are to one another as their bases [Prop. 12.5]. Thus, as base ABC is to base ACD , so pyramid $ABCM$ (is) to pyramid $ACDM$. And, via composition, as base $ABCD$

πυραμίδας πρὸς τὴν ΑΓΔΜ πυραμίδα. ἀλλὰ καὶ ὡς ἡ ΑΓΔ βάσις πρὸς τὴν ΑΔΕ βάσιν, οὕτως ἡ ΑΓΔΜ πυραμίδας πρὸς τὴν ΑΔΕΜ πυραμίδα. δι' ἴσου ἄρα ὡς ἡ ΑΒΓΔ βάσις πρὸς τὴν ΑΔΕ βάσιν, οὕτως ἡ ΑΒΓΔΜ πυραμίδας πρὸς τὴν ΑΔΕΜ πυραμίδα. καὶ συνθέντι πάλιν, ὡς ἡ ΑΒΓΔΕ βάσις πρὸς τὴν ΑΔΕ βάσιν, οὕτως ἡ ΑΒΓΔΕΜ πυραμίδας πρὸς τὴν ΑΔΕΜ πυραμίδα. ὁμοίως δὲ δειχθήσεται, ὅτι καὶ ὡς ἡ ΖΗΘΚΑ βάσις πρὸς τὴν ΖΗΘ βάσιν, οὕτως καὶ ἡ ΖΗΘΚΑΝ πυραμίδας πρὸς τὴν ΖΗΘΝ πυραμίδα. καὶ ἐπεὶ δύο πυραμίδες εἰσὶν αἱ ΑΔΕΜ, ΖΗΘΝ τριγώνους ἔχουσαι βάσεις καὶ ὕψος ἴσον, ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ἡ ΑΔΕ βάσις πρὸς τὴν ΖΗΘ βάσιν, οὕτως ἡ ΑΔΕΜ πυραμίδας πρὸς τὴν ΖΗΘΝ πυραμίδα. ἀλλ' ὡς ἡ ΑΔΕ βάσις πρὸς τὴν ΑΒΓΔΕ βάσιν, οὕτως ἡ ΑΔΕΜ πυραμίδας πρὸς τὴν ΑΒΓΔΕΜ πυραμίδα. καὶ δι' ἴσου ἄρα ὡς ἡ ΑΒΓΔΕ βάσις πρὸς τὴν ΖΗΘ βάσιν, οὕτως ἡ ΑΒΓΔΕΜ πυραμίδας πρὸς τὴν ΖΗΘΝ πυραμίδα. ἀλλὰ μὴν καὶ ὡς ἡ ΖΗΘ βάσις πρὸς τὴν ΖΗΘΚΑ βάσιν, οὕτως ἡ ΖΗΘΝ πυραμίδας πρὸς τὴν ΖΗΘΚΑΝ πυραμίδα, καὶ δι' ἴσου ἄρα ὡς ἡ ΑΒΓΔΕ βάσις πρὸς τὴν ΖΗΘΚΑ βάσιν, οὕτως ἡ ΑΒΓΔΕΜ πυραμίδας πρὸς τὴν ΖΗΘΚΑΝ πυραμίδα· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.



(is) to base ACD , so pyramid $ABCDM$ (is) to pyramid $ACDM$ [Prop. 5.18]. But, as base ACD (is) to base ADE , so pyramid $ACDM$ (is) also to pyramid $ADEM$ [Prop. 12.5]. Thus, via equality, as base $ABCD$ (is) to base ADE , so pyramid $ABCDM$ (is) to pyramid $ADEM$ [Prop. 5.22]. And, again, via composition, as base $ABCDE$ (is) to base ADE , so pyramid $ABCDEM$ (is) to pyramid $ADEM$ [Prop. 5.18]. So, similarly, it can also be shown that as base $FGHKL$ (is) to base FGH , so pyramid $FGHKLN$ (is) also to pyramid $FGHN$. And since $ADEM$ and $FGHN$ are two pyramids having triangular bases and equal height, thus as base ADE (is) to base FGH , so pyramid $ADEM$ (is) to pyramid $FGHN$ [Prop. 12.5]. But, as base ADE (is) to base $ABCDE$, so pyramid $ADEM$ (was) to pyramid $ABCDEM$. Thus, via equality, as base $ABCDE$ (is) to base FGH , so pyramid $ABCDEM$ (is) also to pyramid $FGHN$ [Prop. 5.22]. But, furthermore, as base FGH (is) to base $FGHKL$, so pyramid $FGHN$ was also to pyramid $FGHKLN$. Thus, via equality, as base $ABCDE$ (is) to base $FGHKL$, so pyramid $ABCDEM$ (is) also to pyramid $FGHKLN$ [Prop. 5.22]. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

ζ'.

Πᾶν πρίσμα τρίγωνον ἔχον βάσιν διαιρεῖται εἰς τρεῖς πυραμίδας ἴσας ἀλλήλαις τριγώνους βάσεις ἔχούσας.

Proposition 7

Any prism having a triangular base is divided into three pyramids having triangular bases (which are) equal to one another.



Ἐστω πρίσμα, οὗ βάσις μὲν τὸ ΑΒΓ τρίγωνον, ἀπεναντίον δὲ τὸ ΔΕΖ· λέγω, ὅτι τὸ ΑΒΓΔΕΖ πρίσμα διαιρεῖται εἰς τρεῖς πυραμίδας ἴσας ἀλλήλαις τριγώνους ἔχούσας βάσεις.

Let there be a prism whose base (is) triangle ABC , and opposite (plane) DEF . I say that prism $ABCDEF$ is divided into three pyramids having triangular bases (which are) equal to one another.

Ἐπεζεύχθωσαν γὰρ αἱ ΒΔ, ΕΓ, ΓΔ. ἐπεὶ παραλληλόγραμμον ἔστι τὸ ΑΒΕΔ, διάμετρος δὲ αὐτοῦ ἔστιν ἡ ΒΔ, ἴσον ἄρα ἔστι τὸ ΑΒΔ τρίγωνον τῷ ΕΒΔ τριγώνω.

For let BD , EC , and CD have been joined. Since $ABED$ is a parallelogram, and BD is its diagonal, triangle ABD is thus equal to triangle EBD [Prop. 1.34].

καὶ ἡ πυραμὶς ἄρα, ἥς βάσις μὲν τὸ ABD τρίγωνον, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ Γ σημεῖον, ἴση ἐστὶ πυραμίδι, ἥς βάσις μὲν ἐστὶ τὸ ΔEB τρίγωνον, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ Γ σημεῖον. ἀλλὰ ἡ πυραμὶς, ἥς βάσις μὲν ἐστὶ τὸ ΔEB τρίγωνον, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ Γ σημεῖον, ἡ αὐτὴ ἐστὶ πυραμίδι, ἥς βάσις μὲν ἐστὶ τὸ $EB\Gamma$ τρίγωνον, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ Δ σημεῖον· ὑπὸ γὰρ τῶν αὐτῶν ἐπιπέδων περιέχεται. καὶ πυραμὶς ἄρα, ἥς βάσις μὲν ἐστὶ τὸ ABD τρίγωνον, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ Γ σημεῖον, ἴση ἐστὶ πυραμίδι, ἥς βάσις μὲν ἐστὶ τὸ $EB\Gamma$ τρίγωνον, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ Δ σημεῖον. πάλιν, ἐπεὶ παραλληλόγραμμόν ἐστὶ τὸ $Z\Gamma BE$, διάμετρος δὲ ἐστὶν αὐτοῦ ἡ ΓE , ἴσον ἐστὶ τὸ ΓEZ τρίγωνον τῷ ΓBE τριγώνῳ. καὶ πυραμὶς ἄρα, ἥς βάσις μὲν ἐστὶ τὸ $B\Gamma E$ τρίγωνον, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ Δ σημεῖον, ἴση ἐστὶ πυραμίδι, ἥς βάσις μὲν ἐστὶ τὸ $E\Gamma Z$ τρίγωνον, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ Δ σημεῖον. ἡ δὲ πυραμὶς, ἥς βάσις μὲν ἐστὶ τὸ $B\Gamma E$ τρίγωνον, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ Δ σημεῖον, ἴση ἐδείχθη πυραμίδι, ἥς βάσις μὲν ἐστὶ τὸ ABD τρίγωνον, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ Γ σημεῖον· καὶ πυραμὶς ἄρα, ἥς βάσις μὲν ἐστὶ τὸ ΓEZ τρίγωνον, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ Δ σημεῖον, ἴση ἐστὶ πυραμίδι, ἥς βάσις μὲν [ἐστὶ] τὸ ABD τρίγωνον, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ Γ σημεῖον· διήρηται ἄρα τὸ $AB\Gamma\Delta EZ$ πρίσμα εἰς τρεῖς πυραμίδας ἴσας ἀλλήλαις τριγώνους ἔχουσας βάσεις.

Καὶ ἐπεὶ πυραμὶς, ἥς βάσις μὲν ἐστὶ τὸ ABD τρίγωνον, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ Γ σημεῖον, ἡ αὐτὴ ἐστὶ πυραμίδι, ἥς βάσις τὸ ΓAB τρίγωνον, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ Δ σημεῖον· ὑπὸ γὰρ τῶν αὐτῶν ἐπιπέδων περιέχονται· ἡ δὲ πυραμὶς, ἥς βάσις τὸ ABD τρίγωνον, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ Γ σημεῖον, τρίτον ἐδείχθη τοῦ πρίσματος, οὗ βάσις τὸ $AB\Gamma$ τρίγωνον, ἀπεναντίον δὲ τὸ ΔEZ , καὶ ἡ πυραμὶς ἄρα, ἥς βάσις τὸ $AB\Gamma$ τρίγωνον, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ Δ σημεῖον, τρίτον ἐστὶ τοῦ πρίσματος τοῦ ἔχοντος βάσις τὴν αὐτὴν τὸ $AB\Gamma$ τρίγωνον, ἀπεναντίον δὲ τὸ ΔEZ .

Πόρισμα.

Ἐκ δὴ τούτου φανερόν, ὅτι πᾶσα πυραμὶς τρίτον μέρος ἐστὶ τοῦ πρίσματος τοῦ τὴν αὐτὴν βάσιν ἔχοντος αὐτῇ καὶ ὕψος ἴσον· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

η'.

Αἱ ὅμοιαι πυραμίδες καὶ τριγώνους ἔχουσαι βάσεις ἐν τριπλασίονι λόγῳ εἰσὶ τῶν ὁμολόγων πλευρῶν.

Ἔστωσαν ὅμοιαι καὶ ὁμοίως κείμεναι πυραμίδες, ὧν βάσεις μὲν εἰσὶ τὰ $AB\Gamma$, ΔEZ τρίγωνα, κορυφαὶ δὲ τὰ H , Θ σημεία· λέγω, ὅτι ἡ $AB\Gamma H$ πυραμὶς πρὸς τὴν $\Delta EZ\Theta$ πυραμίδα τριπλασίονα λόγον ἔχει ἤπερ ἡ $B\Gamma$ πρὸς τὴν EZ .

And, thus, the pyramid whose base (is) triangle ABD , and apex the point C , is equal to the pyramid whose base is triangle DEB , and apex the point C [Prop. 12.5]. But, the pyramid whose base is triangle DEB , and apex the point C , is the same as the pyramid whose base is triangle EBC , and apex the point D . For they are contained by the same planes. And, thus, the pyramid whose base is ABD , and apex the point C , is equal to the pyramid whose base is EBC and apex the point D . Again, since $FCBE$ is a parallelogram, and CE is its diagonal, triangle CEF is equal to triangle CBE [Prop. 1.34]. And, thus, the pyramid whose base is triangle BCE , and apex the point D , is equal to the pyramid whose base is triangle ECF , and apex the point D [Prop. 12.5]. And the pyramid whose base is triangle BCE , and apex the point D , was shown (to be) equal to the pyramid whose base is triangle ABD , and apex the point C . Thus, the pyramid whose base is triangle CEF , and apex the point D , is also equal to the pyramid whose base [is] triangle ABD , and apex the point C . Thus, the prism $ABCDEF$ has been divided into three pyramids having triangular bases (which are) equal to one another.

And since the pyramid whose base is triangle ABD , and apex the point C , is the same as the pyramid whose base is triangle CAB , and apex the point D . For they are contained by the same planes. And the pyramid whose base (is) triangle ABD , and apex the point C , was shown (to be) a third of the prism whose base is triangle ABC , and opposite (plane) DEF , thus the pyramid whose base is triangle ABC , and apex the point D , is also a third of the pyramid having the same base, triangle ABC , and opposite (plane) DEF .


Corollary

And, from this, (it is) clear that any pyramid is the third part of the prism having the same base as it, and an equal height. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

Proposition 8

Similar pyramids which also have triangular bases are in the cubed ratio of their corresponding sides.

Let there be similar, and similarly laid out, pyramids whose bases are triangles ABC and DEF , and apexes the points G and H (respectively). I say that pyramid $ABCG$ has to pyramid $DEFH$ the cubed ratio of that BC (has) to EF .



Συμπεληρώσωθα γὰρ τὰ ΒΗΜΛ, ΕΘΠΟ στερεὰ παραλληλεπίπεδα. καὶ ἐπεὶ ὁμοία ἐστὶν ἡ ΑΒΓΗ πυραμὶς τῇ ΔΕΖΘ πυραμίδι, ἴση ἄρα ἐστὶν ἡ μὲν ὑπὸ ΑΒΓ γωνία τῇ ὑπὸ ΔΕΖ γωνία, ἢ δὲ ὑπὸ ΗΒΓ τῇ ὑπὸ ΘΕΖ, ἢ δὲ ὑπὸ ΑΒΗ τῇ ὑπὸ ΔΕΘ, καὶ ἐστὶν ὡς ἡ ΑΒ πρὸς τὴν ΔΕ, οὕτως ἡ ΒΓ πρὸς τὴν ΕΖ, καὶ ἡ ΒΗ πρὸς τὴν ΕΘ. καὶ ἐπεὶ ἐστὶν ὡς ἡ ΑΒ πρὸς τὴν ΔΕ, οὕτως ἡ ΒΓ πρὸς τὴν ΕΖ, καὶ περὶ ἴσας γωνίας αἱ πλευραὶ ἀνάλογόν εἰσιν, ὁμοιον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ ΒΜ παραλληλόγραμμον τῷ ΕΠ παραλληλογράμμῳ. διὰ τὰ αὐτὰ δὴ καὶ τὸ μὲν ΒΝ τῷ ΕΡ ὁμοιὸν ἐστὶ, τὸ δὲ ΒΚ τῷ ΕΞ· τὰ τρία ἄρα τὰ ΜΒ, ΒΚ, ΒΝ τρισὶ τοῖς ΕΠ, ΕΞ, ΕΡ ὁμοία ἐστὶν. ἀλλὰ τὰ μὲν τρία τὰ ΜΒ, ΒΚ, ΒΝ τρισὶ τοῖς ἀπεναντίον ἴσα τε καὶ ὁμοία ἐστὶν, τὰ δὲ τρία τὰ ΕΠ, ΕΞ, ΕΡ τρισὶ τοῖς ἀπεναντίον ἴσα τε καὶ ὁμοία ἐστὶν. τὰ ΒΗΜΛ, ΕΘΠΟ ἄρα στερεὰ ὑπὸ ὁμοίων ἐπιπέδων ἴσων τὸ πλῆθος περιέχεται. ὁμοιον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ ΒΗΜΛ στερεὸν τῷ ΕΘΠΟ στερεῶ. τὰ δὲ ὁμοία στερεὰ παραλληλεπίπεδα ἐν τριπλασίονι λόγῳ ἐστὶ τῶν ὁμολόγων πλευρῶν. τὸ ΒΗΜΛ ἄρα στερεὸν πρὸς τὸ ΕΘΠΟ στερεὸν τριπλασίονα λόγον ἔχει ἢ περὶ ἡ ὁμολόγος πλευρὰ ἢ ΒΓ πρὸς τὴν ὁμολόγον πλευρὰν τὴν ΕΖ. ὡς δὲ τὸ ΒΗΜΛ στερεὸν πρὸς τὸ ΕΘΠΟ στερεὸν, οὕτως ἡ ΑΒΓΗ πυραμὶς πρὸς τὴν ΔΕΖΘ πυραμίδα, ἐπειδὴ περὶ ἡ πυραμὶς ἔκτον μέρος ἐστὶ τοῦ στερεοῦ διὰ τὸ καὶ τὸ πρίσμα ἡμισυ ὄν τοῦ στερεοῦ παραλληλεπιπέδου τριπλασίον εἶναι τῆς πυραμίδος. καὶ ἡ ΑΒΓΗ ἄρα πυραμὶς πρὸς τὴν ΔΕΖΘ πυραμίδα τριπλασίονα λόγον ἔχει ἢ περὶ ἡ ΒΓ πρὸς τὴν ΕΖ ὅπερ εἶδει δεῖξαι.

For let the parallelepiped solids $BGML$ and $EHQP$ have been completed. And since pyramid $ABCG$ is similar to pyramid $DEFH$, angle ABC is thus equal to angle DEF , and GBC to HEF , and ABG to DEH . And as AB is to DE , so BC (is) to EF , and BG to EH [Def. 11.9]. And since as AB is to DE , so BC (is) to EF , and (so) the sides around equal angles are proportional, parallelogram BM is thus similar to parallelogram EQ . So, for the same (reasons), BN is also similar to ER , and BK to EO . Thus, the three (parallelograms) MB , BK , and BN are similar to the three (parallelograms) EQ , EO , ER (respectively). But, the three (parallelograms) MB , BK , and BN are (both) equal and similar to the three opposite (parallelograms), and the three (parallelograms) EQ , EO , and ER are (both) equal and similar to the three opposite (parallelograms) [Prop. 11.24]. Thus, the solids $BGML$ and $EHQP$ are contained by equal numbers of similar (and similarly laid out) planes. Thus, solid $BGML$ is similar to solid $EHQP$ [Def. 11.9]. And similar parallelepiped solids are in the cubed ratio of corresponding sides [Prop. 11.33]. Thus, solid $BGML$ has to solid $EHQP$ the cubed ratio that the corresponding side BC (has) to the corresponding side EF . And as solid $BGML$ (is) to solid $EHQP$, so pyramid $ABCG$ (is) to pyramid $DEFH$, inasmuch as the pyramid is the sixth part of the solid, on account of the prism, being half of the parallelepiped solid [Prop. 11.28], also being three times the pyramid [Prop. 12.7]. Thus, pyramid $ABCG$ also has to pyramid $DEFH$ the cubed ratio that BC (has) to EF . (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

Πόρισμα.

Ἐκ δὴ τούτου φανερόν, ὅτι καὶ αἱ πολυγώνους ἔχουσαι βάσεις ὁμοιαὶ πυραμίδες πρὸς ἀλλήλας ἐν τριπλασίονι λόγῳ εἰσὶ τῶν ὁμολόγων πλευρῶν. διαιρεθεισῶν γὰρ αὐτῶν εἰς τὰς ἐν αὐταῖς πυραμίδας τριγώνους βάσεις ἔχούσας τῶν καὶ τὰ ὁμοία πολύγωνα τῶν βάσεων εἰς ὁμοία τρίγωνα διαιρεῖσθαι καὶ ἴσα τῶν πλήθει καὶ ὁμολόγα τοῖς ὅλοις ἔσται

Corollary

So, from this, (it is) also clear that similar pyramids having polygonal bases (are) to one another as the cubed ratio of their corresponding sides. For, dividing them into the pyramids (contained) within them which have triangular bases, with the similar polygons of the bases also being divided into similar triangles (which are)

ὡς [ἡ] ἐν τῇ ἐτέρᾳ μία πυραμὶς τρίγωνον ἔχουσα βάσιν πρὸς τὴν ἐν τῇ ἐτέρᾳ μίαν πυραμίδα τρίγωνον ἔχουσαν βάσιν, οὕτως καὶ ἅπασαι αἱ ἐν τῇ ἐτέρᾳ πυραμίδι πυραμίδες τρίγωνους ἔχουσαι βάσεις πρὸς τὰς ἐν τῇ ἐτέρᾳ πυραμίδι πυραμίδας τρίγωνους βάσεις ἐχούσας, τουτέστιν αὐτὴ ἡ πολύγωνον βάσιν ἔχουσα πυραμὶς πρὸς τὴν πολύγωνον βάσιν ἔχουσαν πυραμίδα. ἡ δὲ τρίγωνον βάσιν ἔχουσα πυραμὶς πρὸς τὴν τρίγωνον βάσιν ἔχουσαν ἐν τριπλασίονι λόγῳ ἐστὶ τῶν ὁμολόγων πλευρῶν· καὶ ἡ πολύγωνον ἄρα βάσιν ἔχουσα πρὸς τὴν ὁμοίαν βάσιν ἔχουσαν τριπλασίονα λόγον ἔχει ἢπερ ἡ πλευρὰ πρὸς τὴν πλευράν.



both equal in number, and corresponding, to the wholes [Prop. 6.20]. As one pyramid having a triangular base in the former (pyramid having a polygonal base is) to one pyramid having a triangular base in the latter (pyramid having a polygonal base), so (the sum of) all the pyramids having triangular bases in the former pyramid will also be to (the sum of) all the pyramids having triangular bases in the latter pyramid [Prop. 5.12]—that is to say, the (former) pyramid itself having a polygonal base to the (latter) pyramid having a polygonal base. And a pyramid having a triangular base is to a (pyramid) having a triangular base in the cubed ratio of corresponding sides [Prop. 12.8]. Thus, a (pyramid) having a polygonal base also has to to a (pyramid) having a similar base the cubed ratio of a (corresponding) side to a (corresponding) side.

θ'.

Proposition 9

Τῶν ἴσων πυραμίδων καὶ τρίγωνους βάσεις ἔχουσῶν ἀντιπεπόνθησιν αἱ βάσεις τοῖς ὕψεσιν· καὶ ὧν πυραμίδων τρίγωνους βάσεις ἔχουσῶν ἀντιπεπόνθησιν αἱ βάσεις τοῖς ὕψεσιν, ἴσαι εἰσὶν ἐκεῖναι.

The bases of equal pyramids which also have triangular bases are reciprocally proportional to their heights. And those pyramids which have triangular bases whose bases are reciprocally proportional to their heights are equal.



Ἐστωσαν γὰρ ἴσαι πυραμίδες τρίγωνους βάσεις ἔχουσαι τὰς ABG , DEZ , κορυφὰς δὲ τὰ H , Θ σημεία· λέγω, ὅτι τῶν $ABGH$, $DEZH$ πυραμίδων ἀντιπεπόνθησιν αἱ βάσεις τοῖς ὕψεσιν, καὶ ἐστὶν ὡς ἡ ABG βάσις πρὸς τὴν DEZ βάσιν, οὕτως τὸ τῆς $DEZH$ πυραμίδος ὕψος πρὸς τὸ τῆς $ABGH$ πυραμίδος ὕψος.

For let there be (two) equal pyramids having the triangular bases ABC and DEF , and apexes the points G and H (respectively). I say that the bases of the pyramids $ABCG$ and $DEFH$ are reciprocally proportional to their heights, and (so) that as base ABC is to base DEF , so the height of pyramid $DEFH$ (is) to the height of pyramid $ABCG$.

Συμπεληρώσθω γὰρ τὰ $BHMA$, $E\Theta\Pi O$ στερεὰ παραλληλεπίπεδα. καὶ ἐπεὶ ἴση ἐστὶν ἡ $ABGH$ πυραμὶς τῇ $DEZH$ πυραμίδι, καὶ ἐστὶ τῆς μὲν $ABGH$ πυραμίδος ἕξαπλάσιον τὸ $BHMA$ στερεόν, τῆς δὲ $DEZH$ πυραμίδος ἕξαπλάσιον τὸ $E\Theta\Pi O$ στερεόν, ἴσον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ $BHMA$ στερεόν τῷ $E\Theta\Pi O$ στερεῷ. τῶν δὲ ἴσων στερεῶν παραλληλεπιπέδων

For let the parallelepiped solids $BGML$ and $EHQP$ have been completed. And since pyramid $ABCG$ is equal to pyramid $DEFH$, and solid $BGML$ is six times pyramid $ABCG$ (see previous proposition), and solid $EHQP$ (is) six times pyramid $DEFH$, solid $BGML$ is

ἀντιπεπόνθασιν αἱ βάσεις τοῖς ὕψεσιν· ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ἡ BM βάσις πρὸς τὴν EP βάσιν, οὕτως τὸ τοῦ $EΘΠO$ στερεοῦ ὕψος πρὸς τὸ τοῦ $BHMA$ στερεοῦ ὕψος. ἀλλ' ὡς ἡ BM βάσις πρὸς τὴν EP , οὕτως τὸ $ABΓ$ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ $ΔEZ$ τρίγωνον. καὶ ὡς ἄρα τὸ $ABΓ$ τρίγωνον πρὸς τὸ $ΔEZ$ τρίγωνον, οὕτως τὸ τοῦ $EΘΠO$ στερεοῦ ὕψος πρὸς τὸ τοῦ $BHMA$ στερεοῦ ὕψος. ἀλλὰ τὸ μὲν τοῦ $EΘΠO$ στερεοῦ ὕψος τὸ αὐτὸ ἐστὶ τῷ τῆς $ΔEZΘ$ πυραμίδος ὕψει, τὸ δὲ τοῦ $BHMA$ στερεοῦ ὕψος τὸ αὐτὸ ἐστὶ τῷ τῆς $ABΓH$ πυραμίδος ὕψει· ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ἡ $ABΓ$ βάσις πρὸς τὴν $ΔEZ$ βάσιν, οὕτως τὸ τῆς $ΔEZΘ$ πυραμίδος ὕψος πρὸς τὸ τῆς $ABΓH$ πυραμίδος ὕψος. τῶν $ABΓH$, $ΔEZΘ$ ἄρα πυραμίδων ἀντιπεπόνθασιν αἱ βάσεις τοῖς ὕψεσιν.

Ἄλλὰ δὴ τῶν $ABΓH$, $ΔEZΘ$ πυραμίδων ἀντιπεπονθέντων αἱ βάσεις τοῖς ὕψεσιν, καὶ ἔστω ὡς ἡ $ABΓ$ βάσις πρὸς τὴν $ΔEZ$ βάσιν, οὕτως τὸ τῆς $ΔEZΘ$ πυραμίδος ὕψος πρὸς τὸ τῆς $ABΓH$ πυραμίδος ὕψος· λέγω, ὅτι ἴση ἐστὶν ἡ $ABΓH$ πυραμὶς τῇ $ΔEZΘ$ πυραμίδι.

Τῶν γὰρ αὐτῶν κατασκευασθέντων, ἐπεὶ ἐστὶν ὡς ἡ $ABΓ$ βάσις πρὸς τὴν $ΔEZ$ βάσιν, οὕτως τὸ τῆς $ΔEZΘ$ πυραμίδος ὕψος πρὸς τὸ τῆς $ABΓH$ πυραμίδος ὕψος, ἀλλ' ὡς ἡ $ABΓ$ βάσις πρὸς τὴν $ΔEZ$ βάσιν, οὕτως τὸ BM παραλληλόγραμμον πρὸς τὸ EP παραλληλόγραμμον, καὶ ὡς ἄρα τὸ BM παραλληλόγραμμον πρὸς τὸ EP παραλληλόγραμμον, οὕτως τὸ τῆς $ΔEZΘ$ πυραμίδος ὕψος πρὸς τὸ τῆς $ABΓH$ πυραμίδος ὕψος. ἀλλὰ τὸ [μὲν] τῆς $ΔEZΘ$ πυραμίδος ὕψος τὸ αὐτὸ ἐστὶ τῷ τοῦ $EΘΠO$ παραλληλεπιπέδου ὕψει, τὸ δὲ τῆς $ABΓH$ πυραμίδος ὕψος τὸ αὐτὸ ἐστὶ τῷ τοῦ $BHMA$ παραλληλεπιπέδου ὕψει· ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ἡ BM βάσις πρὸς τὴν EP βάσιν, οὕτως τὸ τοῦ $EΘΠO$ παραλληλεπιπέδου ὕψος πρὸς τὸ τοῦ $BHMA$ παραλληλεπιπέδου ὕψος. ὦν δὲ στερεῶν παραλληλεπιπέδων ἀντιπεπόνθασιν αἱ βάσεις τοῖς ὕψεσιν, ἴσα ἐστὶν ἐκεῖνα· ἴσον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ $BHMA$ στερεὸν παραλληλεπίπεδον τῷ $EΘΠO$ στερεῷ παραλληλεπίπεδῳ. καὶ ἐστὶ τοῦ μὲν $BHMA$ ἕκτον μέρος ἡ $ABΓH$ πυραμὶς, τοῦ δὲ $EΘΠO$ παραλληλεπιπέδου ἕκτον μέρος ἡ $ΔEZΘ$ πυραμὶς· ἴση ἄρα ἡ $ABΓH$ πυραμὶς τῇ $ΔEZΘ$ πυραμίδι.

Τῶν ἄρα ἴσων πυραμίδων καὶ τριγώνους βάσεις ἔχουσῶν ἀντιπεπόνθασιν αἱ βάσεις τοῖς ὕψεσιν· καὶ ὦν πυραμίδων τριγώνους βάσεις ἔχουσῶν ἀντιπεπόνθασιν αἱ βάσεις τοῖς ὕψεσιν, ἴσαι εἰσὶν ἐκεῖνα· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

ι'.

Πᾶς κῶνος κυλίνδρου τρίτον μέρος ἐστὶ τοῦ τὴν αὐτὴν βάσιν ἔχοντος αὐτῷ καὶ ὕψος ἴσον.

Ἐχέτω γὰρ κῶνος κυλίνδρῳ βάσιν τε τὴν αὐτὴν τὸν

thus equal to solid $EHQP$. And the bases of equal parallelepiped solids are reciprocally proportional to their heights [Prop. 11.34]. Thus, as base BM is to base EQ , so the height of solid $EHQP$ (is) to the height of solid $BGML$. But, as base BM (is) to base EQ , so triangle ABC (is) to triangle DEF [Prop. 1.34]. And, thus, as triangle ABC (is) to triangle DEF , so the height of solid $EHQP$ (is) to the height of solid $BGML$ [Prop. 5.11]. But, the height of solid $EHQP$ is the same as the height of pyramid $DEFH$, and the height of solid $BGML$ is the same as the height of pyramid $ABCG$. Thus, as base ABC is to base DEF , so the height of pyramid $DEFH$ (is) to the height of pyramid $ABCG$. Thus, the bases of pyramids $ABCG$ and $DEFH$ are reciprocally proportional to their heights.

And so, let the bases of pyramids $ABCG$ and $DEFH$ be reciprocally proportional to their heights, and (thus) let base ABC be to base DEF , as the height of pyramid $DEFH$ (is) to the height of pyramid $ABCG$. I say that pyramid $ABCG$ is equal to pyramid $DEFH$.

For, with the same construction, since as base ABC is to base DEF , so the height of pyramid $DEFH$ (is) to the height of pyramid $ABCG$, but as base ABC (is) to base DEF , so parallelogram BM (is) to parallelogram EQ [Prop. 1.34], thus as parallelogram BM (is) to parallelogram EQ , so the height of pyramid $DEFH$ (is) also to the height of pyramid $ABCG$ [Prop. 5.11]. But, the height of pyramid $DEFH$ is the same as the height of parallelepiped $EHQP$, and the height of pyramid $ABCG$ is the same as the height of parallelepiped $BGML$. Thus, as base BM is to base EQ , so the height of parallelepiped $EHQP$ (is) to the height of parallelepiped $BGML$. And those parallelepiped solids whose bases are reciprocally proportional to their heights are equal [Prop. 11.34]. Thus, the parallelepiped solid $BGML$ is equal to the parallelepiped solid $EHQP$. And pyramid $ABCG$ is a sixth part of $BGML$, and pyramid $DEFH$ a sixth part of parallelepiped $EHQP$. Thus, pyramid $ABCG$ is equal to pyramid $DEFH$.

Thus, the bases of equal pyramids which also have triangular bases are reciprocally proportional to their heights. And those pyramids having triangular bases whose bases are reciprocally proportional to their heights are equal. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.



Proposition 10

Every cone is the third part of the cylinder which has the same base as it, and an equal height.

For let there be a cone (with) the same base as a cylin-

ΑΒΓΔ κύκλον καὶ ὕψος ἴσον· λέγω, ὅτι ὁ κώνος τοῦ κυλίνδρου τρίτον ἐστὶ μέρος, τουτέστιν ὅτι ὁ κύλινδρος τοῦ κώνου τριπλασίων ἐστίν.

der, (namely) the circle $ABCD$, and an equal height. I say that the cone is the third part of the cylinder—that is to say, that the cylinder is three times the cone.



Εἰ γὰρ μὴ ἐστὶν ὁ κύλινδρος τοῦ κώνου τριπλασίων, ἔσται ὁ κύλινδρος τοῦ κώνου ἢτοι μείζων ἢ τριπλασίων ἢ ἐλάσσων ἢ τριπλασίων. ἔστω πρότερον μείζων ἢ τριπλασίων, καὶ ἐγγεγράφω εἰς τὸν ΑΒΓΔ κύκλον τετράγωνον τὸ ΑΒΓΔ· τὸ δὴ ΑΒΓΔ τετράγωνον μείζον ἐστὶν ἢ τὸ ἥμισυ τοῦ ΑΒΓΔ κύκλου. καὶ ἀνεστάτω ἀπὸ τοῦ ΑΒΓΔ τετραγώνου πρίσμα ἰσοῦψές τῷ κυλίνδρῳ. τὸ δὴ ἀνιστάμενον πρίσμα μείζον ἐστὶν ἢ τὸ ἥμισυ τοῦ κυλίνδρου, ἐπειδήπερ καὶν περὶ τὸν ΑΒΓΔ κύκλον τετράγωνον περιγράψωμεν, τὸ ἐγγεγραμμένον εἰς τὸν ΑΒΓΔ κύκλον τετράγωνον ἥμισυ ἐστὶ τοῦ περιγεγραμμένου· καὶ ἐστὶ τὰ ἀπ' αὐτῶν ἀνιστάμενα στερεὰ παραλληλεπίπεδα πρίσματα ἰσοῦψῆ· τὰ δὲ ὑπὸ τὸ αὐτὸ ὕψος ὄντα στερεὰ παραλληλεπίπεδα πρὸς ἀλλήλα ἐστὶν ὡς αἱ βάσεις· καὶ τὸ ἐπὶ τοῦ ΑΒΓΔ ἄρα τετραγώνου ἀνασταθὲν πρίσμα ἥμισυ ἐστὶ τοῦ ἀνασταθέντος πρίσματος ἀπὸ τοῦ περὶ τὸν ΑΒΓΔ κύκλον περιγραφέντος τετραγώνου· καὶ ἐστὶν ὁ κύλινδρος ἐλάττων τοῦ πρίσματος τοῦ ἀνασταθέντος ἀπὸ τοῦ περὶ τὸν ΑΒΓΔ κύκλον περιγραφέντος τετραγώνου· τὸ ἄρα πρίσμα τὸ ἀνασταθὲν ἀπὸ τοῦ ΑΒΓΔ τετραγώνου ἰσοῦψές τῷ κυλίνδρῳ μείζον ἐστὶ τοῦ ἡμίσεως τοῦ κυλίνδρου. τεμήσθωσαν αἱ ΑΒ, ΒΓ, ΓΔ, ΔΑ περιφέρειαι δίχα κατὰ τὰ Ε, Ζ, Η, Θ σημεῖα, καὶ ἐπεξεύχθωσαν αἱ ΑΕ, ΕΒ, ΒΖ, ΖΓ, ΓΗ, ΗΔ, ΔΘ, ΘΑ· καὶ ἕκαστον ἄρα τῶν ΑΕΒ, ΒΖΓ, ΓΗΔ, ΔΘΑ τριγώνων μείζον ἐστὶν ἢ τὸ ἥμισυ τοῦ καθ' ἑαυτὸ τμήματος τοῦ ΑΒΓΔ κύκλου, ὡς ἔμπροσθεν ἐδείκνυμεν. ἀνεστάτω ἐφ' ἕκαστου τῶν ΑΕΒ, ΒΖΓ, ΓΗΔ, ΔΘΑ τριγώνων πρίσματα ἰσοῦψῆ τῷ κυλίνδρῳ· καὶ ἕκαστον ἄρα τῶν ἀνασταθέντων πρισμάτων μείζον ἐστὶν ἢ τὸ ἥμισυ μέρος τοῦ καθ' ἑαυτὸ τμήματος τοῦ κυλίνδρου, ἐπειδήπερ ἔαν διὰ τῶν Ε, Ζ, Η, Θ σημείων παραλλήλους ταῖς ΑΒ, ΒΓ, ΓΔ, ΔΑ ἀγάγωμεν, καὶ συμπληρώσωμεν τὰ ἐπὶ τῶν ΑΒ, ΒΓ, ΓΔ, ΔΑ παραλ-

For if the cylinder is not three times the cone then the cylinder will be either more than three times, or less than three times, (the cone). Let it, first of all, be more than three times (the cone). And let the square $ABCD$ have been inscribed in circle $ABCD$ [Prop. 4.6]. So, square $ABCD$ is more than half of circle $ABCD$ [Prop. 12.2]. And let a prism of equal height to the cylinder have been set up on square $ABCD$. So, the prism set up is more than half of the cylinder, inasmuch as if we also circumscribe a square around circle $ABCD$ [Prop. 4.7] then the square inscribed in circle $ABCD$ is half of the circumscribed (square). And the solids set up on them are parallelepiped prisms of equal height. And parallelepiped solids having the same height are to one another as their bases [Prop. 11.32]. And, thus, the prism set up on square $ABCD$ is half of the prism set up on the square circumscribed about circle $ABCD$. And the cylinder is less than the prism set up on the square circumscribed about circle $ABCD$. Thus, the prism set up on square $ABCD$ of the same height as the cylinder is more than half of the cylinder. Let the circumferences AB , BC , CD , and DA have been cut in half at points E , F , G , and H . And let AE , EB , BF , FC , CG , GD , DH , and HA have been joined. And thus each of the triangles AEB , BFC , CGD , and DHA is more than half of the segment of circle $ABCD$ about it, as was shown previously [Prop. 12.2]. Let prisms of equal height to the cylinder have been set up on each of the triangles AEB , BFC , CGD , and DHA . And each of the prisms set up is greater than the half part of the segment of the cylinder about it—inasmuch as if we draw (straight-lines) parallel to AB , BC , CD , and DA through points E , F , G , and H

ληλόγραμμα, καὶ ἀπ' αὐτῶν ἀναστήσωμεν στερεὰ παραλληλεπίπεδα ἰσοῦψῆ τῷ κυλίνδρῳ, ἐκάστου τῶν ἀνασταθέντων ἡμίση ἐστὶ τὰ πρίσματα τὰ ἐπὶ τῶν AEB , $BZΓ$, $ΓΗΔ$, $ΔΘΑ$ τριγώνων· καὶ ἐστὶ τὰ τοῦ κυλίνδρου τμήματα ἐλάττονα τῶν ἀνασταθέντων στερεῶν παραλληλεπιπέδων· ὥστε καὶ τὰ ἐπὶ τῶν AEB , $BZΓ$, $ΓΗΔ$, $ΔΘΑ$ τριγώνων πρίσματα μεῖζονά ἐστὶν ἢ τὸ ἥμισυ τῶν καθ' ἑαυτὰ τοῦ κυλίνδρου τμημάτων. τέμνοντες δὴ τὰς ὑπολειπομένας περιφερείας δίχα καὶ ἐπιζευγνύντες εὐθείας καὶ ἀνιστάντες ἐφ' ἐκάστου τῶν τριγώνων πρίσματα ἰσοῦψῆ τῷ κυλίνδρῳ καὶ τοῦτο αἰ ποιοῦντες καταλείβομεν τινα ἀποτμήματα τοῦ κυλίνδρου, ἃ ἔσται ἐλάττονα τῆς ὑπεροχῆς, ἢ ὑπερέχει ὁ κύλινδρος τοῦ τριπλασίου τοῦ κώνου. λελείφθω, καὶ ἔστω τὰ AE , EB , BZ , $ZΓ$, $ΓΗ$, $ΗΔ$, $ΔΘ$, $ΘΑ$ · λοιπὸν ἄρα τὸ πρίσμα, οὗ βάσις μὲν τὸ $AEBZΓΗΔΘ$ πολύγωνον, ὕψος δὲ τὸ αὐτὸ τῷ κυλίνδρῳ, μεῖζόν ἐστὶν ἢ τριπλάσιον τοῦ κώνου. ἀλλὰ τὸ πρίσμα, οὗ βάσις μὲν ἐστὶ τὸ $AEBZΓΗΔΘ$ πολύγωνον, ὕψος δὲ τὸ αὐτὸ τῷ κυλίνδρῳ, τριπλάσιόν ἐστὶ τῆς πυραμίδος, ἥς βάσις μὲν ἐστὶ τὸ $AEBZΓΗΔΘ$ πολύγωνον, κορυφὴ δὲ ἡ αὐτὴ τῷ κώνῳ· καὶ ἡ πυραμὶς ἄρα, ἥς βάσις μὲν [ἐστὶ] τὸ $AEBZΓΗΔΘ$ πολύγωνον, κορυφὴ δὲ ἡ αὐτὴ τῷ κώνῳ, μεῖζων ἐστὶ τοῦ κώνου τοῦ βάσιν ἔχοντες τὸν $ABΓΔ$ κύκλον. ἀλλὰ καὶ ἐλάττων· ἐμπεριέχεται γὰρ ὑπ' αὐτοῦ· ὅπερ ἐστὶν ἀδύνατον. οὐκ ἄρα ἐστὶν ὁ κύλινδρος τοῦ κώνου μεῖζων ἢ τριπλάσιος.

Λέγω δὴ, ὅτι οὐδὲ ἐλάττων ἐστὶν ἢ τριπλάσιος ὁ κύλινδρος τοῦ κώνου.

Εἰ γὰρ δυνατὸν, ἔστω ἐλάττων ἢ τριπλάσιος ὁ κύλινδρος τοῦ κώνου· ἀνάπαλιν ἄρα ὁ κώνος τοῦ κυλίνδρου μεῖζων ἐστὶν ἢ τρίτον μέρος. ἐγγεγράφθω δὴ εἰς τὸν $ABΓΔ$ κύκλον τετράγωνον τὸ $ABΓΔ$ · τὸ $ABΓΔ$ ἄρα τετράγωνον μεῖζόν ἐστὶν ἢ τὸ ἥμισυ τοῦ $ABΓΔ$ κύκλου. καὶ ἀνεστάτω ἀπὸ τοῦ $ABΓΔ$ τετραγώνου πυραμὶς τὴν αὐτὴν κορυφὴν ἔχουσα τῷ κώνῳ· ἢ ἄρα ἀνασταθεῖσα πυραμὶς μεῖζων ἐστὶν ἢ τὸ ἥμισυ μέρος τοῦ κώνου, ἐπειδήπερ, ὡς ἔμπροσθεν ἐδείκνυμεν, ὅτι ἐὰν περὶ τὸν κύκλον τετράγωνον περιγράψωμεν, ἔσται τὸ $ABΓΔ$ τετράγωνον ἥμισυ τοῦ περὶ τὸν κύκλον περιγεγραμμένου τετραγώνου· καὶ ἐὰν ἀπὸ τῶν τετραγώνων στερεὰ παραλληλεπίπεδα ἀναστήσωμεν ἰσοῦψῆ τῷ κώνῳ, ἃ καὶ καλεῖται πρίσματα, ἔσται τὸ ἀνασταθέν ἀπὸ τοῦ $ABΓΔ$ τετραγώνου ἥμισυ τοῦ ἀνασταθέντος ἀπὸ τοῦ περὶ τὸν κύκλον περιγραφέντος τετραγώνου· πρὸς ἄλληλα γὰρ εἰσιν ὡς αἱ βάσεις. ὥστε καὶ τὰ τρίτα· καὶ πυραμὶς ἄρα, ἥς βάσις τὸ $ABΓΔ$ τετράγωνον, ἥμισυ ἐστὶ τῆς πυραμίδος τῆς ἀνασταθείσης ἀπὸ τοῦ περὶ τὸν κύκλον περιγραφέντος τετραγώνου. καὶ ἐστὶ μεῖζων ἢ πυραμὶς ἢ ἀνασταθεῖσα ἀπὸ τοῦ περὶ τὸν κύκλον τετραγώνου τοῦ κώνου· ἐμπεριέχει γὰρ αὐτόν. ἢ ἄρα πυραμὶς, ἥς βάσις τὸ $ABΓΔ$ τετράγωνον, κορυφὴ δὲ ἡ αὐτὴ τῷ κώνῳ, μεῖζων ἐστὶν ἢ τὸ ἥμισυ τοῦ κώνου. τεμήσθωσαν αἱ AB , $BΓ$, $ΓΔ$, $ΔΑ$ περιφέρειαι δίχα κατὰ τὰ E , Z , H , $Θ$ σημεία, καὶ ἐπεζεύχθωσαν αἱ

(respectively), and complete the parallelograms on AB , BC , CD , and DA , and set up parallelepiped solids of equal height to the cylinder on them, then the prisms on triangles AEB , BFC , CGD , and DHA are each half of the set up (parallelepipeds). And the segments of the cylinder are less than the set up parallelepiped solids. Hence, the prisms on triangles AEB , BFC , CGD , and DHA are also greater than half of the segments of the cylinder about them. So (if) the remaining circumferences are cut in half, and straight-lines are joined, and prisms of equal height to the cylinder are set up on each of the triangles, and this is done continually, then we will (eventually) leave some segments of the cylinder whose (sum) is less than the excess by which the cylinder exceeds three times the cone [Prop. 10.1]. Let them have been left, and let them be AE , EB , BF , FC , CG , GD , DH , and HA . Thus, the remaining prism whose base (is) polygon $AEBFCGDH$, and height the same as the cylinder, is greater than three times the cone. But, the prism whose base is polygon $AEBFCGDH$, and height the same as the cylinder, is three times the pyramid whose base is polygon $AEBFCGDH$, and apex the same as the cone [Prop. 12.7 corr.]. And thus the pyramid whose base [is] polygon $AEBFCGDH$, and apex the same as the cone, is greater than the cone having (as) base circle $ABCD$. But (it is) also less. For it is encompassed by it. The very thing (is) impossible. Thus, the cylinder is not more than three times the cone.

So, I say that neither (is) the cylinder less than three times the cone.

For, if possible, let the cylinder be less than three times the cone. Thus, inversely, the cone is greater than the third part of the cylinder. So, let the square $ABCD$ have been inscribed in circle $ABCD$ [Prop. 4.6]. Thus, square $ABCD$ is greater than half of circle $ABCD$. And let a pyramid having the same apex as the cone have been set up on square $ABCD$. Thus, the pyramid set up is greater than the half part of the cone, inasmuch as we showed previously that if we circumscribe a square about the circle [Prop. 4.7] then the square $ABCD$ will be half of the square circumscribed about the circle [Prop. 12.2]. And if we set up on the squares parallelepiped solids—which are also called prisms—of the same height as the cone, then the (prism) set up on square $ABCD$ will be half of the (prism) set up on the square circumscribed about the circle. For they are to one another as their bases [Prop. 11.32]. Hence, (the same) also (goes for) the thirds. Thus, the pyramid whose base is square $ABCD$ is half of the pyramid set up on the square circumscribed about the circle [Prop. 12.7 corr.]. And the pyramid set up on the square circumscribed about the circle is greater

ΑΕ, ΕΒ, ΒΖ, ΖΓ, ΓΗ, ΗΔ, ΔΘ, ΘΑ· και ἕκαστον ἄρα τῶν ΑΕΒ, ΒΖΓ, ΓΗΔ, ΔΘΑ τριγῶνων μεῖζόν ἐστιν ἢ τὸ ἥμισυ μέρος του καθ' ἑαυτὸ τμήματος τοῦ ΑΒΓΔ κύκλου. και ἀνεστάτωσαν ἐφ' ἑκάστου τῶν ΑΕΒ, ΒΖΓ, ΓΗΔ, ΔΘΑ τριγῶνων πυραμίδες τὴν αὐτὴν κορυφὴν ἔχουσαι τῶ κώνω· και ἑκάστη ἄρα τῶν ἀνασταθεισῶν πυραμίδων κατὰ τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον μεῖζων ἐστὶν ἢ τὸ ἥμισυ μέρος τοῦ καθ' ἑαυτὴν τμήματος τοῦ κώνου. τέμνοντες δὴ τὰς ὑπολειπομένας περιφερείας δίχα και ἐπιζευγνύντες εὐθείας και ἀνιστάντες ἐφ' ἑκάστου τῶν τριγῶνων πυραμίδα τὴν αὐτὴν κορυφὴν ἔχουσαν τῶ κώνω και τοῦτο αἰεὶ ποιῶντες καταλείψομεν τινα ἀποτμήματα τοῦ κώνου, ἃ ἔσται ἐλάττωνα τῆς ὑπεροχῆς, ἢ ὑπερέχει ὁ κώνος τοῦ τρίτου μέρους τοῦ κυλίνδρου. λελείφθω, και ἔστω τὰ ἐπὶ τῶν ΑΕ, ΕΒ, ΒΖ, ΖΓ, ΓΗ, ΗΔ, ΔΘ, ΘΑ· λοιπὴ ἄρα ἡ πυραμὶς, ἥς βᾶσις μὲν ἐστὶ τὸ ΑΕΒΖΓΗΔΘ πολύγωνον, κορυφὴ δὲ ἡ αὐτὴ τῶ κώνω, μεῖζων ἐστὶν ἢ τρίτον μέρος τοῦ κυλίνδρου. ἀλλ' ἡ πυραμὶς, ἥς βᾶσις μὲν ἐστὶ τὸ ΑΕΒΖΓΗΔΘ πολύγωνον, κορυφὴ δὲ ἡ αὐτὴ τῶ κώνω, τρίτον ἐστὶ μέρος τοῦ πρίσματος, οὗ βᾶσις μὲν ἐστὶ τὸ ΑΕΒΖΓΗΔΘ πολύγωνον, ὕψος δὲ τὸ αὐτὸ τῶ κυλίνδρω· τὸ ἄρα πρίσμα, οὗ βᾶσις μὲν ἐστὶ τὸ ΑΕΒΖΓΗΔΘ πολύγωνον, ὕψος δὲ τὸ αὐτὸ τῶ κυλίνδρω, μεῖζόν ἐστὶ τοῦ κυλίνδρου, οὗ βᾶσις ἐστὶν ὁ ΑΒΓΔ κύκλος. ἀλλὰ και ἔλαττον· ἐμπεριέχεται γὰρ ὑπ' αὐτοῦ· ὅπερ ἐστὶν ἀδύνατον. οὐκ ἄρα ὁ κύλινδρος τοῦ κώνου ἐλάττων ἐστὶν ἢ τριπλάσιος. ἐδείχθη δέ, ὅτι οὐδὲ μεῖζων ἢ τριπλάσιος· τριπλάσιος ἄρα ὁ κύλινδρος τοῦ κώνου· ὥστε ὁ κώνος τρίτον ἐστὶ μέρος τοῦ κυλίνδρου.

Πᾶς ἄρα κώνος κυλίνδρου τρίτον μέρος ἐστὶ τοῦ τὴν αὐτὴν βᾶσιν ἔχοντος αὐτῶ και ὕψος ἴσον· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

ια'.

Οἱ ὑπο τὸ αὐτὸ ὕψος ὄντες κῶνοι και κύλινδροι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσὶν ὡς αἱ βᾶσεις.

Ἔστωσαν ὑπὸ τὸ αὐτὸ ὕψος κῶνοι και κύλινδροι, ὧν βᾶσεις μὲν [εἰσὶν] οἱ ΑΒΓΔ, ΕΖΗΘ κύκλοι, ἄξονες δὲ οἱ ΚΛ, ΜΝ, διαμέτροι δὲ τῶν βᾶσεων αἱ ΑΓ, ΕΗ· λέγω, ὅτι ἐστὶν ὡς ὁ ΑΒΓΔ κύκλος πρὸς τὸν ΕΖΗΘ κύκλον, οὕτως ὁ ΑΛ κώνος πρὸς τὸν ΕΝ κώνον.


than the cone. For it encompasses it. Thus, the pyramid whose base is square $ABCD$, and apex the same as the cone, is greater than half of the cone. Let the circumferences AB , BC , CD , and DA have been cut in half at points E , F , G , and H (respectively). And let AE , EB , BF , FC , CG , GD , DH , and HA have been joined. And, thus, each of the triangles AEB , BFC , CGD , and DHA is greater than the half part of the segment of circle $ABCD$ about it [Prop. 12.2]. And let pyramids having the same apex as the cone have been set up on each of the triangles AEB , BFC , CGD , and DHA . And, thus, in the same way, each of the pyramids set up is more than the half part of the segment of the cone about it. So, (if) the remaining circumferences are cut in half, and straight-lines are joined, and pyramids having the same apex as the cone are set up on each of the triangles, and this is done continually, then we will (eventually) leave some segments of the cone whose (sum) is less than the excess by which the cone exceeds the third part of the cylinder [Prop. 10.1]. Let them have been left, and let them be the (segments) on AE , EB , BF , FC , CG , GD , DH , and HA . Thus, the remaining pyramid whose base is polygon $AEBFCGDH$, and apex the same as the cone, is greater than the third part of the cylinder. But, the pyramid whose base is polygon $AEBFCGDH$, and apex the same as the cone, is the third part of the prism whose base is polygon $AEBFCGDH$, and height the same as the cylinder [Prop. 12.7 corr.]. Thus, the prism whose base is polygon $AEBFCGDH$, and height the same as the cylinder, is greater than the cylinder whose base is circle $ABCD$. But, (it is) also less. For it is encompassed by it. The very thing is impossible. Thus, the cylinder is not less than three times the cone. And it was shown that neither (is it) greater than three times (the cone). Thus, the cylinder (is) three times the cone. Hence, the cone is the third part of the cylinder.

Thus, every cone is the third part of the cylinder which has the same base as it, and an equal height. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

Proposition 11

Cones and cylinders having the same height are to one another as their bases.

Let there be cones and cylinders of the same height whose bases [are] the circles $ABCD$ and $EFGH$, axes KL and MN , and diameters of the bases AC and EG (respectively). I say that as circle $ABCD$ is to circle $EFGH$, so cone AL (is) to cone EN .



Εἰ γὰρ μή, ἔσται ὡς ὁ $AB\Gamma\Delta$ κύκλος πρὸς τὸν $EZH\Theta$ κύκλον, οὕτως ὁ AA κώνος ἦτοι πρὸς ἕλασσόν τι τοῦ EN κώνου στερεὸν ἢ πρὸς μείζον. ἔστω πρότερον πρὸς ἕλασσον τὸ Ξ , καὶ ᾧ ἕλασσόν ἐστι τὸ Ξ στερεὸν τοῦ EN κώνου, ἐκεῖνῳ ἴσον ἔστω τὸ Ψ στερεόν· ὁ EN κώνος ἄρα ἴσος ἐστὶ τοῖς Ξ , Ψ στερεοῖς. ἐγγεγράφω εἰς τὸν $EZH\Theta$ κύκλον τετράγωνον τὸ $EZH\Theta$ · τὸ ἄρα τετράγωνον μείζον ἐστὶν ἢ τὸ ἥμισυ τοῦ κύκλου. ἀνεστάτω ἀπὸ τοῦ $EZH\Theta$ τετραγώνου πυραμὶς ἰσοῦψῆς τῶ κώνῳ· ἡ ἄρα ἀνασταθεῖσα πυραμὶς μείζων ἐστὶν ἢ τὸ ἥμισυ τοῦ κώνου, ἐπειδὴ περ ἔαν περιγράψωμεν περὶ τὸν κύκλον τετράγωνον, καὶ ἀπ' αὐτοῦ ἀναστήσωμεν πυραμίδα ἰσοῦψῆ τῶ κώνῳ, ἡ ἐγγραφεῖσα πυραμὶς ἥμισυ ἐστὶ τῆς περιγραφείσης· πρὸς ἀλλήλας γὰρ εἰσιν ὡς αἱ βάσεις· ἐλάττων δὲ ὁ κώνος τῆς περιγραφείσης πυραμίδος. τεμήσθωσαν αἱ EZ , ZH , $H\Theta$, ΘE περιφέρειαι διχα κατὰ τὰ O , Π , P , Σ σημεῖα, καὶ ἐπεξεύχθωσαν αἱ ΘO , $O E$, $E\Pi$, ΠZ , ZP , $P H$, $H\Sigma$, $\Sigma\Theta$. ἕκαστον ἄρα τῶν $\Theta O E$, $E\Pi Z$, $ZP H$, $H\Sigma\Theta$ τριγώνων μείζον ἐστὶν ἢ τὸ ἥμισυ τοῦ καθ' ἑαυτὸ τμήματος τοῦ κύκλου. ἀνεστάτω ἐφ' ἐκάστου τῶν $\Theta O E$, $E\Pi Z$, $ZP H$, $H\Sigma\Theta$ τριγώνων πυραμὶς ἰσοῦψῆς τῶ κώνῳ· καὶ ἐκάστη ἄρα τῶν ἀνασταθεισῶν πυραμίδων μείζων ἐστὶν ἢ τὸ ἥμισυ τοῦ καθ' ἑαυτὴν τμήματος τοῦ κώνου. τέμνοντες δὴ τὰς ὑπολειπομένας περιφερείας διχα καὶ ἐπιζευγνύντες εὐθείας καὶ ἀνιστάντες ἐπὶ ἐκάστου τῶν τριγώνων πυραμίδας ἰσοῦψεῖς τῶ κώνῳ καὶ αἰεὶ τοῦτο ποιοῦντες καταλείψομεν τινα ἀποτμήματα τοῦ κώνου, ἃ ἔσται ἐλάσσονα τοῦ Ψ στερεοῦ. λελείθω, καὶ ἔστω τὰ ἐπὶ τῶν $\Theta O E$, $E\Pi Z$, $ZP H$, $H\Sigma\Theta$ λοιπὴ ἄρα ἡ πυραμὶς, ἥς βᾶσις τὸ $\Theta O E\Pi ZP H\Sigma$ πολύγωνον, ὕψος δὲ τὸ αὐτὸ τῶ κώνῳ, μείζων ἐστὶ τοῦ Ξ στερεοῦ. ἐγγεγράφω καὶ εἰς τὸν $AB\Gamma\Delta$ κύκλον τῶ $\Theta O E\Pi ZP H\Sigma$ πολυγώνῳ ὁμοίον τε καὶ ὁμοίως κείμενον πολύγωνον τὸ $\Delta T A\Upsilon B\Phi\Gamma X$, καὶ ἀνεστάτω ἐπ' αὐτοῦ πυραμὶς ἰσοῦψῆς τῶ AA κώνῳ. ἐπεὶ οὖν ἐστὶν ὡς τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς AG πρὸς τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς EH , οὕτως τὸ $\Delta T A\Upsilon B\Phi\Gamma X$ πολύγωνον πρὸς τὸ $\Theta O E\Pi ZP H\Sigma$ πολύγωνον, ὡς δὲ τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς AG πρὸς τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς EH , οὕτως ὁ $AB\Gamma\Delta$ κύκλος πρὸς τὸν $EZH\Theta$ κύκλον, καὶ ὡς ἄρα ὁ $AB\Gamma\Delta$ κύκλος πρὸς τὸν $EZH\Theta$ κύκλον, οὕτως τὸ $\Delta T A\Upsilon B\Phi\Gamma X$ πολύγωνον πρὸς τὸ $\Theta O E\Pi ZP H\Sigma$ πολύγωνον. ὡς δὲ ὁ $AB\Gamma\Delta$ κύκλος πρὸς τὸν $EZH\Theta$ κύκλον, οὕτως ὁ AA κώνος πρὸς τὸ Ξ στερεόν, ὡς δὲ τὸ $\Delta T A\Upsilon B\Phi\Gamma X$ πολύγωνον πρὸς τὸ $\Theta O E\Pi ZP H\Sigma$ πολύγωνον, οὕτως ἡ πυραμὶς, ἥς βᾶσις μὲν τὸ $\Delta T A\Upsilon B\Phi\Gamma X$ πολύγωνον, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ A σημεῖον, πρὸς

For if not, then as circle $ABCD$ (is) to circle $EFGH$, so cone AL will be to some solid either less than, or greater than, cone EN . Let it, first of all, be (in this ratio) to (some) lesser (solid), O . And let solid X be equal to that (magnitude) by which solid O is less than cone EN . Thus, cone EN is equal to (the sum of) solids O and X . Let the square $EFGH$ have been inscribed in circle $EFGH$ [Prop. 4.6]. Thus, the square is greater than half of the circle [Prop. 12.2]. Let a pyramid of the same height as the cone have been set up on square $EFGH$. Thus, the pyramid set up is greater than half of the cone, inasmuch as, if we circumscribe a square about the circle [Prop. 4.7], and set up on it a pyramid of the same height as the cone, then the inscribed pyramid is half of the circumscribed pyramid. For they are to one another as their bases [Prop. 12.6]. And the cone (is) less than the circumscribed pyramid. Let the circumferences EF , FG , GH , and HE have been cut in half at points P , Q , R , and S . And let HP , PE , EQ , QF , FR , RG , GS , and SH have been joined. Thus, each of the triangles HPE , EQF , FRG , and GSH is greater than half of the segment of the circle about it [Prop. 12.2]. Let pyramids of the same height as the cone have been set up on each of the triangles HPE , EQF , FRG , and GSH . And, thus, each of the pyramids set up is greater than half of the segment of the cone about it [Prop. 12.10]. So, (if) the remaining circumferences are cut in half, and straight-lines are joined, and pyramids of equal height to the cone are set up on each of the triangles, and this is done continually, then we will (eventually) leave some segments of the cone (the sum of) which is less than solid X [Prop. 10.1]. Let them have been left, and let them be the (segments) on HPE , EQF , FRG , and GSH . Thus, the remaining pyramid whose base is polygon $HPEQFRGS$, and height the same as the cone, is greater than solid O [Prop. 6.18]. And let the polygon $DTAUBVCW$, similar, and similarly laid out, to polygon $HPEQFRGS$, have been inscribed in circle $ABCD$. And on it let a pyramid of the same height as cone AL have been set up. Therefore, since as the (square) on AC is to the (square) on EG , so polygon $DTAUBVCW$ (is) to polygon $HPEQFRGS$ [Prop. 12.1], and as the (square) on AC (is) to the (square) on EG , so circle $ABCD$ (is)

τὴν πυραμίδα, ἧς βάσις μὲν τὸ ΘΟΕΠΖΡΗΣ πολύγωνον, κορυφή δὲ τὸ Ν σημείον. καὶ ὡς ἄρα ὁ ΑΛ κῶνος πρὸς τὸ Ξ στερεόν, οὕτως ἡ πυραμὶς, ἧς βάσις μὲν τὸ ΔΤΑΥΒΦΓΧ πολύγωνον, κορυφή δὲ τὸ Λ σημείον, πρὸς τὴν πυραμίδα, ἧς βάσις μὲν τὸ ΘΟΕΠΖΡΗΣ πολύγωνον, κορυφή δὲ τὸ Ν σημείον· ἐναλλάξ ἄρα ἐστὶν ὡς ὁ ΑΛ κῶνος πρὸς τὴν ἐν αὐτῷ πυραμίδα, οὕτως τὸ Ξ στερεόν πρὸς τὴν ἐν τῷ ΕΝ κῶνῳ πυραμίδα. μείζων δὲ ὁ ΑΛ κῶνος τῆς ἐν αὐτῷ πυραμίδος· μείζων ἄρα καὶ τὸ Ξ στερεόν τῆς ἐν τῷ ΕΝ κῶνῳ πυραμίδος. ἀλλὰ καὶ ἔλασσον· ὅπερ ἄτοπον. οὐκ ἄρα ἐστὶν ὡς ὁ ΑΒΓΔ κύκλος πρὸς τὸν ΕΖΗΘ κύκλον, οὕτως ὁ ΑΛ κῶνος πρὸς ἔλασσόν τι τοῦ ΕΝ κῶνου στερεόν. ὁμοίως δὲ δείξομεν, ὅτι οὐδὲ ἐστὶν ὡς ὁ ΕΖΗΘ κύκλος πρὸς τὸν ΑΒΓΔ κύκλον, οὕτως ὁ ΕΝ κῶνος πρὸς ἔλασσόν τι τοῦ ΑΛ κῶνου στερεόν.

Λέγω δὴ, ὅτι οὐδὲ ἐστὶν ὡς ὁ ΑΒΓΔ κύκλος πρὸς τὸν ΕΖΗΘ κύκλον, οὕτως ὁ ΑΛ κῶνος πρὸς μείζόν τι τοῦ ΕΝ κῶνου στερεόν.

Εἰ γὰρ δυνατόν, ἔστω πρὸς μείζων τὸ Ξ· ἀνάπαλιν ἄρα ἐστὶν ὡς ὁ ΕΖΗΘ κύκλος πρὸς τὸν ΑΒΓΔ κύκλον, οὕτως τὸ Ξ στερεόν πρὸς τὸν ΑΛ κῶνον. ἀλλ' ὡς τὸ Ξ στερεόν πρὸς τὸν ΑΛ κῶνον, οὕτως ὁ ΕΝ κῶνος πρὸς ἔλασσόν τι τοῦ ΑΛ κῶνου στερεόν· καὶ ὡς ἄρα ὁ ΕΖΗΘ κύκλος πρὸς τὸν ΑΒΓΔ κύκλον, οὕτως ὁ ΕΝ κῶνος πρὸς ἔλασσόν τι τοῦ ΑΛ κῶνου στερεόν· ὅπερ ἀδύνατον ἐδείχθη. οὐκ ἄρα ἐστὶν ὡς ὁ ΑΒΓΔ κύκλος πρὸς τὸν ΕΖΗΘ κύκλον, οὕτως ὁ ΑΛ κῶνος πρὸς μείζόν τι τοῦ ΕΝ κῶνου στερεόν. ἐδείχθη δέ, ὅτι οὐδὲ πρὸς ἔλασσον· ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ὁ ΑΒΓΔ κύκλος πρὸς τὸν ΕΖΗΘ κύκλον, οὕτως ὁ ΑΛ κῶνος πρὸς τὸν ΕΝ κῶνον.

Ἄλλ' ὡς ὁ κῶνος πρὸς τὸν κῶνον, ὁ κύλινδρος πρὸς τὸν κύλινδρον· τριπλασίων γὰρ ἑκάτερος ἑκατέρου. καὶ ὡς ἄρα ὁ ΑΒΓΔ κύκλος πρὸς τὸν ΕΖΗΘ κύκλον, οὕτως οἱ ἐπ' αὐτῶν ἰσοῦψεῖς.

Οἱ ἄρα ὑπὸ τὸ αὐτὸ ὕψος ὄντες κῶνοι καὶ κύλινδροι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσὶν ὡς αἱ βάσεις· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

ιβ'.

Οἱ ὅμοιοι κῶνοι καὶ κύλινδροι πρὸς ἀλλήλους ἐν τριπλασίονι λόγῳ εἰσὶ τῶν ἐν ταῖς βάσεσι διαμέτρων.

Ἐστῶσαν ὅμοιοι κῶνοι καὶ κύλινδροι, ὧν βάσεις μὲν οἱ ΑΒΓΔ, ΕΖΗΘ κύκλοι, διάμετροι δὲ τῶν βάσεων αἱ ΒΔ, ΖΘ, ἄξονες δὲ τῶν κῶνων καὶ κυλίνδρων οἱ ΚΛ, ΜΝ· λέγω,

to circle $EFGH$ [Prop. 12.2], thus as circle $ABCD$ (is) to circle $EFGH$, so polygon $DTAUBVCW$ also (is) to polygon $HPEQFRGS$. And as circle $ABCD$ (is) to circle $EFGH$, so cone AL (is) to solid O . And as polygon $DTAUBVCW$ (is) to polygon $HPEQFRGS$, so the pyramid whose base is polygon $DTAUBVCW$, and apex the point L , (is) to the pyramid whose base is polygon $HPEQFRGS$, and apex the point N [Prop. 12.6]. And, thus, as cone AL (is) to solid O , so the pyramid whose base is $DTAUBVCW$, and apex the point L , (is) to the pyramid whose base is polygon $HPEQFRGS$, and apex the point N [Prop. 5.11]. Thus, alternately, as cone AL is to the pyramid within it, so solid O (is) to the pyramid within cone EN [Prop. 5.16]. But, cone AL (is) greater than the pyramid within it. Thus, solid O (is) also greater than the pyramid within cone EN [Prop. 5.14]. But, (it is) also less. The very thing (is) absurd. Thus, circle $ABCD$ is not to circle $EFGH$, as cone AL (is) to some solid less than cone EN . So, similarly, we can show that neither is circle $EFGH$ to circle $ABCD$, as cone EN (is) to some solid less than cone AL .

So, I say that neither is circle $ABCD$ to circle $EFGH$, as cone AL (is) to some solid greater than cone EN .

For, if possible, let it be (in this ratio) to (some) greater (solid), O . Thus, inversely, as circle $EFGH$ is to circle $ABCD$, so solid O (is) to cone AL [Prop. 5.7 corr.]. But, as solid O (is) to cone AL , so cone EN (is) to some solid less than cone AL [Prop. 12.2 lem.]. And, thus, as circle $EFGH$ (is) to circle $ABCD$, so cone EN (is) to some solid less than cone AL . The very thing was shown (to be) impossible. Thus, circle $ABCD$ is not to circle $EFGH$, as cone AL (is) to some solid greater than cone EN . And, it was shown that neither (is it in this ratio) to (some) lesser (solid). Thus, as circle $ABCD$ is to circle $EFGH$, so cone AL (is) to cone EN .

But, as the cone (is) to the cone, (so) the cylinder (is) to the cylinder. For each (is) three times each [Prop. 12.10]. Thus, circle $ABCD$ (is) also to circle $EFGH$, as (the ratio of the cylinders) on them (having) the same height.


Thus, cones and cylinders having the same height are to one another as their bases. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

Proposition 12

Similar cones and cylinders are to one another in the cubed ratio of the diameters of their bases.


Let there be similar cones and cylinders of which the bases (are) the circles $ABCD$ and $EFGH$, the diameters of the bases (are) BD and FH , and the axes of the cones

ὅτι ὁ κώνος, οὗ βάσις μὲν [ἐστίν] ὁ $AB\Gamma\Delta$ κύκλος, κορυφή δὲ τὸ Λ σημεῖον, πρὸς τὸν κώνον, οὗ βάσις μὲν [ἐστίν] ὁ $EZH\Theta$ κύκλος, κορυφή δὲ τὸ N σημεῖον, τριπλασίονα λόγον ἔχει ἥπερ ἡ $B\Delta$ πρὸς τὴν $Z\Theta$.



Εἰ γὰρ μὴ ἔχει ὁ $AB\Gamma\Delta\Lambda$ κώνος πρὸς τὸν $EZH\Theta N$ κώνον τριπλασίονα λόγον ἥπερ ἡ $B\Delta$ πρὸς τὴν $Z\Theta$, ἔξει ὁ $AB\Gamma\Delta\Lambda$ κώνος ἢ πρὸς ἔλασσόν τι τοῦ $EZH\Theta N$ κώνου στερεὸν τριπλασίονα λόγον ἢ πρὸς μείζον. ἐχέτω πρότερον πρὸς ἔλασσον τὸ Ξ , καὶ ἐγγεγράφθω εἰς τὸν $EZH\Theta$ κύκλον τετράγωνον τὸ $EZH\Theta$. τὸ ἄρα $EZH\Theta$ τετράγωνον μείζον ἐστίν ἢ τὸ ἥμισυ τοῦ $EZH\Theta$ κύκλου. καὶ ἀνεστάτω ἐπὶ τοῦ $EZH\Theta$ τετραγώνου πυραμὶς τὴν αὐτὴν κορυφὴν ἔχουσα τῷ κώνῳ· ἢ ἄρα ἀνασταθειῶσα πυραμὶς μείζων ἐστίν ἢ τὸ ἥμισυ μέρος τοῦ κώνου. τεμησθῶσαν δὲ αἱ EZ , ZH , $H\Theta$, ΘE περιφέρειαι δίχα κατὰ τὰ O , Π , P , Σ σημεῖα, καὶ ἐπεζεύχθωσαν αἱ EO , OZ , $Z\Pi$, ΠH , HP , $P\Theta$, $\Theta\Sigma$, ΣE . καὶ ἕκαστον ἄρα τῶν EOZ , $Z\Pi H$, $HP\Theta$, $\Theta\Sigma E$ τριγώνων μείζον ἐστίν ἢ τὸ ἥμισυ μέρος τοῦ καθ' ἑαυτὸ τμήματος τοῦ $EZH\Theta$ κύκλου. καὶ ἀνεστάτω ἐφ' ἑκάστου τῶν EOZ , $Z\Pi H$, $HP\Theta$, $\Theta\Sigma E$ τριγώνων πυραμὶς τὴν αὐτὴν κορυφὴν ἔχουσα τῷ κώνῳ· καὶ ἕκαστη ἄρα τῶν ἀνασταθεισῶν πυραμίδων μείζων ἐστίν ἢ τὸ ἥμισυ μέρος τοῦ καθ' ἑαυτὴν τμήματος τοῦ κώνου. τέμνοντες δὲ τὰς ὑπολειπομένας περιφερείας δίχα καὶ ἐπιζευγνύντες εὐθείας καὶ ἀνιστάντες ἐφ' ἑκάστου τῶν τριγώνων πυραμίδας τὴν αὐτὴν κορυφὴν ἔχουσας τῷ κώνῳ καὶ τοῦτο αἰεὶ ποιοῦντες καταλείψομεν τινα ἀποτμήματα τοῦ κώνου, ἃ ἔσται ἐλάσσονα τῆς ὑπεροχῆς, ἢ ὑπερέχει ὁ $EZH\Theta N$ κώνος τοῦ Ξ στερεοῦ. λελείφθω, καὶ ἔστω τὰ ἐπὶ τῶν EO , OZ , $Z\Pi$, ΠH , HP , $P\Theta$, $\Theta\Sigma$, ΣE · λοιπὴ ἄρα ἡ πυραμὶς, ἣς βάσις μὲν ἐστὶ τὸ $EOZ\Pi HP\Theta\Sigma$ πολύγωνον, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ N σημεῖον, μείζων ἐστὶ τοῦ Ξ στερεοῦ. ἐγγεγράφθω καὶ εἰς τὸν $AB\Gamma\Delta$ κύκλον τῷ $EOZ\Pi HP\Theta\Sigma$ πολυγώνῳ ὁμοίον τε καὶ ὁμοίως κείμενον πολύγωνον τὸ $ATB\Upsilon\Gamma\Phi\Delta X$, καὶ ἀνεστάτω ἐπὶ τοῦ $ATB\Upsilon\Gamma\Phi\Delta X$ πολυγώνου πυραμὶς τὴν αὐτὴν κορυφὴν ἔχουσα τῷ κώνῳ, καὶ τῶν μὲν περιεχόντων τὴν πυραμίδα, ἣς βάσις μὲν ἐστὶ τὸ $ATB\Upsilon\Gamma\Phi\Delta X$ πολύγωνον, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ Λ σημεῖον, ἐν τρίγωνον ἔστω τὸ ΛBT , τῶν δὲ περιεχόντων τὴν πυραμίδα, ἣς βάσις μὲν ἐστὶ τὸ $EOZ\Pi HP\Theta\Sigma$ πολύγωνον,

and cylinders (are) KL and MN (respectively). I say that the cone whose base [is] circle $ABCD$, and apex the point L , has to the cone whose base [is] circle $EFGH$, and apex the point N , the cubed ratio that BD (has) to FH .



For if cone $ABCDL$ does not have to cone $EFGHN$ the cubed ratio that BD (has) to FH then cone $ABCDL$ will have the cubed ratio to some solid either less than, or greater than, cone $EFGHN$. Let it, first of all, have (such a ratio) to (some) lesser (solid), O . And let the square $EFGH$ have been inscribed in circle $EFGH$ [Prop. 4.6]. Thus, square $EFGH$ is greater than half of circle $EFGH$ [Prop. 12.2]. And let a pyramid having the same apex as the cone have been set up on square $EFGH$. Thus, the pyramid set up is greater than the half part of the cone [Prop. 12.10]. So, let the circumferences EF , FG , GH , and HE have been cut in half at points P , Q , R , and S (respectively). And let EP , PF , FQ , QG , GR , RH , HS , and SE have been joined. And, thus, each of the triangles EPF , FQG , GRH , and HSE is greater than the half part of the segment of circle $EFGH$ about it [Prop. 12.2]. And let a pyramid having the same apex as the cone have been set up on each of the triangles EPF , FQG , GRH , and HSE . And thus each of the pyramids set up is greater than the half part of the segment of the cone about it [Prop. 12.10]. So, (if) the the remaining circumferences are cut in half, and straight-lines are joined, and pyramids having the same apex as the cone are set up on each of the triangles, and this is done continually, then we will (eventually) leave some segments of the cone whose (sum) is less than the excess by which cone $EFGHN$ exceeds solid O [Prop. 10.1]. Let them have been left, and let them be the (segments) on EP , PF , FQ , QG , GR , RH , HS , and SE . Thus, the remaining pyramid whose base is polygon $EPFQGRHS$, and apex the point N , is greater than solid O . And let the polygon $ATBUCVDW$, similar, and similarly laid out, to polygon $EPFQGRHS$, have been inscribed in circle $ABCD$ [Prop. 6.18]. And let a pyramid having the same apex as the cone have been set up on polygon $ATBUCVDW$.

κορυφή δὲ τὸ Ν σημεῖον, ἐν τρίγωνον ἔστω τὸ ΝΖΟ, καὶ ἐπεξεύχθησαν αἱ ΚΤ, ΜΟ. καὶ ἐπεὶ ὁμοίως ἐστὶν ὁ ΑΒΓΔΛ κῶνος τῷ ΕΖΗΘΝ κῶνω, ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ἡ ΒΔ πρὸς τὴν ΖΘ, οὕτως ὁ ΚΑ ἄξων πρὸς τὸν ΜΝ ἄξωνα. ὡς δὲ ἡ ΒΔ πρὸς τὴν ΖΘ, οὕτως ἡ ΒΚ πρὸς τὴν ΖΜ· καὶ ὡς ἄρα ἡ ΒΚ πρὸς τὴν ΖΜ, οὕτως ἡ ΚΑ πρὸς τὴν ΜΝ. καὶ ἐναλλάξ ὡς ἡ ΒΚ πρὸς τὴν ΚΑ, οὕτως ἡ ΖΜ πρὸς τὴν ΜΝ. καὶ περὶ ἴσας γωνίας τὰς ὑπὸ ΒΚΑ, ΖΜΝ αἱ πλευραὶ ἀνάλογόν εἰσιν· ὁμοιον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ ΒΚΑ τρίγωνον τῷ ΖΜΝ τριγῶνω. πάλιν, ἐπεὶ ἐστὶν ὡς ἡ ΒΚ πρὸς τὴν ΚΤ, οὕτως ἡ ΖΜ πρὸς τὴν ΜΟ, καὶ περὶ ἴσας γωνίας τὰς ὑπὸ ΒΚΤ, ΖΜΟ, ἐπειδήπερ, ὁ μέρος ἐστὶν ἡ ὑπὸ ΒΚΤ γωνία τῶν πρὸς τῷ Κ κέντρῳ τεσσάρων ὀρθῶν, τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἐστὶ καὶ ἡ ὑπὸ ΖΜΟ γωνία τῶν πρὸς τῷ Μ κέντρῳ τεσσάρων ὀρθῶν· ἐπεὶ οὖν περὶ ἴσας γωνίας αἱ πλευραὶ ἀνάλογόν εἰσιν, ὁμοιον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ ΒΚΤ τρίγωνον τῷ ΖΜΟ τριγῶνω. πάλιν, ἐπεὶ ἐδείχθη ὡς ἡ ΒΚ πρὸς τὴν ΚΑ, οὕτως ἡ ΖΜ πρὸς τὴν ΜΝ, ἴση δὲ ἡ μὲν ΒΚ τῇ ΚΤ, ἡ δὲ ΖΜ τῇ ΟΜ, ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ἡ ΤΚ πρὸς τὴν ΚΑ, οὕτως ἡ ΟΜ πρὸς τὴν ΜΝ. καὶ περὶ ἴσας γωνίας τὰς ὑπὸ ΤΚΑ, ΟΜΝ· ὀρθαὶ γάρ· αἱ πλευραὶ ἀνάλογόν εἰσιν· ὁμοιον ἄρα ἐστὶ τὸ ΑΚΤ τρίγωνον τῷ ΝΜΟ τριγῶνω. καὶ ἐπεὶ διὰ τὴν ὁμοιότητα τῶν ΑΚΒ, ΝΜΖ τριγῶνων ἐστὶν ὡς ἡ ΑΒ πρὸς τὴν ΒΚ, οὕτως ἡ ΝΖ πρὸς τὴν ΖΜ, διὰ δὲ τὴν ὁμοιότητα τῶν ΒΚΤ, ΖΜΟ τριγῶνων ἐστὶν ὡς ἡ ΚΒ πρὸς τὴν ΒΤ, οὕτως ἡ ΜΖ πρὸς τὴν ΖΟ, δι' ἴσου ἄρα ὡς ἡ ΑΒ πρὸς τὴν ΒΤ, οὕτως ἡ ΝΖ πρὸς τὴν ΖΟ. πάλιν, ἐπεὶ διὰ τὴν ὁμοιότητα τῶν ΑΤΚ, ΝΟΜ τριγῶνων ἐστὶν ὡς ἡ ΑΤ πρὸς τὴν ΤΚ, οὕτως ἡ ΝΟ πρὸς τὴν ΟΜ, διὰ δὲ τὴν ὁμοιότητα τῶν ΤΚΒ, ΟΜΖ τριγῶνων ἐστὶν ὡς ἡ ΚΤ πρὸς τὴν ΤΒ, οὕτως ἡ ΜΟ πρὸς τὴν ΟΖ, δι' ἴσου ἄρα ὡς ἡ ΑΤ πρὸς τὴν ΤΒ, οὕτως ἡ ΝΟ πρὸς τὴν ΟΖ. ἐδείχθη δὲ καὶ ὡς ἡ ΤΒ πρὸς τὴν ΒΑ, οὕτως ἡ ΟΖ πρὸς τὴν ΖΝ. δι' ἴσου ἄρα ὡς ἡ ΤΑ πρὸς τὴν ΑΒ, οὕτως ἡ ΟΝ πρὸς τὴν ΝΖ. τῶν ΑΤΒ, ΝΟΖ ἄρα τριγῶνων ἀνάλογόν εἰσιν αἱ πλευραὶ· ἰσογῶνια ἄρα ἐστὶ τὰ ΑΤΒ, ΝΟΖ τρίγωνα· ὥστε καὶ ὅμοια. καὶ πυραμῖς ἄρα, ἥς βάσις μὲν τὸ ΒΚΤ τρίγωνον, κορυφή δὲ τὸ Α σημεῖον, ὅμοια ἐστὶ πυραμίδι, ἥς βάσις μὲν τὸ ΖΜΟ τρίγωνον, κορυφή δὲ τὸ Ν σημεῖον· ὑπὸ γὰρ ὁμοίων ἐπιπέδων περιέχονται ἴσων τὸ πλῆθος. αἱ δὲ ὅμοια πυραμίδες καὶ τριγῶνους ἔχουσαι βάσεις ἐν τριπλασίονι λόγῳ εἰσὶ τῶν ὁμολόγων πλευρῶν. ἡ ἄρα ΒΚΤΑ πυραμῖς πρὸς τὴν ΖΜΟΝ πυραμίδα τριπλασίονα λόγον ἔχει ἤπερ ἡ ΒΚ πρὸς τὴν ΖΜ. ὁμοίως δὲ ἐπιζευγνύντες ἀπὸ τῶν Α, Χ, Δ, Φ, Γ, Υ ἐπὶ τὸ Κ εὐθείας καὶ ἀπὸ τῶν Ε, Σ, Θ, Ρ, Η, Π ἐπὶ τὸ Μ καὶ ἀνιστάντες ἐφ' ἐκάστου τῶν τριγῶνων πυραμίδας τὴν αὐτὴν κορυφήν ἔχούσας τοῖς κῶνοις δείξομεν, ὅτι καὶ ἐκάστη τῶν ὁμοταγῶν πυραμίδων πρὸς ἐκάστην ὁμοταγῆ πυραμίδα τριπλασίονα λόγον ἔξει ἤπερ ἡ ΒΚ ὁμόλογος πλευρὰ πρὸς τὴν ΖΜ ὁμόλογον πλευράν, τουτέστιν ἤπερ ἡ ΒΔ πρὸς τὴν ΖΘ. καὶ ὡς ἐν τῶν ἡγουμένων πρὸς ἐν τῶν ἐπομένων, οὕτως ἅπαντα τὰ ἡγούμενα πρὸς ἅπαντα τὰ ἐπόμενα· ἐστὶν ἄρα

And let LBT be one of the triangles containing the pyramid whose base is polygon $ATBUCVDW$, and apex the point L . And let NFP be one of the triangles containing the pyramid whose base is triangle $EPFQGRHS$, and apex the point N . And let KT and MP have been joined. And since cone $ABCDL$ is similar to cone $EFGHN$, thus as BD is to FH , so axis KL (is) to axis MN [Def. 11.24]. And as BD (is) to FH , so BK (is) to FM . And, thus, as BK (is) to FM , so KL (is) to MN . And, alternately, as BK (is) to KL , so FM (is) to MN [Prop. 5.16]. And the sides around the equal angles BKL and FMN are proportional. Thus, triangle BKL is similar to triangle FMN [Prop. 6.6]. Again, since as BK (is) to KT , so FM (is) to MP , and (they are) about the equal angles BKT and FMP , inasmuch as whatever part angle BKT is of the four right-angles at the center K , angle FMP is also the same part of the four right-angles at the center M . Therefore, since the sides about equal angles are proportional, triangle BKT is thus similar to triangle FMP [Prop. 6.6]. Again, since it was shown that as BK (is) to KL , so FM (is) to MN , and BK (is) equal to KT , and FM to PM , thus as TK (is) to KL , so PM (is) to MN . And the sides about the equal angles TKL and PMN —for (they are both) right-angles—are proportional. Thus, triangle LKT (is) similar to triangle NMP [Prop. 6.6]. And since, on account of the similarity of triangles LKB and NMF , as LB (is) to BK , so NF (is) to FM , and, on account of the similarity of triangles BKT and FMP , as KB (is) to BT , so MF (is) to FP [Def. 6.1], thus, via equality, as LB (is) to BT , so NF (is) to FP [Prop. 5.22]. Again, since, on account of the similarity of triangles LTK and NPM , as LT (is) to TK , so NP (is) to PM , and, on account of the similarity of triangles TKB and PMF , as KT (is) to TB , so MP (is) to PF , thus, via equality, as LT (is) to TB , so NP (is) to PF [Prop. 5.22]. And it was shown that as TB (is) to BL , so PF (is) to FN . Thus, via equality, as TL (is) to LB , so PN (is) to NF [Prop. 5.22]. Thus, the sides of triangles LTB and NPF are proportional. Thus, triangles LTB and NPF are equiangular [Prop. 6.5]. And, hence, (they are) similar [Def. 6.1]. And, thus, the pyramid whose base is triangle BKT , and apex the point L , is similar to the pyramid whose base is triangle FMP , and apex the point N . For they are contained by equal numbers of similar planes [Def. 11.9]. And similar pyramids which also have triangular bases are in the cubed ratio of corresponding sides [Prop. 12.8]. Thus, pyramid $BKTL$ has to pyramid $FMPN$ the cubed ratio that BK (has) to FM . So, similarly, joining straight-lines from (points) A, W, D, V, C , and U to (center) K , and from (points) E, S, H, R, G , and Q to (center) M , and set-

καὶ ὡς ἡ $BKTA$ πυραμὶς πρὸς τὴν $ZMON$ πυραμίδα, οὕτως ἡ ὅλη πυραμὶς, ἥς βᾶσις τὸ $ATBYT\Phi\Delta X$ πολύγωνον, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ Λ σημεῖον, πρὸς τὴν ὅλην πυραμίδα, ἥς βᾶσις μὲν τὸ $EOZ\Pi\eta\theta\Sigma$ πολύγωνον, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ N σημεῖον· ὥστε καὶ πυραμὶς, ἥς βᾶσις μὲν τὸ $ATBYT\Phi\Delta X$, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ Λ , πρὸς τὴν πυραμίδα, ἥς βᾶσις [μὲν] τὸ $EOZ\Pi\eta\theta\Sigma$ πολύγωνον, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ N σημεῖον, τριπλασίονα λόγον ἔχει ἥπερ ἡ $B\Delta$ πρὸς τὴν $Z\Theta$. ὑπόκειται δὲ καὶ ὁ κῶνος, οὗ βᾶσις [μὲν] ὁ $AB\Gamma\Delta$ κύκλος, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ Λ σημεῖον, πρὸς τὸ Ξ στερεὸν τριπλασίονα λόγον ἔχων ἥπερ ἡ $B\Delta$ πρὸς τὴν $Z\Theta$ · ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ὁ κῶνος, οὗ βᾶσις μὲν ἔστιν ὁ $AB\Gamma\Delta$ κύκλος, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ Λ , πρὸς τὸ Ξ στερεόν, οὕτως ἡ πυραμὶς, ἥς βᾶσις μὲν τὸ $ATBYT\Phi\Delta X$ [πολύγωνον], κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ Λ , πρὸς τὴν πυραμίδα, ἥς βᾶσις μὲν ἔστι τὸ $EOZ\Pi\eta\theta\Sigma$ πολύγωνον, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ N · ἐναλλάξ ἄρα, ὡς ὁ κῶνος, οὗ βᾶσις μὲν ὁ $AB\Gamma\Delta$ κύκλος, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ Λ , πρὸς τὴν ἐν αὐτῷ πυραμίδα, ἥς βᾶσις μὲν τὸ $ATBYT\Phi\Delta X$ πολύγωνον, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ Λ , οὕτως τὸ Ξ [στερεόν] πρὸς τὴν πυραμίδα, ἥς βᾶσις μὲν ἔστι τὸ $EOZ\Pi\eta\theta\Sigma$ πολύγωνον, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ N . μείζων δὲ ὁ εἰρημένος κῶνος τῆς ἐν αὐτῷ πυραμίδος· ἐμπεριέχει γὰρ αὐτήν. μείζων ἄρα καὶ τὸ Ξ στερεὸν τῆς πυραμίδος, ἥς βᾶσις μὲν ἔστι τὸ $EOZ\Pi\eta\theta\Sigma$ πολύγωνον, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ N . ἀλλὰ καὶ ἔλαττον· ὅπερ ἔστιν ἀδύνατον. οὐκ ἄρα ὁ κῶνος, οὗ βᾶσις ὁ $AB\Gamma\Delta$ κύκλος, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ Λ [σημεῖον], πρὸς ἔλαττόν τι τοῦ κῶνου στερεόν, οὗ βᾶσις μὲν ὁ $EZH\Theta$ κύκλος, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ N σημεῖον, τριπλασίονα λόγον ἔχει ἥπερ ἡ $B\Delta$ πρὸς τὴν $Z\Theta$. ὁμοίως δὴ δεῖξομεν, ὅτι οὐδὲ ὁ $EZH\Theta$ κῶνος πρὸς ἔλαττόν τι τοῦ $AB\Gamma\Delta$ κῶνου στερεόν τριπλασίονα λόγον ἔχει ἥπερ ἡ $Z\Theta$ πρὸς τὴν $B\Delta$.

Λέγω δὴ, ὅτι οὐδὲ ὁ $AB\Gamma\Delta$ κῶνος πρὸς μείζον τι τοῦ $EZH\Theta$ κῶνου στερεόν τριπλασίονα λόγον ἔχει ἥπερ ἡ $B\Delta$ πρὸς τὴν $Z\Theta$.

Εἰ γὰρ δυνατὸν, ἐχέτω πρὸς μείζον τὸ Ξ . ἀνάπαλιν ἄρα τὸ Ξ στερεὸν πρὸς τὸν $AB\Gamma\Delta$ κῶνον τριπλασίονα λόγον ἔχει ἥπερ ἡ $Z\Theta$ πρὸς τὴν $B\Delta$. ὡς δὲ τὸ Ξ στερεὸν πρὸς τὸν $AB\Gamma\Delta$ κῶνον, οὕτως ὁ $EZH\Theta$ κῶνος πρὸς ἔλαττόν τι τοῦ $AB\Gamma\Delta$ κῶνου στερεόν. καὶ ὁ $EZH\Theta$ ἄρα κῶνος πρὸς ἔλαττόν τι τοῦ $AB\Gamma\Delta$ κῶνου στερεόν τριπλασίονα λόγον ἔχει ἥπερ ἡ $Z\Theta$ πρὸς τὴν $B\Delta$ · ὅπερ ἀδύνατον ἐδείχθη. οὐκ ἄρα ὁ $AB\Gamma\Delta$ κῶνος πρὸς μείζον τι τοῦ $EZH\Theta$ κῶνου στερεόν τριπλασίονα λόγον ἔχει ἥπερ ἡ $B\Delta$ πρὸς τὴν $Z\Theta$. ἐδείχθη δέ, ὅτι οὐδὲ πρὸς ἔλαττον. ὁ $AB\Gamma\Delta$ ἄρα κῶνος πρὸς τὸν $EZH\Theta$ κῶνον τριπλασίονα λόγον ἔχει ἥπερ ἡ $B\Delta$ πρὸς τὴν $Z\Theta$.

Ὡς δὲ ὁ κῶνος πρὸς τὸν κῶνον, ὁ κύλινδρος πρὸς τὸν κύλινδρον· τριπλάσιος γὰρ ὁ κύλινδρος τοῦ κῶνου ὁ ἐπὶ τῆς αὐτῆς βάσεως τῷ κῶνῳ καὶ ἰσοῦψῆς αὐτῷ. καὶ ὁ κύλινδρος ἄρα πρὸς τὸν κύλινδρον τριπλασίονα λόγον ἔχει ἥπερ ἡ $B\Delta$ πρὸς τὴν $Z\Theta$.

Οἱ ἄρα ὅμοιοι κῶνοι καὶ κύλινδροι πρὸς ἀλλήλους ἐν

ting up pyramids having the same apexes as the cones on each of the triangles (so formed), we can also show that each of the pyramids (on base $ABCD$ taken) in order will have to each of the pyramids (on base $EFGH$ taken) in order the cubed ratio that the corresponding side BK (has) to the corresponding side FM —that is to say, that BD (has) to FH . And (for two sets of proportional magnitudes) as one of the leading (magnitudes is) to one of the following, so (the sum of) all of the leading (magnitudes is) to (the sum of) all of the following (magnitudes) [Prop. 5.12]. And, thus, as pyramid $BKTL$ (is) to pyramid $FMPN$, so the whole pyramid whose base is polygon $ATBUCVDW$, and apex the point L , (is) to the whole pyramid whose base is polygon $EPFQGRHS$, and apex the point N . And, hence, the pyramid whose base is polygon $ATBUCVDW$, and apex the point L , has to the pyramid whose base is polygon $EPFQGRHS$, and apex the point N , the cubed ratio that BD (has) to FH . And it was also assumed that the cone whose base is circle $ABCD$, and apex the point L , has to solid O the cubed ratio that BD (has) to FH . Thus, as the cone whose base is circle $ABCD$, and apex the point L , is to solid O , so the pyramid whose base (is) [polygon] $ATBUCVDW$, and apex the point L , (is) to the pyramid whose base is polygon $EPFQGRHS$, and apex the point N . Thus, alternately, as the cone whose base (is) circle $ABCD$, and apex the point L , (is) to the pyramid within it whose base (is) the polygon $ATBUCVDW$, and apex the point L , so the [solid] O (is) to the pyramid whose base is polygon $EPFQGRHS$, and apex the point N [Prop. 5.16]. And the aforementioned cone (is) greater than the pyramid within it. For it encompasses it. Thus, solid O (is) also greater than the pyramid whose base is polygon $EPFQGRHS$, and apex the point N . But, (it is) also less. The very thing is impossible. Thus, the cone whose base (is) circle $ABCD$, and apex the [point] L , does not have to some solid less than the cone whose base (is) circle $EFGH$, and apex the point N , the cubed ratio that BD (has) to EH . So, similarly, we can show that neither does cone $EFGHN$ have to some solid less than cone $ABCDL$ the cubed ratio that FH (has) to BD .

So, I say that neither does cone $ABCDL$ have to some solid greater than cone $EFGHN$ the cubed ratio that BD (has) to FH .

For, if possible, let it have (such a ratio) to a greater (solid), O . Thus, inversely, solid O has to cone $ABCDL$ the cubed ratio that FH (has) to BD [Prop. 5.7 corr.]. And as solid O (is) to cone $ABCDL$, so cone $EFGHN$ (is) to some solid less than cone $ABCDL$ [12.2 lem.]. Thus, cone $EFGHN$ also has to some solid less than cone $ABCDL$ the cubed ratio that FH (has) to BD . The very

τριπλασίονι λόγῳ εἰσὶ τῶν ἐν ταῖς βάσεσι διαμέτρων· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.


thing was shown (to be) impossible. Thus, cone $ABCDL$ does not have to some solid greater than cone $EFGHN$ the cubed ratio than BD (has) to FH . And it was shown that neither (does it have such a ratio) to a lesser (solid). Thus, cone $ABCDL$ has to cone $EFGHN$ the cubed ratio that BD (has) to FG .

And as the cone (is) to the cone, so the cylinder (is) to the cylinder. For a cylinder is three times a cone on the same base as the cone, and of the same height as it [Prop. 12.10]. Thus, the cylinder also has to the cylinder the cubed ratio that BD (has) to FH .

Thus, similar cones and cylinders are in the cubed ratio of the diameters of their bases. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

ιγ'.

Ἐὰν κύλινδρος ἐπιπέδῳ τμηθῆ παραλλήλῳ ὄντι τοῖς ἀπεναντίον ἐπιπέδοις, ἔσται ὡς ὁ κύλινδρος πρὸς τὸν κύλινδρον, οὕτως ὁ ἄξων πρὸς τὸν ἄξωνα.




Κύλινδρος γὰρ ὁ AD ἐπιπέδῳ τῷ $HΘ$ τετμήσθω παραλλήλῳ ὄντι τοῖς ἀπεναντίον ἐπιπέδοις τοῖς $AB, ΓΔ$, καὶ συμβαλλέτω τῷ ἄξονι τὸ $HΘ$ ἐπίπεδον κατὰ τὸ K σημεῖον· λέγω, ὅτι ἐστὶν ὡς ὁ BH κύλινδρος πρὸς τὸν $HΔ$ κύλινδρον, οὕτως ὁ EK ἄξων πρὸς τὸν KZ ἄξωνα.

Ἐκβεβλήσθω γὰρ ὁ EZ ἄξων ἐφ' ἑκάτερα τὰ μέρη ἐπὶ τὰ $Λ, Μ$ σημεῖα, καὶ ἐκκείσθωσαν τῷ EK ἄξονι ἴσοι ὁσοιδηποτοῦν οἱ $EN, ΝΛ$, τῷ δὲ ZK ἴσοι ὁσοιδηποτοῦν οἱ $ZΞ, ΞΜ$, καὶ νοείσθω ὁ ἐπὶ τοῦ $ΛΜ$ ἄξονος κύλινδρος ὁ OX , οὗ βάσεις οἱ $ΟΠ, ΦΧ$ κύκλοι. καὶ ἐκβεβλήσθω διὰ τῶν $N, Ξ$ σημείων ἐπίπεδα παράλληλα τοῖς $AB, ΓΔ$ καὶ ταῖς βάσεσι τοῦ OX κυλίνδρου καὶ ποιείτωσαν τοὺς $ΡΣ, ΤΥ$ κύκλους περὶ τὰ $N, Ξ$ κέντρα. καὶ ἐπεὶ οἱ $ΛΝ, ΝΕ, ΕΚ$ ἄξονες ἴσοι εἰσὶν ἀλλήλοις, οἱ ἄρα $ΠΡ, ΡΒ, ΒΗ$ κύλινδροι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσὶν ὡς αἱ βάσεις. ἴσοι δὲ εἰσὶν αἱ βάσεις· ἴσοι ἄρα καὶ οἱ $ΠΡ, ΡΒ, ΒΗ$ κύλινδροι ἀλλήλοις. ἐπεὶ οὖν οἱ $ΛΝ, ΝΕ, ΕΚ$ ἄξονες ἴσοι εἰσὶν ἀλλήλοις, εἰσὶ δὲ καὶ οἱ $ΠΡ, ΡΒ, ΒΗ$ κύλινδροι ἴσοι ἀλλήλοις, καὶ ἐστὶν ἴσον τὸ πλῆθος τῷ πλῆθει, ὁσαυταπλάσιον ἄρα ὁ $ΚΛ$ ἄξων τοῦ EK ἄξονος, τοσαυταπλάσιον ἔσται καὶ ὁ $ΠΗ$ κύλινδρος τοῦ $ΗΒ$ κυλίνδρου. διὰ τὰ αὐτὰ δὴ καὶ ὁσαυταπλάσιον ἐστὶν ὁ $ΜΚ$ ἄξων τοῦ KZ ἄξονος, τοσαυταπλάσιον ἐστὶ καὶ ὁ $ΧΗ$ κύλινδρος τοῦ $ΗΔ$ κυλίνδρου. καὶ εἰ μὲν ἴσος ἐστὶν ὁ $ΚΛ$ ἄξων τῷ $ΚΜ$ ἄξονι, ἴσος ἔσται καὶ ὁ $ΠΗ$ κύλινδρος τῷ $ΗΧ$ κυλίνδρῳ,

Proposition 13

If a cylinder is cut by a plane which is parallel to the opposite planes (of the cylinder) then as the cylinder (is) to the cylinder, so the axis will be to the axis.



For let the cylinder AD have been cut by the plane GH which is parallel to the opposite planes (of the cylinder), AB and CD . And let the plane GH have met the axis at point K . I say that as cylinder BG is to cylinder GD , so axis EK (is) to axis KF .

For let axis EF have been produced in each direction to points L and M . And let any number whatsoever (of lengths), EN and NL , equal to axis EK , be set out (on the axis EL), and any number whatsoever (of lengths), FO and OM , equal to (axis) FK , (on the axis KM). And let the cylinder PW , whose bases (are) the circles PQ and VW , have been conceived on axis LM . And let planes parallel to AB, CD , and the bases of cylinder PW , have been produced through points N and O , and let them have made the circles RS and TU around the centers N and O (respectively). And since axes $LN, ΝΕ$, and EK are equal to one another, the cylinders QR, RB , and BG are to one another as their bases [Prop. 12.11]. But the bases are equal. Thus, the cylinders QR, RB , and BG (are) also equal to one another. Therefore, since the axes $LN, ΝΕ$, and EK are equal to one another, and the cylinders QR, RB , and BG are also equal to one another, and the number (of the former) is equal to the number (of the latter), thus as many multiples as axis KL

εἰ δὲ μείζων ὁ ἄξων τοῦ ἄξονος, μείζων καὶ ὁ κύλινδρος τοῦ κυλίνδρου, καὶ εἰ ἐλάσσων, ἐλάσσων. τεσσάρων δὲ μεγεθῶν ὄντων, ἀξόνων μὲν τῶν EK, KZ , κυλίνδρων δὲ τῶν $BH, H\Delta$, εἴληπται ἰσάκεις πολλαπλάσια, τοῦ μὲν EK ἄξονος καὶ τοῦ BH κυλίνδρου ὅ τε ΛK ἄξων καὶ ὁ ΠH κύλινδρος, τοῦ δὲ KZ ἄξονος καὶ τοῦ $H\Delta$ κυλίνδρου ὅ τε KM ἄξων καὶ ὁ HX κύλινδρος, καὶ δέδεικται, ὅτι εἰ ὑπερέχει ὁ $K\Lambda$ ἄξων τοῦ KM ἄξονος, ὑπερέχει καὶ ὁ ΠH κύλινδρος τοῦ HX κυλίνδρου, καὶ εἰ ἴσος, ἴσος, καὶ εἰ ἐλάσσων, ἐλάσσων. ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ὁ EK ἄξων πρὸς τὸν KZ ἄξονα, οὕτως ὁ BH κύλινδρος πρὸς τὸν $H\Delta$ κύλινδρον· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.



is of axis EK , so many multiples is cylinder QG also of cylinder GB . And so, for the same (reasons), as many multiples as axis MK is of axis KF , so many multiples is cylinder WG also of cylinder GD . And if axis KL is equal to axis KM then cylinder QG will also be equal to cylinder GW , and if the axis (is) greater than the axis then the cylinder (will also be) greater than the cylinder, and if (the axis is) less then (the cylinder will also be) less. So, there are four magnitudes—the axes EK and KF , and the cylinders BG and GD —and equal multiples have been taken of axis EK and cylinder BG —(namely), axis LK and cylinder QG —and of axis KF and cylinder GD —(namely), axis KM and cylinder GW . And it has been shown that if axis KL exceeds axis KM then cylinder QG also exceeds cylinder GW , and if (the axes are) equal then (the cylinders are) equal, and if (KL is) less then (QG is) less. Thus, as axis EK is to axis KF , so cylinder BG (is) to cylinder GD [Def. 5.5]. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

ιδ'.

Proposition 14

Οἱ ἐπὶ ἴσων βάσεων ὄντες κῶνοι καὶ κύλινδροι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσὶν ὡς τὰ ὕψη.

Cones and cylinders which are on equal bases are to one another as their heights.



Ἐστωσαν γὰρ ἐπὶ ἴσων βάσεων τῶν $AB, \Gamma\Delta$ κύκλων κύλινδροι οἱ $EB, Z\Delta$ · λέγω, ὅτι ἔστιν ὡς ὁ EB κύλινδρος πρὸς τὸν $Z\Delta$ κύλινδρον, οὕτως ὁ $H\Theta$ ἄξων πρὸς τὸν ΛK ἄξονα.

For let EB and FD be cylinders on equal bases, (namely) the circles AB and CD (respectively). I say that as cylinder EB is to cylinder FD , so axis GH (is) to axis KL .

Ἐκβεβλήσθω γὰρ ὁ $K\Lambda$ ἄξων ἐπὶ τὸ N σημεῖον, καὶ κείσθω τῷ $H\Theta$ ἄξονι ἴσος ὁ ΛN , καὶ περὶ ἄξονα τὸν ΛN κύλινδρος νενοήσθω ὁ ΓM . ἐπεὶ οὖν οἱ $EB, \Gamma M$ κύλινδροι ὑπὸ τὸ αὐτὸ ὕψος εἰσὶν, πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσὶν ὡς αἱ βάσεις. ἴσαι δὲ εἰσὶν αἱ βάσεις ἀλλήλαις· ἴσοι ἄρα εἰσὶ καὶ οἱ $EB, \Gamma M$ κύλινδροι. καὶ ἐπεὶ κύλινδρος ὁ ZM ἐπιπέδῳ τέτμηται τῷ $\Gamma\Delta$ παραλλήλῳ ὄντι τοῖς ἀπεναντίον ἐπιπέδοις, ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ὁ ΓM κύλινδρος πρὸς τὸν $Z\Delta$ κύλινδρον, οὕτως ὁ ΛN ἄξων πρὸς τὸν ΛK ἄξονα. ἴσος δὲ ἔστιν ὁ μὲν ΓM κύλινδρος τῷ EB κυλίνδρῳ, ὁ δὲ ΛN ἄξων τῷ $H\Theta$ ἄξονι· ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ὁ EB κύλινδρος πρὸς τὸν $Z\Delta$ κύλινδρον, οὕτως ὁ $H\Theta$ ἄξων πρὸς τὸν ΛK ἄξονα. ὡς δὲ ὁ EB κύλινδρος πρὸς τὸν $Z\Delta$

For let the axis KL have been produced to point N . And let LN be made equal to axis GH . And let the cylinder CM have been conceived about axis LN . Therefore, since cylinders EB and CM have the same height they are to one another as their bases [Prop. 12.11]. And the bases are equal to one another. Thus, cylinders EB and CM are also equal to one another. And since cylinder FM has been cut by the plane CD , which is parallel to its opposite planes, thus as cylinder CM is to cylinder FD , so axis LN (is) to axis KL [Prop. 12.13]. And cylinder CM is equal to cylinder EB , and axis LN to axis GH . Thus, as cylinder EB is to cylinder FD , so axis GH (is)

κύλινδρον, οὕτως ὁ ABH κώνος πρὸς τὸν ΓΔΚ κώνον. καὶ ὡς ἄρα ὁ ΗΘ ἄξων πρὸς τὸν ΚΑ ἄξωνα, οὕτως ὁ ABH κώνος πρὸς τὸν ΓΔΚ κώνον καὶ ὁ EB κύλινδρος πρὸς τὸν ΖΔ κύλινδρον· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.



to axis KL . And as cylinder EB (is) to cylinder FD , so cone ABG (is) to cone CDK [Prop. 12.10]. Thus, also, as axis GH (is) to axis KL , so cone ABG (is) to cone CDK , and cylinder EB to cylinder FD . (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

ιε'.

Proposition 15

Τῶν ἴσων κώνων καὶ κύλινδρων ἀντιπεπόνθασιν αἱ βάσεις τοῖς ὕψεσιν· καὶ ὧν κώνων καὶ κύλινδρων ἀντιπεπόνθασιν αἱ βάσεις τοῖς ὕψεσιν, ἴσοι εἰσὶν ἐκεῖνοι.

The bases of equal cones and cylinders are reciprocally proportional to their heights. And, those cones and cylinders whose bases (are) reciprocally proportional to their heights are equal.



Ἐστωσαν ἴσοι κώνοι καὶ κύλινδροι, ὧν βάσεις μὲν οἱ ABΓΔ, EZHΘ κύκλοι, διαμέτροι δὲ αὐτῶν αἱ ΑΓ, ΕΗ, ἄξονες δὲ οἱ ΚΑ, ΜΝ, οἷτινες καὶ ὕψη εἰσὶ τῶν κώνων ἢ κύλινδρων, καὶ συμπληρώσθωσαν οἱ ΑΞ, ΕΟ κύλινδροι. λέγω, ὅτι τῶν ΑΞ, ΕΟ κύλινδρων ἀντιπεπόνθασιν αἱ βάσεις τοῖς ὕψεσιν, καὶ ἔστιν ὡς ἡ ABΓΔ βάσις πρὸς τὴν EZHΘ βάσιν, οὕτως τὸ ΜΝ ὕψος πρὸς τὸ ΚΑ ὕψος.

Let there be equal cones and cylinders whose bases are the circles $ABCD$ and $EFGH$, and the diameters of (the bases) AC and EG , and (whose) axes (are) KL and MN , which are also the heights of the cones and cylinders (respectively). And let the cylinders AO and EP have been completed. I say that the bases of cylinders AO and EP are reciprocally proportional to their heights, and (so) as base $ABCD$ is to base $EFGH$, so height MN (is) to height KL .

Τὸ γὰρ ΑΚ ὕψος τῷ ΜΝ ὕψει ἴσους ἔστιν ἢ οὐ. ἔστω πρότερον ἴσον. ἔστι δὲ καὶ ὁ ΑΞ κύλινδρος τῷ ΕΟ κύλινδρῳ ἴσος. οἱ δὲ ὑπὸ τὸ αὐτὸ ὕψος ὄντες κώνοι καὶ κύλινδροι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσὶν ὡς αἱ βάσεις· ἴση ἄρα καὶ ἡ ABΓΔ βάσις τῇ EZHΘ βάσει. ὥστε καὶ ἀντιπέπονθεν, ὡς ἡ ABΓΔ βάσις πρὸς τὴν EZHΘ βάσιν, οὕτως τὸ ΜΝ ὕψος πρὸς τὸ ΚΑ ὕψος. ἀλλὰ δὴ μὴ ἔστω τὸ ΑΚ ὕψος τῷ ΜΝ ἴσον, ἀλλ' ἔστω μείζον τὸ ΜΝ, καὶ ἀφηρήσθω ἀπὸ τοῦ ΜΝ ὕψους τῷ ΚΑ ἴσον τὸ ΠΝ, καὶ διὰ τοῦ Π σημείου τετραγώνω ὁ ΕΟ κύλινδρος ἐπιπέδῳ τῷ ΤΥΣ παραλλήλῳ τοῖς τῶν EZHΘ, ΡΟ κύκλων ἐπιπέδοις, καὶ ἀπὸ βάσεως μὲν τοῦ EZHΘ κύκλου, ὕψους δὲ τοῦ ΝΠ κύλινδρος νενοήσθω ὁ ΕΣ. καὶ ἐπεὶ ἴσος ἔστιν ὁ ΑΞ κύλινδρος τῷ ΕΟ κύλινδρῳ, ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ὁ ΑΞ κύλινδρος πρὸς τὸν ΕΣ κύλινδρον, οὕτως ὁ ΕΟ κύλινδρος πρὸς τὸν ΕΣ κύλινδρον. ἀλλ' ὡς μὲν ὁ ΑΞ κύλινδρος πρὸς τὸν ΕΣ κύλινδρον, οὕτως ἡ ABΓΔ βάσις πρὸς τὴν EZHΘ· ὑπὸ γὰρ τὸ αὐτὸ ὕψος εἰσὶν οἱ ΑΞ, ΕΣ κύλινδροι· ὡς δὲ ὁ ΕΟ κύλινδρος πρὸς τὸν ΕΣ, οὕτως τὸ ΜΝ ὕψος πρὸς τὸ ΠΝ ὕψος· ὁ γὰρ ΕΟ κύλινδρος ἐπιπέδῳ τέτμηται παραλλήλῳ ὄντι τοῖς ἀπεναντίον ἐπιπέδοις. ἔστιν ἄρα καὶ ὡς ἡ ABΓΔ βάσις πρὸς τὴν EZHΘ βάσιν, οὕτως τὸ ΜΝ ὕψος πρὸς τὸ ΠΝ ὕψος. ἴσον δὲ τὸ ΠΝ ὕψος τῷ ΚΑ ὕψει· ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ἡ ABΓΔ βάσις πρὸς τὴν EZHΘ βάσιν, οὕτως τὸ ΜΝ ὕψος πρὸς τὸ ΚΑ ὕψος. τῶν ἄρα ΑΞ, ΕΟ κύλινδρων ἀντιπεπόνθασιν αἱ βάσεις τοῖς ὕψεσιν.

For height LK is either equal to height MN , or not. Let it, first of all, be equal. And cylinder AO is also equal to cylinder EP . And cones and cylinders having the same height are to one another as their bases [Prop. 12.11]. Thus, base $ABCD$ (is) also equal to base $EFGH$. And, hence, reciprocally, as base $ABCD$ (is) to base $EFGH$, so height MN (is) to height KL . And so, let height LK not be equal to MN , but let MN be greater. And let QN , equal to KL , have been cut off from height MN . And let the cylinder EP have been cut, through point Q , by the plane TUS (which is) parallel to the planes of the circles $EFGH$ and RP . And let cylinder ES have been conceived, with base the circle $EFGH$, and height NQ . And since cylinder AO is equal to cylinder EP , thus, as cylinder AO (is) to cylinder ES , so cylinder EP (is) to cylinder ES [Prop. 5.7]. But, as cylinder AO (is) to cylinder ES , so base $ABCD$ (is) to base $EFGH$. For cylinders AO and ES (have) the same height [Prop. 12.11]. And as cylinder EP (is) to (cylinder) ES , so height MN (is) to height QN . For cylinder EP has been cut by a plane which is parallel to its opposite planes [Prop. 12.13]. And, thus, as base $ABCD$ is to base $EFGH$, so height MN (is) to height QN [Prop. 5.11]. And height QN

Ἀλλὰ δὴ τῶν ΑΞ, ΕΟ κυλίνδρων ἀντιπεπονητέωσαν αἱ βάσεις τοῖς ὕψεσιν, καὶ ἔστω ὡς ἡ ΑΒΓΔ βάσις πρὸς τὴν ΕΖΗΘ βάσιν, οὕτως τὸ ΜΝ ὕψος πρὸς τὸ ΚΛ ὕψος· λέγω, ὅτι ἴσος ἐστὶν ὁ ΑΞ κύλινδρος τῷ ΕΟ κύλινδρῳ.

Τῶν γὰρ αὐτῶν κατασκευασθέντων ἐπεὶ ἐστὶν ὡς ἡ ΑΒΓΔ βάσις πρὸς τὴν ΕΖΗΘ βάσιν, οὕτως τὸ ΜΝ ὕψος πρὸς τὸ ΚΛ ὕψος, ἴσον δὲ τὸ ΚΛ ὕψος τῷ ΠΝ ὕψει, ἔσται ἄρα ὡς ἡ ΑΒΓΔ βάσις πρὸς τὴν ΕΖΗΘ βάσιν, οὕτως τὸ ΜΝ ὕψος πρὸς τὸ ΠΝ ὕψος. ἀλλ' ὡς μὲν ἡ ΑΒΓΔ βάσις πρὸς τὴν ΕΖΗΘ βάσιν, οὕτως ὁ ΑΞ κύλινδρος πρὸς τὸν ΕΣ κύλινδρον· ὑπὸ γὰρ τὸ αὐτὸ ὕψος εἰσὶν· ὡς δὲ τὸ ΜΝ ὕψος πρὸς τὸ ΠΝ [ὑψος], οὕτως ὁ ΕΟ κύλινδρος πρὸς τὸν ΕΣ κύλινδρον· ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ὁ ΑΞ κύλινδρος πρὸς τὸν ΕΣ κύλινδρον, οὕτως ὁ ΕΟ κύλινδρος πρὸς τὸν ΕΣ. ἴσος ἄρα ὁ ΑΞ κύλινδρος τῷ ΕΟ κύλινδρῳ. ὡσαύτως δὲ καὶ ἐπὶ τῶν κώνων· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.


(is) equal to height KL . Thus, as base $ABCD$ is to base $EFGH$, so height MN (is) to height KL . Thus, the bases of cylinders AO and EP are reciprocally proportional to their heights.

And, so, let the bases of cylinders AO and EP be reciprocally proportional to their heights, and (thus) let base $ABCD$ be to base $EFGH$, as height MN (is) to height KL . I say that cylinder AO is equal to cylinder EP .

For, with the same construction, since as base $ABCD$ is to base $EFGH$, so height MN (is) to height KL , and height KL (is) equal to height QN , thus, as base $ABCD$ (is) to base $EFGH$, so height MN will be to height QN . But, as base $ABCD$ (is) to base $EFGH$, so cylinder AO (is) to cylinder ES . For they are the same height [Prop. 12.11]. And as height MN (is) to [height] QN , so cylinder EP (is) to cylinder ES [Prop. 12.13]. Thus, as cylinder AO is to cylinder ES , so cylinder EP (is) to (cylinder) ES [Prop. 5.11]. Thus, cylinder AO (is) equal to cylinder EP [Prop. 5.9]. In the same manner, (the proposition can) also (be demonstrated) for the cones. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

ις'.

Δύο κύκλων περὶ τὸ αὐτὸ κέντρον ὄντων εἰς τὸν μείζονα κύκλον πολύγωνον ἰσόπλευρόν τε καὶ ἀρτιόπλευρον ἐγγράψαι μὴ ψαῦον τοῦ ἐλάσσονος κύκλου.




Ἐστώσαν οἱ δοθέντες δύο κύκλοι οἱ ΑΒΓΔ, ΕΖΗΘ περὶ τὸ αὐτὸ κέντρον τὸ Κ· δεῖ δὴ εἰς τὸν μείζονα κύκλον τὸν ΑΒΓΔ πολύγωνον ἰσόπλευρόν τε καὶ ἀρτιόπλευρον ἐγγράψαι μὴ ψαῦον τοῦ ΕΖΗΘ κύκλου.

Ἦχθω γὰρ διὰ τοῦ Κ κέντρου εὐθεῖα ἡ ΒΚΔ, καὶ ἀπὸ τοῦ Η σημείου τῇ ΒΔ εὐθείᾳ πρὸς ὀρθὰς ἦχθω ἡ ΗΑ καὶ διήχθω ἐπὶ τὸ Γ· ἡ ΑΓ ἄρα ἐφάπτεται τοῦ ΕΖΗΘ κύκλου. τέμνοντες δὴ τὴν ΒΑΔ περιφέρειαν δίχα καὶ τὴν ἡμίσειαν αὐτῆς δίχα καὶ τοῦτο αἰ ποιοῦντες καταλείψομεν περιφέρειαν ἐλάσσονα τῆς ΑΔ. λελείφθω, καὶ ἔστω ἡ ΑΔ, καὶ ἀπὸ τοῦ Α ἐπὶ τὴν ΒΔ κάθετος ἦχθω ἡ ΑΜ καὶ διήχθω

Proposition 16

There being two circles about the same center, to inscribe an equilateral and even-sided polygon in the greater circle, not touching the lesser circle.



Let $ABCD$ and $EFGH$ be the given two circles, about the same center, K . So, it is necessary to inscribe an equilateral and even-sided polygon in the greater circle $ABCD$, not touching circle $EFGH$.

Let the straight-line BKD have been drawn through the center K . And let GA have been drawn, at right-angles to the straight-line BD , through point G , and let it have been drawn through to C . Thus, AC touches circle $EFGH$ [Prop. 3.16 corr.]. So, (by) cutting circumference BAD in half, and the half of it in half, and doing this continually, we will (eventually) leave a circumference less


ἐπὶ τὸ Ν, καὶ ἐπεζεύχθωσαν αἱ ΛΔ, ΔΝ· ἴση ἄρα ἐστὶν ἡ ΛΔ τῇ ΔΝ. καὶ ἐπεὶ παράλληλός ἐστιν ἡ ΛΝ τῇ ΑΓ, ἡ δὲ ΑΓ ἐφάπτεται τοῦ ΕΖΗΘ κύκλου, ἡ ΛΝ ἄρα οὐκ ἐφάπτεται τοῦ ΕΖΗΘ κύκλου· πολλῶν ἄρα αἱ ΛΔ, ΔΝ οὐκ ἐφάπτονται τοῦ ΕΖΗΘ κύκλου. ἐὰν δὴ τῇ ΛΔ εὐθείᾳ ἴσας κατὰ τὸ συνεχές ἐναρμόσωμεν εἰς τὸν ΑΒΓΔ κύκλον, ἐγγραφήσεται εἰς τὸν ΑΒΓΔ κύκλον πολὺγωνον ἰσόπλευρόν τε καὶ ἀρτιόπλευρον μὴ ψαῦον τοῦ ἐλάσσονος κύκλου τοῦ ΕΖΗΘ· ὅπερ ἔδει ποιῆσαι.

than AD [Prop. 10.1]. Let it have been left, and let it be LD . And let LM have been drawn, from L , perpendicular to BD , and let it have been drawn through to N . And let LD and DN have been joined. Thus, LD is equal to DN [Props. 3.3, 1.4]. And since LN is parallel to AC [Prop. 1.28], and AC touches circle $EFGH$, LN thus does not touch circle $EFGH$. Thus, even more so, LD and DN do not touch circle $EFGH$. And if we continuously insert (straight-lines) equal to straight-line LD into circle $ABCD$ [Prop. 4.1] then an equilateral and even-sided polygon, not touching the lesser circle $EFGH$, will have been inscribed in circle $ABCD$.[†] (Which is) the very thing it was required to do.

[†] Note that the chord of the polygon, LN , does not touch the inner circle either.

ιζ'.

Δύο σφαιρῶν περὶ τὸ αὐτὸ κέντρον οὐσῶν εἰς τὴν μείζονα σφαῖραν στερεὸν πολὺεδρον ἐγγράφαι μὴ ψαῦον τῆς ἐλάσσονος σφαιράς κατὰ τὴν ἐπιφανείαν.




Νενοήσθωσαν δύο σφαῖραι περὶ τὸ αὐτὸ κέντρον τὸ Α· δεῖ δὴ εἰς τὴν μείζονα σφαῖραν στερεὸν πολὺεδρον ἐγγράφαι μὴ ψαῦον τῆς ἐλάσσονος σφαιράς κατὰ τὴν ἐπιφανείαν.

Τετμήσθωσαν αἱ σφαῖραι ἐπιπέδῳ τινὶ διὰ τοῦ κέντρου· ἔσονται δὴ αἱ τομαὶ κύκλοι, ἐπειδὴ περ μενούσης τῆς διαμέτρου καὶ περιφερομένου τοῦ ἡμικυκλίου ἐγιγνετο ἡ σφαῖρα· ὥστε καὶ καθ' οἷας ἂν θέσεως ἐπινοήσωμεν τὸ ἡμικύκλιον, τὸ δι' αὐτοῦ ἐκβαλλόμενον ἐπίπεδον ποιήσει ἐπὶ τῆς ἐπιφανείας τῆς σφαιράς κύκλον. καὶ φανερόν, ὅτι καὶ μέγιστον, ἐπειδὴ περ ἡ διάμετρος τῆς σφαιράς, ἥτις

Proposition 17

There being two spheres about the same center, to inscribe a polyhedral solid in the greater sphere, not touching the lesser sphere on its surface.



Let two spheres have been conceived about the same center, A . So, it is necessary to inscribe a polyhedral solid in the greater sphere, not touching the lesser sphere on its surface.

Let the spheres have been cut by some plane through the center. So, the sections will be circles, inasmuch as a sphere is generated by the diameter remaining behind, and a semi-circle being carried around [Def. 11.14]. And, hence, whatever position we conceive (of for) the semi-circle, the plane produced through it will make a

ἔστι καὶ τοῦ ἡμικυκλίου διάμετρος δηλαδὴ καὶ τοῦ κύκλου, μείζων ἔστι πασῶν τῶν εἰς τὸν κύκλον ἢ τὴν σφαῖραν διαγομένων [εὐθειῶν]. ἔστω οὖν ἐν μὲν τῇ μείζονι σφαίρᾳ κύκλος ὁ ΒΓΔΕ, ἐν δὲ τῇ ἐλάσσονι σφαίρᾳ κύκλος ὁ ΖΗΘ, καὶ ἤχθωσαν αὐτῶν δύο διαμέτροι πρὸς ὀρθὰς ἀλλήλαις αἱ ΒΔ, ΓΕ, καὶ δύο κύκλων περὶ τὸ αὐτὸ κέντρον ὄντων τῶν ΒΓΔΕ, ΖΗΘ εἰς τὸν μείζονα κύκλον τὸν ΒΓΔΕ πολύγωνον ἰσόπλευρον καὶ ἀρτιόπλευρον ἐγγεγράφθω μὴ ψαῦον τοῦ ἐλάσσονος κύκλου τοῦ ΖΗΘ, οὗ πλευραὶ ἔστωσαν ἐν τῷ ΒΕ τεταρτημορίῳ αἱ ΒΚ, ΚΛ, ΛΜ, ΜΕ, καὶ ἐπιζευχθεῖσα ἡ ΚΑ διήχθω ἐπὶ τὸ Ν, καὶ ἀνεστάτω ἀπὸ τοῦ Α σημείου τῷ τοῦ ΒΓΔΕ κύκλου ἐπιπέδῳ πρὸς ὀρθὰς ἡ ΑΞ καὶ συμβαλλέτω τῇ ἐπιφανείᾳ τῆς σφαίρας κατὰ τὸ Ξ, καὶ διὰ τῆς ΑΞ καὶ ἑκατέρας τῶν ΒΔ, ΚΝ ἐπίπεδα ἐκβεβλήσθω· ποιήσουσι δὴ διὰ τὰ εἰρημένα ἐπὶ τῆς ἐπιφανείας τῆς σφαίρας μεγίστους κύκλους. ποιείτωσαν, ὧν ἡμικύκλια ἔστω ἐπὶ τῶν ΒΔ, ΚΝ διαμέτρων τὰ ΒΞΔ, ΚΞΝ. καὶ ἐπεὶ ἡ ΞΑ ὀρθὴ ἔστι πρὸς τὸ τοῦ ΒΓΔΕ κύκλου ἐπίπεδον, καὶ πάντα ἄρα τὰ διὰ τῆς ΞΑ ἐπίπεδά ἔστιν ὀρθὰ πρὸς τὸ τοῦ ΒΓΔΕ κύκλου ἐπίπεδον· ὥστε καὶ τὰ ΒΞΔ, ΚΞΝ ἡμικύκλια ὀρθὰ ἔστι πρὸς τὸ τοῦ ΒΓΔΕ κύκλου ἐπίπεδον. καὶ ἐπεὶ ἴσα ἔστι τὰ ΒΕΔ, ΒΞΔ, ΚΞΝ ἡμικύκλια· ἐπὶ γὰρ ἴσων εἰσὶ διαμέτρων τῶν ΒΔ, ΚΝ· ἴσα ἔστι καὶ τὰ ΒΕ, ΒΞ, ΚΞ τεταρτημόρια ἀλλήλοις. ὅσαι ἄρα εἰσὶν ἐν τῷ ΒΕ τεταρτημορίῳ πλευραὶ τοῦ πολυγώνου, τοσαῦταί εἰσι καὶ ἐν τοῖς ΒΞ, ΚΞ τεταρτημορίοις ἴσαι ταῖς ΒΚ, ΚΛ, ΛΜ, ΜΕ εὐθείαις. ἐγγεγράφθωσαν καὶ ἔστωσαν αἱ ΒΟ, ΟΠ, ΠΡ, ΡΞ, ΚΣ, ΣΤ, ΤΥ, ΥΞ, καὶ ἐπεξεύχθωσαν αἱ ΣΟ, ΤΠ, ΥΡ, καὶ ἀπὸ τῶν Ο, Σ ἐπὶ τὸ τοῦ ΒΓΔΕ κύκλου ἐπίπεδον κάθετοι ἤχθωσαν· πεσοῦνται δὴ ἐπὶ τὰς κοινὰς τομὰς τῶν ἐπιπέδων τὰς ΒΔ, ΚΝ, ἐπειδὴ περ καὶ τὰ τῶν ΒΞΔ, ΚΞΝ ἐπίπεδα ὀρθὰ ἔστι πρὸς τὸ τοῦ ΒΓΔΕ κύκλου ἐπίπεδον. πιπτέτωσαν, καὶ ἔστωσαν αἱ ΟΦ, ΣΧ, καὶ ἐπεξεύχθω ἡ ΧΦ. καὶ ἐπεὶ ἐν ἴσοις ἡμικυκλίοις τοῖς ΒΞΔ, ΚΞΝ ἴσαι ἀπειλημμεναι εἰσὶν αἱ ΒΟ, ΚΣ, καὶ κάθετοι ἡγμένοι εἰσὶν αἱ ΟΦ, ΣΧ, ἴση [ἄρα] ἔστιν ἡ μὲν ΟΦ τῇ ΣΧ, ἡ δὲ ΒΦ τῇ ΚΧ. ἔστι δὲ καὶ ὅλη ἡ ΒΑ ὅλη τῇ ΚΑ ἴση· καὶ λοιπὴ ἄρα ἡ ΦΑ λοιπὴ τῇ ΧΑ ἔστιν ἴση· ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ἡ ΒΦ πρὸς τὴν ΦΑ, οὕτως ἡ ΚΧ πρὸς τὴν ΧΑ· παράλληλος ἄρα ἔστιν ἡ ΧΦ τῇ ΚΒ. καὶ ἐπεὶ ἑκατέρα τῶν ΟΦ, ΣΧ ὀρθὴ ἔστι πρὸς τὸ τοῦ ΒΓΔΕ κύκλου ἐπίπεδον, παράλληλος ἄρα ἔστιν ἡ ΟΦ τῇ ΣΧ. ἐδείχθη δὲ αὐτῇ καὶ ἴση· καὶ αἱ ΧΦ, ΣΟ ἄρα ἴσαι εἰσὶ καὶ παράλληλοι. καὶ ἐπεὶ παράλληλός ἔστιν ἡ ΧΦ τῇ ΣΟ, ἀλλὰ ἡ ΧΦ τῇ ΚΒ ἔστι παράλληλος, καὶ ἡ ΣΟ ἄρα τῇ ΚΒ ἔστι παράλληλος. καὶ ἐπιζευγνύουσιν αὐτάς αἱ ΒΟ, ΚΣ· τὸ ΚΒΟΣ ἄρα τετράπλευρον ἐν ἐνὶ ἔστιν ἐπιπέδῳ, ἐπειδὴ περ, ἐὰν ὡσι δύο εὐθεῖαι παράλληλοι, καὶ ἐφ' ἑκατέρας αὐτῶν ληφθῆ τυχόντα σημεῖα, ἡ ἐπὶ τὰ σημεῖα ἐπιζευγνυμένη εὐθεῖα ἐν τῷ αὐτῷ ἐπιπέδῳ ἔστι ταῖς παραλλήλοις. διὰ τὰ αὐτὰ δὴ καὶ ἑκάτερον τῶν ΣΟΠΤ, ΤΠΡΥ τετραπλεύρων ἐν ἐνὶ ἔστιν ἐπιπέδῳ. ἔστι δὲ καὶ τὸ ΥΡΞ τρίγωνον ἐν ἐνὶ ἐπιπέδῳ. ἐὰν δὴ νοήσωμεν ἀπὸ

circle on the surface of the sphere. And (it is) clear that (it is) also a great (circle), inasmuch as the diameter of the sphere, which is also manifestly the diameter of the semi-circle and the circle, is greater than all of the (other) [straight-lines] drawn across in the circle or the sphere [Prop. 3.15]. Therefore, let $BCDE$ be the circle in the greater sphere, and FGH the circle in the lesser sphere. And let two diameters of them have been drawn at right-angles to one another, (namely), BD and CE . And there being two circles about the same center—(namely), $BCDE$ and FGH —let an equilateral and even-sided polygon have been inscribed in the greater circle, $BCDE$, not touching the lesser circle, FGH [Prop. 12.16], of which let the sides in the quadrant BE be BK, KL, LM , and ME . And, KA being joined, let it have been drawn across to N . And let AO have been set up at point A , at right-angles to the plane of circle $BCDE$. And let it meet the surface of the (greater) sphere at O . And let planes have been produced through AO and each of BD and KN . So, according to the aforementioned (discussion), they will make great circles on the surface of the (greater) sphere. Let them make (great circles), of which let BOD and KON be semi-circles on the diameters BD and KN (respectively). And since OA is at right-angles to the plane of circle $BCDE$, all of the planes through OA are thus also at right-angles to the plane of circle $BCDE$ [Prop. 11.18]. And, hence, the semi-circles BOD and KON are also at right-angles to the plane of circle $BCDE$. And since semi-circles BED, BOD , and KON are equal—for (they are) on the equal diameters BD and KN [Def. 3.1]—the quadrants BE, BO , and KO are also equal to one another. Thus, as many sides of the polygon as are in quadrant BE , so many are also in quadrants BO and KO equal to the straight-lines BK, KL, LM , and ME . Let them have been inscribed, and let them be $BP, PQ, QR, RO, KS, ST, TU$, and UO . And let SP, TQ , and UR have been joined. And let perpendiculars have been drawn from P and S to the plane of circle $BCDE$ [Prop. 11.11]. So, they will fall on the common sections of the planes BD and KN (with $BCDE$), inasmuch as the planes of BOD and KON are also at right-angles to the plane of circle $BCDE$ [Def. 11.4]. Let them have fallen, and let them be PV and SW . And let WV have been joined. And since BP and KS are equal (circumferences) having been cut off in the equal semi-circles BOD and KON [Def. 3.28], and PV and SW are perpendiculars having been drawn (from them), PV is [thus] equal to SW , and BV to KW [Props. 3.27, 1.26]. And the whole of BA is also equal to the whole of KA . And, thus, as BV is to VA , so KW (is) to WA . WV is thus parallel to KB [Prop. 6.2]. And

τῶν $O, \Sigma, \Pi, T, P, \Upsilon$ σημείων ἐπὶ τὸ A ἐπιζευγνυμένας εὐθείας, συσταθήσεται τι σχῆμα στερεὸν πολύεδρον ματαξὺ τῶν $B\Xi, K\Xi$ περιφερειῶν ἐκ πυραμίδων συγκείμενον, ὧν βάσεις μὲν τὰ $KBO\Sigma, \Sigma O\Pi T, T\Pi P\Upsilon$ τετράπλευρα καὶ τὸ $\Upsilon P\Xi$ τρίγωνον, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ A σημεῖον. ἐὰν δὲ καὶ ἐπὶ ἐκάστης τῶν $K\Lambda, \Lambda M, M E$ πλευρῶν καθάπερ ἐπὶ τῆς BK τὰ αὐτὰ κατασκευάσωμεν καὶ ἔτι τῶν λοιπῶν τριῶν τεταρτημοριῶν, συσταθήσεται τι σχῆμα πολύεδρον ἐγγεγραμμένον εἰς τὴν σφαῖραν πυραμίσι περιεχόμενον, ὧν βάσεις [μὲν] τὰ εἰρημένα τετράπλευρα καὶ τὸ $\Upsilon P\Xi$ τρίγωνον καὶ τὰ ὁμοταγῆ αὐτοῖς, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ A σημεῖον.

Λέγω ὅτι τὸ εἰρημένον πολύεδρον οὐκ ἐφάπεται τῆς ἐλάσσονος σφαίρας κατὰ τὴν ἐπιφάνειαν, ἐφ' ἧς ἔστιν ὁ $ZH\Theta$ κύκλος.

Ἦχθω ἀπὸ τοῦ A σημείου ἐπὶ τὸ τοῦ $KBO\Sigma$ τετραπλεύρου ἐπίπεδον κάθετος ἡ $A\Psi$ καὶ συμβαλλέτω τῷ ἐπιπέδῳ κατὰ τὸ Ψ σημεῖον, καὶ ἐπεζεύχθωσαν αἱ $\Psi B, \Psi K$. καὶ ἐπεὶ ἡ $A\Psi$ ὀρθὴ ἔστι πρὸς τὸ τοῦ $KBO\Sigma$ τετραπλεύρου ἐπίπεδον, καὶ πρὸς πάσας ἄρα τὰς ἀπτομένας αὐτῆς εὐθείας καὶ οὐσας ἐν τῷ τοῦ τετραπλεύρου ἐπιπέδῳ ὀρθὴ ἔστιν. ἡ $A\Psi$ ἄρα ὀρθὴ ἔστι πρὸς ἑκατέραν τῶν $B\Psi, \Psi K$. καὶ ἐπεὶ ἴση ἔστιν ἡ AB τῆ AK , ἴσον ἔστί καὶ τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς AB τῷ ἀπὸ τῆς AK . καὶ ἔστι τῷ μὲν ἀπὸ τῆς AB ἴσα τὰ ἀπὸ τῶν $A\Psi, \Psi B$. ὀρθὴ γὰρ ἡ πρὸς τῷ Ψ . τῷ δὲ ἀπὸ τῆς AK ἴσα τὰ ἀπὸ τῶν $A\Psi, \Psi K$. τὰ ἄρα ἀπὸ τῶν $A\Psi, \Psi B$ ἴσα ἔστί τοῖς ἀπὸ τῶν $A\Psi, \Psi K$. κοινὸν ἀφηρήσθω τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς $A\Psi$. λοιπὸν ἄρα τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς $B\Psi$ λοιπῷ τῷ ἀπὸ τῆς ΨK ἴσον ἔστιν. ἴση ἄρα ἡ $B\Psi$ τῆ ΨK . ὁμοίως δὲ δεῖξομεν, ὅτι καὶ αἱ ἀπὸ τοῦ Ψ ἐπὶ τὰ O, Σ ἐπιζευγνύμεναι εὐθεῖαι ἴσαι εἰσὶν ἑκατέρω τῶν $B\Psi, \Psi K$. ὁ ἄρα κέντρῳ τῷ Ψ καὶ διαστήματι ἐνὶ τῶν $\Psi B, \Psi K$ γραφόμενος κύκλος ἦξει καὶ διὰ τῶν O, Σ , καὶ ἔσται ἐν κύκλῳ τὸ $KBO\Sigma$ τετράπλευρον.

Καὶ ἐπεὶ μείζων ἔστιν ἡ KB τῆς $X\Phi$, ἴση δὲ ἡ $X\Phi$ τῆ ΣO , μείζων ἄρα ἡ KB τῆς ΣO . ἴση δὲ ἡ KB ἑκατέρω τῶν $K\Sigma, BO$. καὶ ἑκατέρω ἄρα τῶν $K\Sigma, BO$ τῆς ΣO μείζων ἔστιν. καὶ ἐπεὶ ἐν κύκλῳ τετράπλευρόν ἔστι τὸ $KBO\Sigma$, καὶ ἴσαι αἱ $KB, BO, K\Sigma$, καὶ ἐλάττων ἡ $O\Sigma$, καὶ ἐκ τοῦ κέντρου τοῦ κύκλου ἔστιν ἡ $B\Psi$, τὸ ἄρα ἀπὸ τῆς KB τοῦ ἀπὸ τῆς $B\Psi$ μείζον ἔστιν ἢ διπλάσιον. ἦχθω ἀπὸ τοῦ K ἐπὶ τὴν $B\Phi$ κάθετος ἡ $K\Omega$. καὶ ἐπεὶ ἡ $B\Delta$ τῆς $\Delta\Omega$ ἐλάττων ἔστιν ἢ διπλῆ, καὶ ἔστιν ὡς ἡ $B\Delta$ πρὸς τὴν $\Delta\Omega$, οὕτως τὸ ὑπὸ τῶν $\Delta B, B\Omega$ πρὸς τὸ ὑπὸ [τῶν] $\Delta\Omega, \Omega B$, ἀναγραφομένου ἀπὸ τῆς $B\Omega$ τετραγώνου καὶ συμπληρουμένου τοῦ ἐπὶ τῆς $\Omega\Delta$ παραλληλογράμμου καὶ τὸ ὑπὸ $\Delta B, B\Omega$ ἄρα τοῦ ὑπὸ $\Delta\Omega, \Omega B$ ἐλαττόν ἔστιν ἢ διπλάσιον. καὶ ἔστι τῆς $K\Delta$ ἐπιζευγνυμένης τὸ μὲν ὑπὸ $\Delta B, B\Omega$ ἴσον τῷ ἀπὸ τῆς BK , τὸ δὲ ὑπὸ τῶν $\Delta\Omega, \Omega B$ ἴσον τῷ ἀπὸ τῆς $K\Omega$. τὸ ἄρα ἀπὸ τῆς KB τοῦ ἀπὸ τῆς $K\Omega$ ἔλασσόν ἔστιν ἢ διπλάσιον. ἀλλὰ τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς KB τοῦ ἀπὸ τῆς $B\Psi$ μείζον ἔστιν ἢ διπλάσιον. μείζον ἄρα τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς $K\Omega$ τοῦ ἀπὸ τῆς $B\Psi$. καὶ ἐπεὶ ἴση ἔστιν ἡ BA τῆ KA , ἴσον ἔστί τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς BA τῷ ἀπὸ τῆς AK . καὶ

since PV and SW are each at right-angles to the plane of circle $BCDE$, PV is thus parallel to SW [Prop. 11.6]. And it was also shown (to be) equal to it. And, thus, WV and SP are equal and parallel [Prop. 1.33]. And since WV is parallel to SP , but WV is parallel to KB , SP is thus also parallel to KB [Prop. 11.1]. And BP and KS join them. Thus, the quadrilateral $KBPS$ is in one plane, inasmuch as if there are two parallel straight-lines, and a random point is taken on each of them, then the straight-line joining the points is in the same plane as the parallel (straight-lines) [Prop. 11.7]. So, for the same (reasons), each of the quadrilaterals $SPQT$ and $TQRU$ is also in one plane. And triangle URO is also in one plane [Prop. 11.2]. So, if we conceive straight-lines joining points P, S, Q, T, R , and U to A then some solid polyhedral figure will have been constructed between the circumferences BO and KO , being composed of pyramids whose bases (are) the quadrilaterals $KBPS, SPQT, TQRU$, and the triangle URO , and apex the point A . And if we also make the same construction on each of the sides KL, LM , and ME , just as on BK , and, further, (repeat the construction) in the remaining three quadrants, then some polyhedral figure which has been inscribed in the sphere will have been constructed, being contained by pyramids whose bases (are) the aforementioned quadrilaterals, and triangle URO , and the (quadrilaterals and triangles) similarly arranged to them, and apex the point A .

So, I say that the aforementioned polyhedron will not touch the lesser sphere on the surface on which the circle FGH is (situated).

Let the perpendicular (straight-line) AX have been drawn from point A to the plane $KBPS$, and let it meet the plane at point X [Prop. 11.11]. And let XB and XK have been joined. And since AX is at right-angles to the plane of quadrilateral $KBPS$, it is thus also at right-angles to all of the straight-lines joined to it which are also in the plane of the quadrilateral [Def. 11.3]. Thus, AX is at right-angles to each of BX and XK . And since AB is equal to AK , the (square) on AB is also equal to the (square) on AK . And the (sum of the squares) on AX and XB is equal to the (square) on AB . For the angle at X (is) a right-angle [Prop. 1.47]. And the (sum of the squares) on AX and XK is equal to the (square) on AK [Prop. 1.47]. Thus, the (sum of the squares) on AX and XB is equal to the (sum of the squares) on AX and XK . Let the (square) on AX have been subtracted from both. Thus, the remaining (square) on BX is equal to the remaining (square) on XK . Thus, BX (is) equal to XK . So, similarly, we can show that the straight-lines joined from X to P and S are equal to each of BX and XK .

ἔστι τῷ μὲν ἀπὸ τῆς BA ἴσα τὰ ἀπὸ τῶν $B\Psi$, ΨA , τῷ δὲ ἀπὸ τῆς KA ἴσα τὰ ἀπὸ τῶν $K\Omega$, ΩA . τὰ ἄρα ἀπὸ τῶν $B\Psi$, ΨA ἴσα ἔστι τοῖς ἀπὸ τῶν $K\Omega$, ΩA , ὣν τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς $K\Omega$ μείζων τοῦ ἀπὸ τῆς $B\Psi$. λοιπὸν ἄρα τὸ ἀπὸ τῆς ΩA ἔλασσόν ἐστι τοῦ ἀπὸ τῆς ΨA . μείζων ἄρα ἡ $A\Psi$ τῆς $A\Omega$. πολλῶν ἄρα ἡ $A\Psi$ μείζων ἔστι τῆς AH . καὶ ἔστιν ἡ μὲν $A\Psi$ ἐπὶ μίαν τοῦ πολυέδρου βάσιν, ἡ δὲ AH ἐπὶ τὴν τῆς ἐλάσσονος σφαίρας ἐπιφάνειαν· ὥστε τὸ πολυέδρον οὐ ψαύσει τῆς ἐλάσσονος σφαίρας κατὰ τὴν ἐπιφάνειαν.

Δύο ἄρα σφαιρῶν περὶ τὸ αὐτὸ κέντρον οὐσῶν εἰς τὴν μείζονα σφαῖραν στερεὸν πολυέδρον ἐγγέγραπται μὴ ψαῦον τῆς ἐλάσσονος σφαίρας κατὰ τὴν ἐπιφάνειαν· ὅπερ ἔδει ποιῆσαι.

Thus, a circle drawn (in the plane of the quadrilateral) with center X , and radius one of XB or XK , will also pass through P and S , and the quadrilateral $KBPS$ will be inside the circle.

And since KB is greater than WV , and WV (is) equal to SP , KB (is) thus greater than SP . And KB (is) equal to each of KS and BP . Thus, KS and BP are each greater than SP . And since quadrilateral $KBPS$ is in a circle, and KB , BP , and KS are equal (to one another), and PS (is) less (than them), and BX is the radius of the circle, the (square) on KB is thus greater than double the (square) on BX .[†] Let the perpendicular KY have been drawn from K to BV .[‡] And since BD is less than double DY , and as BD is to DY , so the (rectangle contained) by DB and BY (is) to the (rectangle contained) by DY and YB —a square being described on BY , and a (rectangular) parallelogram (with short side equal to BY) completed on YD —the (rectangle contained) by DB and BY is thus also less than double the (rectangle contained) by DY and YB . And, KD being joined, the (rectangle contained) by DB and BY is equal to the (square) on BK , and the (rectangle contained) by DY and YB equal to the (square) on KY [Props. 3.31, 6.8 corr.]. Thus, the (square) on KB is less than double the (square) on KY . But, the (square) on KB is greater than double the (square) on BX . Thus, the (square) on KY (is) greater than the (square) on BX . And since BA is equal to KA , the (square) on BA is equal to the (square) on KA . And the (sum of the squares) on BX and XA is equal to the (square) on BA , and the (sum of the squares) on KY and YA (is) equal to the (square) on KA [Prop. 1.47]. Thus, the (sum of the squares) on BX and XA is equal to the (sum of the squares) on KY and YA , of which the (square) on KY (is) greater than the (square) on BX . Thus, the remaining (square) on YA is less than the (square) on XA . Thus, AX (is) greater than AY . Thus, AX is much greater than AG .[§] And AX is (a perpendicular) on one of the bases of the polyhedron, and AG (is a perpendicular) on the surface of the lesser sphere. Hence, the polyhedron will not touch the lesser sphere on its surface.

Thus, there being two spheres about the same center, a polyhedral solid has been inscribed in the greater sphere which does not touch the lesser sphere on its surface. (Which is) the very thing it was required to do.

[†] Since KB , BP , and KS are greater than the sides of an inscribed square, which are each of length $\sqrt{2}BX$.

[‡] Note that points Y and V are actually identical.

[§] This conclusion depends on the fact that the chord of the polygon in proposition 12.16 does not touch the inner circle.

Πόρισμα.


Ἐάν δὲ καὶ εἰς ἐτέραν σφαῖραν τῷ ἐν τῇ ΒΓΔΕ σφαίρα στερεῶ πολυέδρω ὅμοιον στερεὸν πολυέδρον ἐγγραφῆ, τὸ ἐν τῇ ΒΓΔΕ σφαίρα στερεὸν πολυέδρον πρὸς τὸ ἐν τῇ ἐτέρα σφαίρα στερεὸν πολυέδρον τριπλασίονα λόγον ἔχει, ἥπερ ἡ τῆς ΒΓΔΕ σφαίρας διάμετρος πρὸς τὴν τῆς ἐτέρας σφαίρας διάμετρον. διαιρεθέντων γὰρ τῶν στερεῶν εἰς τὰς ὁμοιοπληθεῖς καὶ ὁμοιοταγεῖς πυραμίδας ἔσσονται αἱ πυραμίδες ὅμοιαι. αἱ δὲ ὅμοιαι πυραμίδες πρὸς ἀλλήλας ἐν τριπλασίονι λόγῳ εἰσὶ τῶν ὁμολόγων πλευρῶν· ἡ ἄρα πυραμὶς, ἥς βᾶσις μὲν ἐστὶ τὸ ΚΒΟΣ τετράπλευρον, κορυφὴ δὲ τὸ Α σημεῖον, πρὸς τὴν ἐν τῇ ἐτέρα σφαίρα ὁμοιοταγεῖ πυραμίδα τριπλασίονα λόγον ἔχει, ἥπερ ἡ ὁμολόγος πλευρὰ πρὸς τὴν ὁμολόγον πλευράν, τουτέστιν ἥπερ ἡ ΑΒ ἐκ τοῦ κέντρου τῆς σφαίρας τῆς περὶ κέντρον τὸ Α πρὸς τὴν ἐκ τοῦ κέντρου τῆς ἐτέρας σφαίρας. ὁμοίως καὶ ἐκάστη πυραμὶς τῶν ἐν τῇ περὶ κέντρον τὸ Α σφαίρα πρὸς ἐκάστην ὁμοιοταγεῖ πυραμίδα τῶν ἐν τῇ ἐτέρα σφαίρα τριπλασίονα λόγον ἔξει, ἥπερ ἡ ΑΒ πρὸς τὴν ἐκ τοῦ κέντρου τῆς ἐτέρας σφαίρας. καὶ ὡς ἐν τῶν ἡγουμένων πρὸς ἐν τῶν ἐπομένων, οὕτως ἅπαντα τὰ ἡγούμενα πρὸς ἅπαντα τὰ ἐπόμενα· ὥστε ὅλον τὸ ἐν τῇ περὶ κέντρον τὸ Α σφαίρα στερεὸν πολυέδρον πρὸς ὅλον τὸ ἐν τῇ ἐτέρα [σφαίρα] στερεὸν πολυέδρον τριπλασίονα λόγον ἔξει, ἥπερ ἡ ΑΒ πρὸς τὴν ἐκ τοῦ κέντρου τῆς ἐτέρας σφαίρας, τουτέστιν ἥπερ ἡ ΒΔ διάμετρος πρὸς τὴν τῆς ἐτέρας σφαίρας διάμετρον· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

Corollary

And, also, if a similar polyhedral solid to that in sphere *BCDE* is inscribed in another sphere then the polyhedral solid in sphere *BCDE* has to the polyhedral solid in the other sphere the cubed ratio that the diameter of sphere *BCDE* has to the diameter of the other sphere. For if the solids are divided into similarly numbered, and similarly situated, pyramids, then the pyramids will be similar. And similar pyramids are in the cubed ratio of corresponding sides [Prop. 12.8 corr.]. Thus, the pyramid whose base is quadrilateral *KBPS*, and apex the point *A*, will have to the similarly situated pyramid in the other sphere the cubed ratio that a corresponding side (has) to a corresponding side. That is to say, that of radius *AB* of the sphere about center *A* to the radius of the other sphere. And, similarly, each pyramid in the sphere about center *A* will have to each similarly situated pyramid in the other sphere the cubed ratio that *AB* (has) to the radius of the other sphere. And as one of the leading (magnitudes is) to one of the following (in two sets of proportional magnitudes), so (the sum of) all the leading (magnitudes is) to (the sum of) all of the following (magnitudes) [Prop. 5.12]. Hence, the whole polyhedral solid in the sphere about center *A* will have to the whole polyhedral solid in the other [sphere] the cubed ratio that (radius) *AB* (has) to the radius of the other sphere. That is to say, that diameter *BD* (has) to the diameter of the other sphere. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.


ιη'.

Αἱ σφαῖραι πρὸς ἀλλήλας ἐν τριπλασίονι λόγῳ εἰσὶ τῶν ἰδίων διαμέτρων.



Proposition 18

Spheres are to one another in the cubed ratio of their respective diameters.



Νενοήσθωσαν σφαίραι αἱ $ABΓ$, $ΔΕΖ$, διάμετροι δὲ αὐτῶν αἱ $ΒΓ$, $ΕΖ$: λέγω, ὅτι ἡ $ABΓ$ σφαῖρα πρὸς τὴν $ΔΕΖ$ σφαῖραν τριπλασίονα λόγον ἔχει ἤπερ ἡ $ΒΓ$ πρὸς τὴν $ΕΖ$.

Εἰ γὰρ μὴ ἡ $ABΓ$ σφαῖρα πρὸς τὴν $ΔΕΖ$ σφαῖραν τριπλασίονα λόγον ἔχει ἤπερ ἡ $ΒΓ$ πρὸς τὴν $ΕΖ$, ἔξει ἄρα ἡ $ABΓ$ σφαῖρα πρὸς ἐλάσσονά τινα τῆς $ΔΕΖ$ σφαίρας τριπλασίονα λόγον ἢ πρὸς μείζονα ἤπερ ἡ $ΒΓ$ πρὸς τὴν $ΕΖ$. ἐχέτω πρότερον πρὸς ἐλάσσονα τὴν $ΗΘΚ$, καὶ νενοήσθω ἡ $ΔΕΖ$ τῆ $ΗΘΚ$ περι τὸ αὐτὸ κέντρον, καὶ ἐγγεγράφθω εἰς τὴν μείζονα σφαῖραν τὴν $ΔΕΖ$ στερεὸν πολυέδρον μὴ ψαῦον τῆς ἐλάσσονος σφαίρας τῆς $ΗΘΚ$ κατὰ τὴν ἐπιφάνειαν, ἐγγεγράφθω δὲ καὶ εἰς τὴν $ABΓ$ σφαῖραν τῷ ἐν τῇ $ΔΕΖ$ σφαίρᾳ στερεῷ πολυέδρῳ ὅμοιον στερεὸν πολυέδρον: τὸ ἄρα ἐν τῇ $ABΓ$ στερεὸν πολυέδρον πρὸς τὸ ἐν τῇ $ΔΕΖ$ στερεὸν πολυέδρον τριπλασίονα λόγον ἔχει ἤπερ ἡ $ΒΓ$ πρὸς τὴν $ΕΖ$. ἔχει δὲ καὶ ἡ $ABΓ$ σφαῖρα πρὸς τὴν $ΗΘΚ$ σφαῖραν τριπλασίονα λόγον ἤπερ ἡ $ΒΓ$ πρὸς τὴν $ΕΖ$: ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ἡ $ABΓ$ σφαῖρα πρὸς τὴν $ΗΘΚ$ σφαῖραν, οὕτως τὸ ἐν τῇ $ABΓ$ σφαίρᾳ στερεὸν πολυέδρον πρὸς τὸ ἐν τῇ $ΔΕΖ$ σφαίρᾳ στερεὸν πολυέδρον: ἐναλλάξ [ἄρα] ὡς ἡ $ABΓ$ σφαῖρα πρὸς τὸ ἐν αὐτῇ πολυέδρον, οὕτως ἡ $ΗΘΚ$ σφαῖρα πρὸς τὸ ἐν τῇ $ΔΕΖ$ σφαίρᾳ στερεὸν πολυέδρον. μείζων δὲ ἡ $ABΓ$ σφαῖρα τοῦ ἐν αὐτῇ πολυέδρου: μείζων ἄρα καὶ ἡ $ΗΘΚ$ σφαῖρα τοῦ ἐν τῇ $ΔΕΖ$ σφαίρᾳ πολυέδρου. ἀλλὰ καὶ ἐλάττων: ἐμπεριέχεται γὰρ ὑπ' αὐτοῦ. οὐκ ἄρα ἡ $ABΓ$ σφαῖρα πρὸς ἐλάσσονα τῆς $ΔΕΖ$ σφαίρας τριπλασίονα λόγον ἔχει ἤπερ ἡ $ΒΓ$ διάμετρος πρὸς τὴν $ΕΖ$. ὁμοίως δὲ δεῖξομεν, ὅτι οὐδὲ ἡ $ΔΕΖ$ σφαῖρα πρὸς ἐλάσσονα τῆς $ABΓ$ σφαίρας τριπλασίονα λόγον ἔχει ἤπερ ἡ $ΕΖ$ πρὸς τὴν $ΒΓ$.

Λέγω δὴ, ὅτι οὐδὲ ἡ $ABΓ$ σφαῖρα πρὸς μείζονά τινα τῆς $ΔΕΖ$ σφαίρας τριπλασίονα λόγον ἔχει ἤπερ ἡ $ΒΓ$ πρὸς τὴν $ΕΖ$.

Εἰ γὰρ δυνατὸν, ἐχέτω πρὸς μείζονα τὴν $ΛΜΝ$: ἀνάπαλιν ἄρα ἡ $ΛΜΝ$ σφαῖρα πρὸς τὴν $ABΓ$ σφαῖραν τριπλασίονα λόγον ἔχει ἤπερ ἡ $ΕΖ$ διάμετρος πρὸς τὴν $ΒΓ$ διάμετρον. ὡς δὲ ἡ $ΛΜΝ$ σφαῖρα πρὸς τὴν $ABΓ$ σφαῖραν, οὕτως ἡ $ΔΕΖ$ σφαῖρα πρὸς ἐλάσσονά τινα τῆς $ABΓ$ σφαίρας, ἐπειδὴ περ μείζων ἐστὶν ἡ $ΛΜΝ$ τῆς $ΔΕΖ$, ὡς ἔμπροσθεν ἐδείχθη. καὶ ἡ $ΔΕΖ$ ἄρα σφαῖρα πρὸς ἐλάσσονά τινα τῆς $ABΓ$ σφαίρας τριπλασίονα λόγον ἔχει ἤπερ ἡ $ΕΖ$ πρὸς τὴν $ΒΓ$: ὅπερ ἀδύνατον ἐδείχθη. οὐκ ἄρα ἡ $ABΓ$ σφαῖρα πρὸς μείζονά τινα τῆς $ΔΕΖ$ σφαίρας τριπλασίονα λόγον ἔχει ἤπερ ἡ $ΒΓ$ πρὸς τὴν $ΕΖ$. ἐδείχθη δέ, ὅτι οὐδὲ πρὸς ἐλάσσονα. ἡ ἄρα $ABΓ$ σφαῖρα πρὸς τὴν $ΔΕΖ$ σφαῖραν τριπλασίονα λόγον ἔχει ἤπερ ἡ $ΒΓ$ πρὸς τὴν $ΕΖ$: ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

Let the spheres ABC and DEF have been conceived, and (let) their diameters (be) BC and EF (respectively). I say that sphere ABC has to sphere DEF the cubed ratio that BC (has) to EF .

For if sphere ABC does not have to sphere DEF the cubed ratio that BC (has) to EF then sphere ABC will have to some (sphere) either less than, or greater than, sphere DEF the cubed ratio that BC (has) to EF . Let it, first of all, have (such a ratio) to a lesser (sphere), GHK . And let DEF have been conceived about the same center as GHK . And let a polyhedral solid have been inscribed in the greater sphere DEF , not touching the lesser sphere GHK on its surface [Prop. 12.17]. And let a polyhedral solid, similar to the polyhedral solid in sphere DEF , have also been inscribed in sphere ABC . Thus, the polyhedral solid in sphere ABC has to the polyhedral solid in sphere DEF the cubed ratio that BC (has) to EF [Prop. 12.17 corr.]. And sphere ABC also has to sphere GHK the cubed ratio that BC (has) to EF . Thus, as sphere ABC is to sphere GHK , so the polyhedral solid in sphere ABC (is) to the polyhedral solid in sphere DEF . [Thus], alternately, as sphere ABC (is) to the polygon within it, so sphere GHK (is) to the polyhedral solid within sphere DEF [Prop. 5.16]. And sphere ABC (is) greater than the polyhedron within it. Thus, sphere GHK (is) also greater than the polyhedron within sphere DEF [Prop. 5.14]. But, (it is) also less. For it is encompassed by it. Thus, sphere ABC does not have to (a sphere) less than sphere DEF the cubed ratio that diameter BC (has) to EF . So, similarly, we can show that sphere DEF does not have to (a sphere) less than sphere ABC the cubed ratio that EF (has) to BC either.

So, I say that sphere ABC does not have to some (sphere) greater than sphere DEF the cubed ratio that BC (has) to EF either.

For, if possible, let it have (the cubed ratio) to a greater (sphere), LMN . Thus, inversely, sphere LMN (has) to sphere ABC the cubed ratio that diameter EF (has) to diameter BC [Prop. 5.7 corr.]. And as sphere LMN (is) to sphere ABC , so sphere DEF (is) to some (sphere) less than sphere ABC , inasmuch as LMN is greater than DEF , as was shown before [Prop. 12.2 lem.]. And, thus, sphere DEF has to some (sphere) less than sphere ABC the cubed ratio that EF (has) to BC . The very thing was shown (to be) impossible. Thus, sphere ABC does not have to some (sphere) greater than sphere DEF the cubed ratio that BC (has) to EF . And it was shown that neither (does it have such a ratio) to a lesser (sphere). Thus, sphere ABC has to sphere DEF the cubed ratio that BC (has) to EF . (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.