# ELEMENTS BOOK 7

# Elementary Number Theory $^{\dagger}$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>The propositions contained in Books 7–9 are generally attributed to the school of Pythagoras.

## Οροι.

α'. Μονάς ἐστιν, καθ' ἢν ἕκαστον τῶν ὄντων ἕν λέγεται.

β΄. Ἀριθμὸς δὲ τὸ ἐκ μονάδων συγκείμενον πλῆθος.

γ΄. Μέρος ἐστὶν ἀριθμὸς ἀριθμοῦ ὁ ἐλάσσων τοῦ μείζονος, ὅταν καταμετρῆ τὸν μείζονα.

δ'. Μέρη δέ, ὄταν μὴ καταμετρῆ.

ε'. Πολλαπλάσιος δὲ ὁ μείζων τοῦ ἐλάσσονος, ὅταν καταμετρῆται ὑπὸ τοῦ ἐλάσσονος.

γ΄. Ἄρτιος ἀριθμός ἐστιν ὁ δίχα διαιρούμενος.

ζ΄. Περισσός δὲ ὁ μὴ διαιρούμενος δίχα ἢ [ὁ] μονάδι διαφέρων ἀρτίου ἀριθμοῦ.

η'. Άρτιάχις ἄρτιος ἀριθμός ἐστιν ὁ ὑπὸ ἀρτίου ἀριθμοῦ μετρούμενος χατὰ ἄρτιον ἀριθμόν.

θ΄. Ἄρτιάχις δὲ περισσός ἐστιν ὁ ὑπὸ ἀρτίου ἀριθμοῦ μετρούμενος κατὰ περισσὸν ἀριθμόν.

ι΄. Περισσάχις δὲ περισσὸς ἀριθμός ἐστιν ὁ ὑπὸ περισσοῦ ἀριθμοῦ μετρούμενος χατὰ περισσὸν ἀριθμόν.

ια'. Πρῶτος ἀριθμός ἐστιν ὁ μονάδι μόνῃ μετρούμενος.

ιβ΄. Πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους ἀριθμοί εἰσιν οἱ μονάδι μόνη μετρούμενοι κοινῷ μέτρῳ.

ιγ΄. Σύνθετος ἀριθμός ἐστιν ὁ ἀριθμῷ τινι μετρούμενος.

ιδ'. Σύνθετοι δὲ πρὸς ἀλλήλους ἀριθμοί εἰσιν οἱ ἀριθμῷ τινι μετρούμενοι κοινῷ μέτρῳ.

ιε΄. Ἀριθμὸς ἀριθμὸν πολλαπλασιάζειν λέγεται, ὅταν, ὅσαι εἰσὶν ἐν αὐτῷ μονάδες, τοσαυτάχις συντεθῆ ὁ πολλαπλασιαζόμενος, καὶ γένηταί τις.

ιτ΄. Όταν δὲ δύο ἀριθμοὶ πολλαπλασιάσαντες ἀλλήλους ποιῶσί τινα, ὁ γενόμενος ἐπίπεδος καλεῖται, πλευραὶ δὲ αὐτοῦ οἱ πολλαπλασιάσαντες ἀλλήλους ἀριθμοί.

ιζ΄. Όταν δὲ τρεῖς ἀριθμοὶ πολλαπλασιάσαντες ἀλλήλους ποιῶσί τινα, ὁ γενόμενος στερεός ἐστιν, πλευραὶ δὲ αὐτοῦ οἱ πολλαπλασιάσαντες ἀλλήλους ἀριθμοί.

ιη'. Τετράγωνος ἀριθμός ἐστιν ὁ ἰσάχις ἴσος ἢ [ἑ] ὑπὸ δύο ἴσων ἀριθμῶν περιεχόμενος.

ιθ΄. Κύβος δὲ ὁ ἰσάχις ἴσος ἰσάχις ἢ [ὁ] ὑπὸ τριῶν ἴσων ἀριθμῶν περιεχόμενος.

x'. Ἀριθμοὶ ἀνάλογόν εἰσιν, ὅταν ὁ πρῶτος τοῦ δευτέρου καὶ ὁ τρίτος τοῦ τετάρτου ἰσάχις ἢ πολλαπλάσιος ἢ τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἢ τὰ αὐτὰ μέρη ῶσιν.

κα'. Όμοιοι ἐπίπεδοι καὶ στερεοὶ ἀριθμοί εἰσιν οἱ ανάλογον ἔχοντες τὰς πλευράς.

κβ΄. Τέλειος ἀριθμός ἐστιν ὁ τοῖς ἑαυτοῦ μέρεσιν ἴσος ὤν.

## Definitions

1. A unit is (that) according to which each existing (thing) is said (to be) one.

2. And a number (is) a multitude composed of units.<sup> $\dagger$ </sup>

3. A number is part of a(nother) number, the lesser of the greater, when it measures the greater.<sup>‡</sup>

4. But (the lesser is) parts (of the greater) when it does not measure it. $\S$ 

5. And the greater (number is) a multiple of the lesser when it is measured by the lesser.

6. An even number is one (which can be) divided in half.

7. And an odd number is one (which can)not (be) divided in half, or which differs from an even number by a unit.

8. An even-times-even number is one (which is) measured by an even number according to an even number.

9. And an even-times-odd number is one (which is) measured by an even number according to an odd number.\*

10. And an odd-times-odd number is one (which is) measured by an odd number according to an odd number.<sup>§</sup>

11. A prime<sup>||</sup> number is one (which is) measured by a unit alone.

12. Numbers prime to one another are those (which are) measured by a unit alone as a common measure.

13. A composite number is one (which is) measured by some number.

14. And numbers composite to one another are those (which are) measured by some number as a common measure.

15. A number is said to multiply a(nother) number when the (number being) multiplied is added (to itself) as many times as there are units in the former (number), and (thereby) some (other number) is produced.

16. And when two numbers multiplying one another make some (other number) then the (number so) created is called plane, and its sides (are) the numbers which multiply one another.

17. And when three numbers multiplying one another make some (other number) then the (number so) created is (called) solid, and its sides (are) the numbers which multiply one another.

18. A square number is an equal times an equal, or (a plane number) contained by two equal numbers.

19. And a cube (number) is an equal times an equal times an equal, or (a solid number) contained by three equal numbers.

20. Numbers are proportional when the first is the same multiple, or the same part, or the same parts, of the second that the third (is) of the fourth.

21. Similar plane and solid numbers are those having proportional sides.

22. A perfect number is that which is equal to its own parts.  $^{\dagger\dagger}$ 

 $^\dagger$  In other words, a "number" is a positive integer greater than unity.

<sup> $\ddagger$ </sup> In other words, a number *a* is part of another number *b* if there exists some number *n* such that n a = b.

 ${}^{\$}$  In other words, a number a is parts of another number b (where a < b) if there exist distinct numbers, m and n, such that n a = m b.

¶ In other words. an even-times-even number is the product of two even numbers.

\* In other words, an even-times-odd number is the product of an even and an odd number.

<sup>\$</sup> In other words, an odd-times-odd number is the product of two odd numbers.

<sup>∥</sup> Literally, "first".

<sup>††</sup> In other words, a perfect number is equal to the sum of its own factors.

α΄.

Δύο ἀριθμῶν ἀνίσων ἐχχειμένων, ἀνθυφαιρουμένου δὲ ἀεὶ τοῦ ἐλάσσονος ἀπὸ τοῦ μείζονος, ἐὰν ὁ λειπόμενος μηδέποτε χαταμετρῆ τὸν πρὸ ἑαυτοῦ, ἕως οῦ λειφθῆ μονάς, οἱ ἐξ ἀρχῆς ἀριθμοὶ πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλὴλους ἔσονται.



Δύο γὰρ [ἀνίσων] ἀριθμῶν τῶν AB, ΓΔ ἀνθυφαιρουμένου ἀεὶ τοῦ ἐλάσσονος ἀπὸ τοῦ μείζονος ὁ λειπόμενος μηδέποτε καταμετρείτω τὸν πρὸ ἑαυτοῦ, ἕως οῦ λειφθῆ μονάς· λέγω, ὅτι οἱ AB, ΓΔ πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσίν, τουτέστιν ὅτι τοὺς AB, ΓΔ μονὰς μόνη μετρεῖ.

Εἰ γὰρ μή εἰσιν οἱ AB, ΓΔ πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους, μετρήσει τις αὐτοὺς ἀριθμός. μετρείτω, καὶ ἔστω ὁ Ε΄ καὶ ὁ μὲν ΓΔ τὸν BZ μετρῶν λειπέτω ἑαυτοῦ ἐλάσσονα τὸν ZA, ὁ δὲ AZ τὸν ΔΗ μετρῶν λειπέτω ἑαυτοῦ ἐλάσσονα τὸν ΗΓ, ὁ δὲ ΗΓ τὸν ΖΘ μετρῶν λειπέτω μονάδα τὴν ΘΑ.

Έπεὶ οῦν ὁ Ε τὸν ΓΔ μετρεῖ, ὁ δὲ ΓΔ τὸν ΒΖ μετρεῖ, καὶ ὁ Ε ἄρα τὸν ΒΖ μετρεῖ· μετρεῖ δὲ καὶ ὅλον τὸν ΒΑ· καὶ λοιπὸν ἄρα τὸν ΑΖ μετρήσει. ὁ δὲ ΑΖ τὸν ΔΗ μετρεῖ· καὶ ὁ Ε ἄρα τὸν ΔΗ μετρεῖ· μετρεῖ δὲ καὶ ὅλον τὸν ΔΓ· καὶ λοιπὸν ἄρα τὸν ΓΗ μετρήσει. ὁ δὲ ΓΗ τὸν ΖΘ μετρεῖ·

## **Proposition 1**

Two unequal numbers (being) laid down, and the lesser being continually subtracted, in turn, from the greater, if the remainder never measures the (number) preceding it, until a unit remains, then the original numbers will be prime to one another.



For two [unequal] numbers, AB and CD, the lesser being continually subtracted, in turn, from the greater, let the remainder never measure the (number) preceding it, until a unit remains. I say that AB and CD are prime to one another—that is to say, that a unit alone measures (both) AB and CD.

For if AB and CD are not prime to one another then some number will measure them. Let (some number) measure them, and let it be E. And let CD measuring BF leave FA less than itself, and let AF measuring DGleave GC less than itself, and let GC measuring FH leave a unit, HA.

In fact, since E measures CD, and CD measures BF, E thus also measures BF.<sup>†</sup> And (E) also measures the whole of BA. Thus, (E) will also measure the remainder

καὶ ὁ Ἐ ἄρα τὸν ΖΘ μετρεῖ· μετρεῖ δὲ καὶ ὅλον τὸν ΖΑ· καὶ λοιπὴν ἄρα τὴν ΑΘ μονάδα μετρήσει ἀριθμὸς ὤν· ὅπερ ἐστὶν ἀδύνατον. οὐκ ἄρα τοὺς ΑΒ, ΓΔ ἀριθμοὺς μετρήσει τις ἀριθμός· οἱ ΑΒ, ΓΔ ἄρα πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσίν· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι. AF.<sup>‡</sup> And AF measures DG. Thus, E also measures DG. And (E) also measures the whole of DC. Thus, (E) will also measure the remainder CG. And CG measures FH. Thus, E also measures FH. And (E) also measures the whole of FA. Thus, (E) will also measure the remaining unit AH, (despite) being a number. The very thing is impossible. Thus, some number does not measure (both) the numbers AB and CD. Thus, AB and CD are prime to one another. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

<sup> $\dagger$ </sup> Here, use is made of the unstated common notion that if *a* measures *b*, and *b* measures *c*, then *a* also measures *c*, where all symbols denote numbers.

<sup> $\ddagger$ </sup> Here, use is made of the unstated common notion that if *a* measures *b*, and *a* measures part of *b*, then *a* also measures the remainder of *b*, where all symbols denote numbers.



Δύο ἀριθμῶν δοθέντων μὴ πρώτων πρὸς ἀλλήλους τὸ μέγιστον αὐτῶν χοινὸν μέτρον εὑρεῖν.



Έστωσαν οἱ δοθέντες δύο ἀριθμοὶ μὴ πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους οἱ AB, ΓΔ. δεῖ δὴ τῶν AB, ΓΔ τὸ μέγιστον χοινὸν μέτρον εὑρεῖν.

Εἰ μὲν οὖν ὁ ΓΔ τὸν ΑΒ μετρεῖ, μετρεῖ δὲ καὶ ἑαυτόν, ὁ ΓΔ ἄρα τῶν ΓΔ, ΑΒ κοινὸν μέτρον ἐστίν. καὶ φανερόν, ὅτι καὶ μέγιστον· οὐδεἰς γὰρ μείζων τοῦ ΓΔ τὸν ΓΔ μετρήσει.

Εἰ δὲ οὐ μετρεῖ ὁ ΓΔ τὸν AB, τῶν AB, ΓΔ ἀνθυφαιρουμένου ἀεὶ τοῦ ἐλάσσονος ἀπὸ τοῦ μείζονος λειφθήσεταί τις ἀριθμός, ὃς μετρήσει τὸν πρὸ ἑαυτοῦ. μονὰς μὲν γὰρ οὐ λειφθήσεται· εἰ δὲ μή, ἔσονται οἱ AB, ΓΔ πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους· ὅπερ οὐχ ὑπόκειται. λειφθήσεταί τις ἄρα ἀριθμὸς, ὃς μετρήσει τὸν πρὸ ἑαυτοῦ. καὶ ὁ μὲν ΓΔ τὸν BE μετρῶν λειπέτω ἑαυτοῦ ἐλάσσονα τὸν EA, ὁ δὲ EA τὸν ΔΖ μετρῶν λειπέτω ἑαυτοῦ ἐλάσσονα τὸν ZΓ, ὁ δὲ ΓΖ τὸν AE μετρείτω. ἐπεὶ οῦν ὁ ΓΖ τὸν AE μετρεῖ, ὁ δὲ AE τὸν ΔΖ μετρεῖ, καὶ ὁ ΓΖ ἄρα τὸν ΔΖ μετρήσει. μετρεῖ δὲ καὶ ἑαυτόν· καὶ ὅλον ἄρα τὸν ΓΔ μετρήσει. ὑ δὲ ΓΔ τὸν BE μετρεῖ· καὶ ὁ ΓΖ ἄρα τὸν BE μετρεῖ· μετρεῖ δὲ καὶ τὸν EA· καὶ ὅλον ἄρα τὸν BA μετρήσει· μετρεῖ δὲ καὶ τὸν ΓΔ· ὁ ΓΖ ἄρα τοὺς AB, ΓΔ μετρεῖ. ὁ ΓΖ ἄρα τῶν AB, ΓΔ κοινὸν

# Proposition 2

To find the greatest common measure of two given numbers (which are) not prime to one another.



Let AB and CD be the two given numbers (which are) not prime to one another. So it is required to find the greatest common measure of AB and CD.

In fact, if CD measures AB, CD is thus a common measure of CD and AB, (since CD) also measures itself. And (it is) manifest that (it is) also the greatest (common measure). For nothing greater than CD can measure CD.

But if CD does not measure AB then some number will remain from AB and CD, the lesser being continually subtracted, in turn, from the greater, which will measure the (number) preceding it. For a unit will not be left. But if not, AB and CD will be prime to one another [Prop. 7.1]. The very opposite thing was assumed. Thus, some number will remain which will measure the (number) preceding it. And let CD measuring BE leave EAless than itself, and let EA measuring DF leave FC less than itself, and let CF measure AE. Therefore, since CFmeasures AE, and AE measures DF, CF will thus also measure DF. And it also measures itself. Thus, it will μέτρον ἐστίν. λέγω δή, ὅτι καὶ μέγιστον. εἰ γὰρ μή ἐστιν ὁ ΓΖ τῶν ΑΒ, ΓΔ μέγιστον κοινὸν μέτρον, μετρήσει τις τοὺς ΑΒ, ΓΔ ἀριθμοὺς ἀριθμὸς μείζων ὢν τοῦ ΓΖ. μετρείτω, καὶ ἔστω ὁ Η. καὶ ἐπεὶ ὁ Η τὸν ΓΔ μετρεῖ, ὁ δὲ ΓΔ τὸν ΒΕ μετρεῖ, καὶ ὁ Η ἄρα τὸν ΒΕ μετρεῖ μετρεῖ δὲ καὶ ὅλον τὸν ΒΑ· καὶ λοιπὸν ἄρα τὸν ΑΕ μετρήσει. ἱ δὲ ΑΕ τὸν ΔΖ μετρεῖ· καὶ ὁ Η ἄρα τὸν ΔΖ μετρήσει. ὑ δὲ ΑΕ τὸν ΔΖ μετρεῖ· καὶ ὁ Η ἄρα τὸν ΔΖ μετρήσει μετρεῖ δὲ καὶ ὅλον τὸν ΔΓ· καὶ λοιπὸν ἄρα τὸν ΓΖ μετρήσει ὁ μείζων τὸν ἐλάσσονα· ὅπερ ἐστὶν ἀδύνατον· οὐκ ἄρα τοὺς ΑΒ, ΓΔ ἀριθμοὺς ἀριθμός τις μετρήσει μείζων ὢν τοῦ ΓΖ· ὁ ΓΖ ἄρα τῶν ΑΒ, ΓΔ μέγιστόν ἐστι κοινὸν μέτρον [ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι].

## Πόρισμα.

Έκ δὴ τούτου φανερόν, ὅτι ἐἀν ἀριθμὸς δύο ἀριθμοὺς μετρῆ, καὶ τὸ μέγιστον αὐτῶν κοινὸν μέτρον μετρήσει· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

## γ'.

Τριῶν ἀριθμῶν δοθέντων μὴ πρώτων πρὸς ἀλλήλους τὸ μέγιστον αὐτῶν χοινὸν μέτρον εὐρεῖν.



Έστωσαν οἱ δοθέντες τρεῖς ἀριθμοὶ μὴ πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους οἱ Α, Β, Γ· δεῖ δὴ τῶν Α, Β, Γ τὸ μέγιστον κοινὸν μέτρον εὑρεῖν.

Eἰλήφθω γὰρ δύο τῶν A, B τὸ μέγιστον κοινὸν μέτρον <br/>ὑ $\Delta\cdot$ ὁ δὴ  $\Delta$  τὸν Γ ἤτοι μετρεῖ ἢ οὐ μετρεῖ. μετρείτω πρότερον<br/>· μετρεῖ δέ καὶ τοὺς A, B· ὁ Δ ἄρα τοὺς A, B, Γ μετρεῖ· ὁ<br/>Δ ἄρα τῶν A, B, Γ κοινὸν μέτρον ἐστίν. λέγω δή, ὅτι καὶ

also measure the whole of CD. And CD measures BE. Thus, CF also measures BE. And it also measures EA. Thus, it will also measure the whole of BA. And it also measures CD. Thus, CF measures (both) AB and CD. Thus, CF is a common measure of AB and CD. So I say that (it is) also the greatest (common measure). For if CF is not the greatest common measure of AB and CDthen some number which is greater than CF will measure the numbers AB and CD. Let it (so) measure (ABand CD), and let it be G. And since G measures CD, and CD measures BE, G thus also measures BE. And it also measures the whole of BA. Thus, it will also measure the remainder AE. And AE measures DF. Thus, Gwill also measure DF. And it also measures the whole of DC. Thus, it will also measure the remainder CF, the greater (measuring) the lesser. The very thing is impossible. Thus, some number which is greater than CFcannot measure the numbers AB and CD. Thus, CF is the greatest common measure of AB and CD. [(Which is) the very thing it was required to show].

## Corollary

So it is manifest, from this, that if a number measures two numbers then it will also measure their greatest common measure. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

## **Proposition 3**

To find the greatest common measure of three given numbers (which are) not prime to one another.



Let A, B, and C be the three given numbers (which are) not prime to one another. So it is required to find the greatest common measure of A, B, and C.

For let the greatest common measure, D, of the two (numbers) A and B have been taken [Prop. 7.2]. So D either measures, or does not measure, C. First of all, let it measure (C). And it also measures A and B. Thus, D

μέγιστον. εἰ γὰρ μή ἐστιν ὁ Δ τῶν Α, Β, Γ μέγιστον Χοινὸν μέτρον, μετρήσει τις τοὺς Α, Β, Γ ἀριθμοὺς ἀριθμὸς μείζων ἂν τοῦ Δ. μετρείτω, καὶ ἔστω ὁ Ε. ἐπεὶ οῦν ὁ Ε τοὺς Α, Β, Γ μετρεῖ, καὶ τοὺς Α, Β ἄρα μετρήσει· καὶ τὸ τῶν Α, Β ἄρα μέγιστον Χοινὸν μέτρον μετρήσει. τὸ δὲ τῶν Α, Β μέγιστον Χοινὸν μέτρον ἐστὶν ὁ Δ· ὁ Ε ἄρα τὸν Δ μετρεῖ ὁ μείζων τὸν ἐλάσσονα· ὅπερ ἐστὶν ἀδύνατον. οὐx ἄρα τοὺς Α, Β, Γ ἀριθμοὺς ἀριθμός τις μετρήσει μείζων ἂν τοῦ Δ· ὁ Δ ἄρα τῶν Α, Β, Γ μέγιστόν ἐστι Χοινὸν μέτρον.

Μὴ μετρείτω δὴ <br/> ὁ Δ τὸν Γ· λέγω πρῶτον, ὅτι οἱ Γ, Δ ούχ είσι πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους. ἐπεὶ γὰρ οἱ Α, Β, Γ ούχ είσι πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους, μετρήσει τις αὐτοὺς ἀριθμός. ὁ δή τούς Α, Β, Γ μετρῶν καὶ τούς Α, Β μετρήσει, καὶ τὸ τῶν Α, Β μέγιστον κοινόν μέτρον τόν Δ μετρήσει μετρεῖ δὲ καὶ τὸν  $\Gamma$ · τοὺς  $\Delta$ ,  $\Gamma$  ἄρα ἀριθμοὺς ἀριθμός τις μετρήσει· οί  $\Delta$ ,  $\Gamma$  ἄρα οὕκ εἰσι πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους. εἰλήφθω οὕν αὐτῶν τὸ μέγιστον <br/> χοινὸν μέτρον ὁ Ε. καὶ ἐπεὶ ὁ Ε τὸν  $\Delta$ μετρεῖ, ὁ δὲ  $\Delta$  τοὺς A, B μετρεῖ, καὶ ὁ E ẳρα τοὺς A, B μετρεί μετρεί δε και τον Γ ό Ε άρα τους Α, Β, Γ μετρεί. ό Ε ἄρα τῶν Α, Β, Γ κοινόν ἐστι μέτρον. λέγω δή, ὅτι καὶ μέγιστον. εἰ γὰρ μή ἐστιν ὁ Ε τῶν Α, Β, Γ τὸ μέγιστον κοινόν μέτρον, μετρήσει τις τούς Α, Β, Γ ἀριθμούς ἀριθμός μείζων ών τοῦ Ε. μετρείτω, καὶ ἔστω ὁ Ζ. καὶ ἐπεὶ ὁ Ζ τοὺς A, B, Γ μετρεῖ, καὶ τοὺς A, B μετρεῖ· καὶ τὸ τῶν A, B ẳρα μέγιστον χοινόν μέτρον μετρήσει. τό δὲ τῶν Α, Β μέγιστον κοινὸν μέτρον ἐστὶν <br/>ἱ $\Delta\cdot$ ἱ Z ἄρα τὸν <br/>Δ μετρεῖ· μετρεῖ δὲ καὶ τὸν Γ· ὁ Ζ ẳρα τοὺς Δ, Γ μετρ<br/>εĩ· καὶ τὸ τῶν Δ, Γ ẳρα μέγιστον χοινόν μέτρον μετρήσει. τό δὲ τῶν Δ, Γ μέγιστον χοινόν μέτρον ἐστὶν ὁ Ε· ὁ Ζ ἄρα τὸν Ε μετρεῖ ὁ μείζων τὸν ἐλάσσονα· ὅπερ ἐστὶν ἀδύνατον. οὐκ ἄρα τοὺς Α, Β, Γ άριθμούς άριθμός τις μετρήσει μείζων ών τοῦ Ε· ὁ Ε ἄρα τῶν Α, Β, Γ μέγιστόν ἐστι χοινὸν μέτρον ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

δ'.

Άπας ἀριθμὸς παντὸς ἀριθμοῦ ὁ ἐλάσσων τοῦ μείζονος ῆτοι μέρος ἐστὶν ἢ μέρη.

Έστωσαν δύο ἀριθμοὶ οἱ Α, ΒΓ, καὶ ἔστω ἐλάσσων ὁ ΒΓ· λέγω, ὅτι ὁ ΒΓ τοῦ Α ἤτοι μέρος ἐστὶν ἢ μέρη. measures A, B, and C. Thus, D is a common measure of A, B, and C. So I say that (it is) also the greatest (common measure). For if D is not the greatest common measure of A, B, and C then some number greater than D will measure the numbers A, B, and C. Let it (so) measure (A, B, and C), and let it be E. Therefore, since E measures A, B, and C, it will thus also measure A and B. Thus, it will also measure the greatest common measure of A and B [Prop. 7.2 corr.]. And D is the greatest common measure of A and B. Thus, E measures D, the greater (measuring) the lesser. The very thing is impossible. Thus, some number which is greater than D cannot measure the numbers A, B, and C. Thus, D is the greatest common measure of A, B, and C.

So let D not measure C. I say, first of all, that Cand D are not prime to one another. For since A, B, Care not prime to one another, some number will measure them. So the (number) measuring A, B, and C will also measure A and B, and it will also measure the greatest common measure, D, of A and B [Prop. 7.2 corr.]. And it also measures C. Thus, some number will measure the numbers D and C. Thus, D and C are not prime to one another. Therefore, let their greatest common measure, E, have been taken [Prop. 7.2]. And since E measures D, and D measures A and B, E thus also measures Aand B. And it also measures C. Thus, E measures A, B, and C. Thus, E is a common measure of A, B, and C. So I say that (it is) also the greatest (common measure). For if E is not the greatest common measure of A, B, and C then some number greater than E will measure the numbers A, B, and C. Let it (so) measure (A, B, and C), and let it be F. And since F measures A, B, and C, it also measures A and B. Thus, it will also measure the greatest common measure of A and B [Prop. 7.2 corr.]. And D is the greatest common measure of A and B. Thus, Fmeasures D. And it also measures C. Thus, F measures D and C. Thus, it will also measure the greatest common measure of D and C [Prop. 7.2 corr.]. And E is the greatest common measure of D and C. Thus, F measures E, the greater (measuring) the lesser. The very thing is impossible. Thus, some number which is greater than Edoes not measure the numbers A, B, and C. Thus, E is the greatest common measure of A, B, and C. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

## **Proposition 4**

Any number is either part or parts of any (other) number, the lesser of the greater.

Let A and BC be two numbers, and let BC be the lesser. I say that BC is either part or parts of A.

Οἱ Α, ΒΓ γὰρ ἦτοι πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσὶν ἢ οὕ. ἔστωσαν πρότερον οἱ Α, ΒΓ πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους. διαιρεθέντος δὴ τοῦ ΒΓ εἰς τὰς ἐν αὐτῷ μονάδας ἔσται ἑxάστη μονὰς τῶν ἐν τῷ ΒΓ μέρος τι τοῦ Α· ὥστε μέρη ἐστὶν ὁ ΒΓ τοῦ Α.



Μὴ ἔστωσαν δὴ οἱ Α, ΒΓ πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους· ὁ δὴ ΒΓ τὸν Α ἤτοι μετρεῖ ἢ οὐ μετρεῖ. εἰ μὲν οῦν ὁ ΒΓ τὸν Α μετρεῖ, μέρος ἐστὶν ὁ ΒΓ τοῦ Α. εἰ δὲ οὕ, εἰλήφθω τῶν Α, ΒΓ μέγιστον χοινὸν μέτρον ὁ Δ, χαὶ διῃρήσθω ὁ ΒΓ εἰς τοὺς τῷ Δ ἴσους τοὺς ΒΕ, ΕΖ, ΖΓ. χαὶ ἐπεὶ ὁ Δ τὸν Α μετρεῖ, μέρος ἐστὶν ὁ Δ τοῦ Α· ἴσος δὲ ὁ Δ ἑχάστῷ τῶν ΒΕ, ΕΖ, ΖΓ· χαὶ ἔχαστος ἄρα τῶν ΒΕ, ΕΖ, ΖΓ τοῦ Α μέρος ἐστίν· ὥστε μέρη ἐστὶν ὁ ΒΓ τοῦ Α.

Άπας ἄρα ἀριθμὸς παντὸς ἀριθμοῦ ὁ ἐλάσσων τοῦ μείζονος ἤτοι μέρος ἐστὶν ἢ μέρη· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

ε΄.

Έὰν ἀριθμὸς ἀριθμοῦ μέρος ἢ, καὶ ἕτερος ἑτέρου τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἢ, καὶ συναμφότερος συναμφοτέρου τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἔσται, ὅπερ ὁ εῖς τοῦ ἑνός.



Άριθμός γὰρ ὁ Α [ἀριθμοῦ] τοῦ ΒΓ μέρος ἔστω, καὶ

For A and BC are either prime to one another, or not. Let A and BC, first of all, be prime to one another. So separating BC into its constituent units, each of the units in BC will be some part of A. Hence, BC is parts of A.



So let A and BC be not prime to one another. So BC either measures, or does not measure, A. Therefore, if BC measures A then BC is part of A. And if not, let the greatest common measure, D, of A and BC have been taken [Prop. 7.2], and let BC have been divided into BE, EF, and FC, equal to D. And since D measures A, D is a part of A. And D is equal to each of BE, EF, and FC. Thus, BE, EF, and FC are also each part of A. Hence, BC is parts of A.

Thus, any number is either part or parts of any (other) number, the lesser of the greater. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

#### Proposition 5<sup>†</sup>

If a number is part of a number, and another (number) is the same part of another, then the sum (of the leading numbers) will also be the same part of the sum (of the following numbers) that one (number) is of another.



For let a number A be part of a [number] BC, and

ἕτερος ὁ Δ ἑτέρου τοῦ ΕΖ τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος, ὅπερ ὁ Α τοῦ  $B\Gamma$ · λέγω, ὅτι καὶ συναμφότερος ὁ Α, Δ συναμφοτέρου τοῦ  $B\Gamma$ , ΕΖ τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἐστίν, ὅπερ ὁ Α τοῦ  $B\Gamma$ .

Έπει γάρ, δ μέρος ἐστιν ὁ Α τοῦ ΒΓ, τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἐστι καὶ ὁ Δ τοῦ ΕΖ, ὅσοι ἄρα εἰσιν ἐν τῷ ΒΓ ἀριθμοὶ ἴσοι τῷ Α, τοσοῦτοί εἰσι καὶ ἐν τῷ ΕΖ ἀριθμοὶ ἴσοι τῷ Δ. διῆρήσθω ὁ μὲν ΒΓ εἰς τοὺς τῷ Α ἴσους τοὺς BH, ΗΓ, ὁ δὲ ΕΖ εἰς τοὺς τῷ Δ ἴσους τοὺς ΕΘ, ΘΖ· ἔσται δὴ ἴσον τὸ πλῆθος τῶν BH, ΗΓ τῷ πλήθει τῶν ΕΘ, ΘΖ. καὶ ἐπεὶ ἴσος ἐστιν ὁ μὲν BH τῷ Α, ὁ δὲ ΕΘ τῷ Δ, καὶ οἱ BH, ΕΘ ἄρα τοῖς Α, Δ ἴσοι. διὰ τὰ αὐτὰ δὴ καὶ οἱ ΗΓ, ΘΖ τοῖς Α, Δ. ὅσοι ἄρα [εἰσιν] ἐν τῷ ΒΓ ἀριθμοὶ ἴσοι τῷ Α, τοσοῦτοί εἰσι καὶ ἐν τοῖς BΓ, ΕΖ ἴσοι τοῖς Α, Δ. ὁσαπλασίων ἄρα ἐστιν ὁ ΒΓ τοῦ Α, τοσαυταπλασίων ἐστὶ καὶ συναμφότερος ὁ ΒΓ, ΕΖ συναμφοτέρου τοῦ Α, Δ. ὃ ἄρα μέρος ἐστιν ὁ Α τοῦ ΒΓ, τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἐστὶ καὶ συναμφότερος ὁ Α, Δ συναμφοτέρου τοῦ ΒΓ, ΕΖ· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι. another (number) D (be) the same part of another (number) EF that A (is) of BC. I say that the sum A, D is also the same part of the sum BC, EF that A (is) of BC.

For since which(ever) part A is of BC, D is the same part of EF, thus as many numbers as are in BC equal to A, so many numbers are also in EF equal to D. Let BC have been divided into BG and GC, equal to A, and EF into EH and HF, equal to D. So the multitude of (divisions) BG, GC will be equal to the multitude of (divisions) EH, HF. And since BG is equal to A, and EHto D, thus BG, EH (is) also equal to A, D. So, for the same (reasons), GC, HF (is) also (equal) to A, D. Thus, as many numbers as [are] in BC equal to A, so many are also in BC, EF equal to A, D. Thus, as many times as BC is (divisible) by A, so many times is the sum BC, EFalso (divisible) by the sum A, D. Thus, which(ever) part A is of BC, the sum A, D is also the same part of the sum BC, EF. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

<sup>†</sup> In modern notation, this proposition states that if a = (1/n) b and c = (1/n) d then (a + c) = (1/n) (b + d), where all symbols denote numbers.

ኖ'.

Έὰν ἀριθμὸς ἀριθμοῦ μέρη ἢ, καὶ ἕτερος ἑτέρου τὰ αὐτὰ μέρη ἢ, καὶ συναμφότερος συναμφοτέρου τὰ αὐτὰ μέρη ἔσται, ὅπερ ὁ εῖς τοῦ ἑνός.



Άριθμὸς γὰρ ὁ AB ἀριθμοῦ τοῦ Γ μέρη ἔστω, καὶ ἕτερος ὁ ΔΕ ἑτέρου τοῦ Ζ τὰ αὐτὰ μέρη, ἄπερ ὁ AB τοῦ Γ· λέγω, ὅτι καὶ συναμφότερος ὁ AB, ΔΕ συναμφοτέρου τοῦ Γ, Ζ τὰ αὐτὰ μέρη ἐστίν, ἅπερ ὁ AB τοῦ Γ.

Έπεὶ γάρ, ἂ μέρη ἐστὶν ὁ AB τοῦ Γ, τὰ αὐτὰ μέρη καὶ <br/>ἱ  $\Delta E$  τοῦ Ζ, ὅσα ἄρα ἐστὶν ἐν τῷ AB μέρη τοῦ Γ, τοσαῦτά ἐστι καὶ ἐν τῷ  $\Delta E$  μέρη τοῦ Ζ. διηρήσθω ὁ μὲν AB εἰς τὰ<br/> τοῦ Γ μέρη τὰ AH, HB, ὁ δὲ  $\Delta E$  εἰς τὰ τοῦ Ζ μέρη τὰ<br/>  $\Delta \Theta$ , ΘΕ΄ ἔσται δὴ ἴσον τὸ πλῆθος τῶν AH, HB τῷ πλήθει<br/> τῶν  $\Delta \Theta$ , ΘΕ. καὶ ἐπεί, ὁ μέρος ἐστὶν ὁ AH τοῦ Γ, τὸ

#### Proposition 6<sup>†</sup>

If a number is parts of a number, and another (number) is the same parts of another, then the sum (of the leading numbers) will also be the same parts of the sum (of the following numbers) that one (number) is of another.



For let a number AB be parts of a number C, and another (number) DE (be) the same parts of another (number) F that AB (is) of C. I say that the sum AB, DE is also the same parts of the sum C, F that AB (is) of C.

For since which (ever) parts AB is of C, DE (is) also the same parts of F, thus as many parts of C as are in AB, so many parts of F are also in DE. Let AB have been divided into the parts of C, AG and GB, and DE into the parts of F, DH and HE. So the multitude of (divisions) AG, GB will be equal to the multitude of (divisions) DH, aûtô μέρος ἐστὶ xaì <br/>  $\delta$   $\Delta\Theta$  toũ Z, ở ắρα μέρος ἐστὶν ὁ AH<br/>toũ Γ, tò aủtô μέρος ἐστὶ xaì συναμφότερος ὁ AH, <br/>  $\Delta\Theta$ συναμφοτέρου toũ Γ, Z. διὰ tà aủtà δὴ xaì ở μέρος ἐστὶν ὁ<br/> HB toũ Γ, tò aủtô μέρος ἐστὶ xaì συναμφότερος ὁ HB, <br/> $\Theta E$ συναμφοτέρου toũ Γ, Z. <br/> à ắρα μέρη ἐστὶν ὁ AB toũ Γ, τὰ aủtà μέρη ἐστὶ xaì συναμφοτέρου toũ Γ, Z.<br/> ở ắρα μέρη ἐστὶν ὁ AB toũ Γ, τὰ aủtà μέρη ἐστὶ xaì συναμφοτέρου toũ Γ, Z.<br/> ở ắρα μέρη ἐστὶν ὁ AB toũ Γ, τὰ aủtà μέρη ἐστὶ xaì συναμφότερος ὁ AB, <br/>  $\Delta E$ συναμφοτέρου toũ Γ, Z.<br/> ở περ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

*HE*. And since which(ever) part AG is of C, DH is also the same part of F, thus which(ever) part AG is of C, the sum AG, DH is also the same part of the sum C, F[Prop. 7.5]. And so, for the same (reasons), which(ever) part GB is of C, the sum GB, HE is also the same part of the sum C, F. Thus, which(ever) parts AB is of C, the sum AB, DE is also the same parts of the sum C, F. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

<sup>†</sup> In modern notation, this proposition states that if a = (m/n) b and c = (m/n) d then (a + c) = (m/n) (b + d), where all symbols denote numbers.

ζ.

Έὰν ἀριθμὸς ἀριθμοῦ μέρος ἦ, ὅπερ ἀφαιρεθεὶς ἀφαιρεθέντος, καὶ ὁ λοιπὸς τοῦ λοιποῦ τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἔσται, ὅπερ ὁ ὅλος τοῦ ὅλου.



Άριθμὸς γὰρ ὁ AB ἀριθμοῦ τοῦ ΓΔ μέρος ἔστω, ὅπερ ἀφαιρεθεὶς ὁ AE ἀφαιρεθέντος τοῦ ΓΖ· λέγω, ὅτι καὶ λοιπὸς ὁ EB λοιποῦ τοῦ ΖΔ τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἐστίν, ὅπερ ὅλος ὁ AB ὅλου τοῦ ΓΔ.

<sup>°</sup>O γὰρ μέρος ἐστὶν ὁ AE τοῦ ΓΖ, τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἔστω καὶ ὁ EB τοῦ ΓΗ. καὶ ἐπεί, ὃ μέρος ἐστὶν ὁ AE τοῦ ΓΖ, τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἐστὶ καὶ ὁ EB τοῦ ΓΗ, ὃ ἄρα μέρος ἐστὶν ὁ AE τοῦ ΓΖ, τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἐστὶ καὶ ὁ AB τοῦ ΗΖ. ὃ δὲ μέρος ἐστὶν ὁ AE τοῦ ΓΖ, τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ὑπόκειται καὶ ὁ AB τοῦ ΓΔ· ὃ ἄρα μέρος ἐστὶ καὶ ὁ AB τοῦ ΗΖ, τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἐστὶ καὶ τοῦ ΓΔ· ἴσος ἄρα ἐστὶν ὁ HZ τῷ ΓΔ. κοινὸς ἀφηρήσθω ὁ ΓΖ· λοιπὸς ἄρα ὁ ΗΓ λοιπῷ τῷ ΖΔ ἐστιν ἴσος. καὶ ἐπεί, ὃ μέρος ἐστὶν ὁ AE τοῦ ΓΖ, τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος [ἐστὶ] καὶ ὁ EB τοῦ ΗΓ, ἴσος δὲ ὁ ΗΓ τῷ ΖΔ, ὃ ἄρα μέρος ἐστὶν ὁ AE τοῦ ΓΖ, τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἐστὶ καὶ ὁ EB τοῦ ΖΔ. ἀλλὰ ὃ μέρος ἐστὶν ὁ AE τοῦ ΓΖ, τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἐστὶν ὡ AB τοῦ ΓΔ· καὶ λοιπὸς ἄρα ὁ EB λοιποῦ τοῦ ΖΔ τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἐστίν, ὅπερ ὅλος ὁ AB ὅλου τοῦ ΓΔ· ὅπερ ἕδει δεῖξαι.

## Proposition 7<sup>†</sup>

If a number is that part of a number that a (part) taken away (is) of a (part) taken away then the remainder will also be the same part of the remainder that the whole (is) of the whole.



For let a number AB be that part of a number CD that a (part) taken away AE (is) of a part taken away CF. I say that the remainder EB is also the same part of the remainder FD that the whole AB (is) of the whole CD.

For which (ever) part AE is of CF, let EB also be the same part of CG. And since which(ever) part AE is of CF, EB is also the same part of CG, thus which(ever) part AE is of CF, AB is also the same part of GF[Prop. 7.5]. And which(ever) part AE is of CF, AB is also assumed (to be) the same part of CD. Thus, also, which (ever) part AB is of GF, (AB) is also the same part of CD. Thus, GF is equal to CD. Let CF have been subtracted from both. Thus, the remainder GC is equal to the remainder FD. And since which(ever) part AE is of CF, EB [is] also the same part of GC, and GC (is) equal to FD, thus which(ever) part AE is of CF, EB is also the same part of FD. But, which(ever) part AE is of CF, AB is also the same part of CD. Thus, the remainder EB is also the same part of the remainder FD that the whole AB (is) of the whole CD. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

<sup>†</sup> In modern notation, this proposition states that if a = (1/n) b and c = (1/n) d then (a - c) = (1/n) (b - d), where all symbols denote numbers.

η΄.

Έὰν ἀριθμὸς ἀριθμοῦ μέρη ἢ, ἄπερ ἀφαιρεθεὶς ἀφαιρεθέντος, καὶ ὁ λοιπὸς τοῦ λοιποῦ τὰ αὐτὰ μέρη ἔσται, ἄπερ ὁ ὅλος τοῦ ὅλου.

## Proposition 8<sup>†</sup>

If a number is those parts of a number that a (part) taken away (is) of a (part) taken away then the remainder will also be the same parts of the remainder that the



Άριθμὸς γὰρ ὁ AB ἀριθμοῦ τοῦ ΓΔ μέρη ἔστω, ἄπερ ἀφαιρεθεὶς ὁ AE ἀφαιρεθέντος τοῦ ΓΖ· λέγω, ὅτι καὶ λοιπὸς ὁ EB λοιποῦ τοῦ ΖΔ τὰ αὐτὰ μέρη ἐστίν, ἅπερ ὅλος ὁ AB ὅλου τοῦ ΓΔ.

Κείσθω γὰρ τῷ ΑΒ ἴσος ὁ ΗΘ, ἁ ἄρα μέρη ἐστὶν ὁ ΗΘ τοῦ ΓΔ, τὰ αὐτὰ μέρη ἐστὶ καὶ ὁ ΑΕ τοῦ ΓΖ. διηρήσθω ὁ μèν HΘ εἰς τὰ τοῦ ΓΔ μέρη τὰ HK, KΘ, <br/>ὁ δὲ AE εἰς τὰ τοῦ  $\Gamma Z$  μέρη τὰ AA, AE· ἔσται δὴ ἴσον τὸ πλῆθος τῶν HK, KΘ τῷ πλήθει τῶν ΑΛ, ΛΕ. καὶ ἐπεί, ὃ μέρος ἐστὶν ὁ ΗΚ τοῦ  $\Gamma\Delta$ , τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἐστὶ χαὶ ὁ ΑΛ τοῦ  $\Gamma$ Ζ, μείζων δὲ ὁ  $\Gamma\Delta$ τοῦ ΓΖ, μείζων ἄρα καὶ ὁ ΗΚ τοῦ ΑΛ. κείσθω τῷ ΑΛ ἴσος ό ΗΜ. ὃ ἄρα μέρος ἐστὶν ὁ ΗΚ τοῦ ΓΔ, τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἐστὶ καὶ ὁ HM τοῦ ΓΖ· καὶ λοιπὸς ẳρα ὁ MK λοιποῦ τοῦ ΖΔ τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἐστίν, ὅπερ ὅλος ὁ ΗΚ ὅλου τοῦ ΓΔ. πάλιν ἐπεί, ὃ μέρος ἐστὶν ὁ ΚΘ τοῦ Γ $\Delta$ , τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἐστὶ καὶ ὁ ΕΛ τοῦ ΓΖ, μείζων δὲ ὁ ΓΔ τοῦ ΓΖ, μείζων ἄρα καὶ ὁ ΘΚ τοῦ ΕΛ. κείσθω τῷ ΕΛ ἴσος ὁ ΚΝ. ὃ ἄρα μέρος ἐστὶν ὁ ΚΘ τοῦ ΓΔ, τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἐστὶ καὶ ἑ KN τοῦ ΓΖ· καὶ λοιπὸς ἄρα ὁ ΝΘ λοιποῦ τοῦ Ζ $\Delta$  τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἐστίν, ὅπερ ὅλος ὁ ΚΘ ὅλου τοῦ ΓΔ. ἐδείχϑη δὲ καὶ λοιπὸς ὁ ΜΚ λοιποῦ τοῦ  $Z\Delta$  τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ὤν, ὅπερ ὅλος ὁ HK ὅλου τοῦ ΓΔ· καὶ συναμφότερος <br/> άρα ὁ MK, NΘ τοῦ  $\Delta Z$ τὰ αὐτὰ μέρη ἐστίν, **ἄπερ ὅλος ὁ ΘΗ ὅλου τοῦ ΓΔ. ἴσος δὲ συναμφότερος μὲν** ό ΜΚ, ΝΘ τῷ ΕΒ, ὁ δὲ ΘΗ τῷ ΒΑ· καὶ λοιπὸς ἄρα ὁ ΕΒ λοιποῦ τοῦ Z $\Delta$  τὰ αὐτὰ μέρη ἐστίν, ἄπερ ὅλος ὁ AB ὅλου τοῦ ΓΔ· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.



For let a number AB be those parts of a number CD that a (part) taken away AE (is) of a (part) taken away CF. I say that the remainder EB is also the same parts of the remainder FD that the whole AB (is) of the whole CD.

For let GH be laid down equal to AB. Thus, which(ever) parts GH is of CD, AE is also the same parts of CF. Let GH have been divided into the parts of CD, GK and KH, and AE into the part of CF, AL and LE. So the multitude of (divisions) GK, KH will be equal to the multitude of (divisions) AL, LE. And since which (ever) part GK is of CD, AL is also the same part of CF, and CD (is) greater than CF, GK (is) thus also greater than AL. Let GM be made equal to AL. Thus, which (ever) part GK is of CD, GM is also the same part of CF. Thus, the remainder MK is also the same part of the remainder FD that the whole GK (is) of the whole CD [Prop. 7.5]. Again, since which(ever) part KH is of CD, EL is also the same part of CF, and CD (is) greater than CF, HK (is) thus also greater than EL. Let KN be made equal to EL. Thus, which(ever) part KH (is) of CD, KN is also the same part of CF. Thus, the remainder NH is also the same part of the remainder FD that the whole KH (is) of the whole CD [Prop. 7.5]. And the remainder MK was also shown to be the same part of the remainder FD that the whole GK (is) of the whole CD. Thus, the sum MK, NH is the same parts of DFthat the whole HG (is) of the whole CD. And the sum MK, NH (is) equal to EB, and HG to BA. Thus, the remainder EB is also the same parts of the remainder FD that the whole AB (is) of the whole CD. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

<sup>†</sup> In modern notation, this proposition states that if a = (m/n) b and c = (m/n) d then (a - c) = (m/n) (b - d), where all symbols denote numbers.

θ'.

Έὰν ἀριθμὸς ἀριθμοῦ μέρος ἦ, καὶ ἔτερος ἑτέρου τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἦ, καὶ ἐναλλάξ, ὃ μέρος ἐστὶν ἢ μέρη ὁ πρῶτος τοῦ τρίτου, τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἔσται ἢ τὰ αὐτὰ μέρη καὶ ὁ δεύτερος τοῦ τετάρτου.

## Proposition 9<sup>†</sup>

If a number is part of a number, and another (number) is the same part of another, also, alternately, which(ever) part, or parts, the first (number) is of the third, the second (number) will also be the same part, or



Άριθμὸς γὰρ ὁ Α ἀριθμοῦ τοῦ ΒΓ μέρος ἔστω, καὶ ἕτερος ὁ Δ ἑτέρου τοῦ ΕΖ τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος, ὅπερ ὁ Α τοῦ ΒΓ· λέγω, ὅτι καὶ ἐναλλάξ, ὅ μέρος ἐστιν ὁ Α τοῦ Δ ἢ μέρη, τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἐστὶ καὶ ὁ ΒΓ τοῦ ΕΖ ἢ μέρη.

Έπει γὰρ δ μέρος ἐστὶν ὁ A τοῦ BΓ, τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἐστὶ καὶ ὁ Δ τοῦ EZ, ὅσοι ἄρα εἰσὶν ἐν τῷ BΓ ἀριθμοὶ ἴσοι τῷ A, τοσοῦτοί εἰσι καὶ ἐν τῷ EZ ἴσοι τῷ Δ. διηρήσθω ὁ μὲν BΓ εἰς τοὺς τῷ A ἴσους τοὺς BH, HΓ, ὁ δὲ EZ εἰς τοὺς τῷ Δ ἴσους τοὺς EΘ, ΘΖ. ἔσται δὴ ἴσον τὸ πλῆθος τῶν BH, HΓ τῷ πλήθει τῶν EΘ, ΘΖ.

Καὶ ἑπεὶ ἴσοι εἰσὶν οἱ BH, ΗΓ ἀριθμοὶ ἀλλήλοις, εἰσὶ δὲ καὶ οἱ EΘ, ΘΖ ἀριθμοὶ ἴσοι ἀλλήλοις, καί ἐστιν ἴσον τὸ πλῆθος τῶν BH, ΗΓ τῷ πλήθει τῶν EΘ, ΘΖ, ὃ ἄρα μέρος ἐστὶν ὁ BH τοῦ EΘ ἢ μέρη, τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἐστὶ καὶ ὁ ΗΓ τοῦ ΘΖ ἢ τὰ αὐτὰ μέρη· ὥστε καὶ ὃ μέρος ἐστὶν ὁ BH τοῦ EΘ ἢ μέρη, τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἐστὶ καὶ συναμφότερος ὁ BΓ συναμφοτέρου τοῦ EZ ἢ τὰ αὐτὰ μέρη. ἴσος δὲ ὁ μὲν BH τῷ A, ὁ δὲ EΘ τῷ Δ· ὃ ἄρα μέρος ἐστὶν ὁ A τοῦ Δ ἢ μέρη, τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἐστὶ καὶ ὁ BΓ τοῦ EZ ἢ τὰ αὐτὰ μέρη· ὅπερ ἕδει δεῖξαι.

the same parts, of the fourth.



For let a number A be part of a number BC, and another (number) D (be) the same part of another EF that A (is) of BC. I say that, also, alternately, which(ever) part, or parts, A is of D, BC is also the same part, or parts, of EF.

For since which(ever) part A is of BC, D is also the same part of EF, thus as many numbers as are in BC equal to A, so many are also in EF equal to D. Let BC have been divided into BG and GC, equal to A, and EF into EH and HF, equal to D. So the multitude of (divisions) BG, GC will be equal to the multitude of (divisions) EH, HF.

And since the numbers BG and GC are equal to one another, and the numbers EH and HF are also equal to one another, and the multitude of (divisions) BG, GCis equal to the multitude of (divisions) EH, HC, thus which(ever) part, or parts, BG is of EH, GC is also the same part, or the same parts, of HF. And hence, which(ever) part, or parts, BG is of EH, the sum BCis also the same part, or the same parts, of the sum EF[Props. 7.5, 7.6]. And BG (is) equal to A, and EH to D. Thus, which(ever) part, or parts, A is of D, BC is also the same part, or the same parts, of EF. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

<sup>†</sup> In modern notation, this proposition states that if a = (1/n) b and c = (1/n) d then if a = (k/l) c then b = (k/l) d, where all symbols denote numbers.

#### ι΄.

Έὰν ἀριθμὸς ἀριθμοῦ μέρη ἢ, καὶ ἕτερος ἑτέρου τὰ αὐτὰ μέρη ἢ, καὶ ἐναλλάξ, ἂ μέρη ἐστὶν ὁ πρῶτος τοῦ τρίτου ἢ μέρος, τὰ αὐτὰ μέρη ἔσται καὶ ὁ δεύτερος τοῦ τετάρτου ἢ τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος.

Ἀριθμὸς γὰρ ὁ AB ἀριθμοῦ τοῦ Γ μέρη ἔστω, καὶ ἕτερος ὁ ΔΕ ἑτέρου τοῦ Ζ τὰ αὐτὰ μέρη· λέγω, ὅτι καὶ ἐναλλάξ, ἂ μέρη ἐστὶν ὁ AB τοῦ ΔΕ ἢ μέρος, τὰ αὐτὰ μέρη ἐστὶ καὶ ὁ Γ τοῦ Ζ ἢ τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος.

#### Proposition 10<sup>†</sup>

If a number is parts of a number, and another (number) is the same parts of another, also, alternately, which(ever) parts, or part, the first (number) is of the third, the second will also be the same parts, or the same part, of the fourth.

For let a number AB be parts of a number C, and another (number) DE (be) the same parts of another F. I say that, also, alternately, which(ever) parts, or part,



Έπει γάρ, & μέρη ἐστιν ὁ ΑΒ τοῦ Γ, τὰ αὐτὰ μέρη ἐστι καὶ ὁ ΔΕ τοῦ Ζ, ὅσα ἄρα ἐστὶν ἐν τῷ AB μέρη τοῦ Γ, τοσαῦτα καὶ ἐν τῷ ΔΕ μέρη τοῦ Ζ. διῃρήσθω ὁ μὲν ΑΒ εἰς τὰ τοῦ  $\Gamma$  μέρη τὰ AH, HB, ὁ δὲ  $\Delta E$  εἰς τὰ τοῦ Z μέρη τὰ  $\Delta\Theta$ ,  $\Theta E$ · ἕσται δὴ ἴσον τὸ πλῆθος τῶν AH, HB τῷ πλήθει τῶν  $\Delta\Theta$ ,  $\Theta E$ . καὶ ἐπεί, ὃ μέρος ἐστὶν ὁ AH τοῦ Γ, τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἐστὶ καὶ ὁ ΔΘ τοῦ Ζ, καὶ ἐναλλάξ, ὃ μέρος ἐστὶν ὁ AH τοῦ  $\Delta\Theta$  η μέρη, τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἐστὶ καὶ <br/>ἑ Γ τοῦ Z η τὰ αὐτὰ μέρη. διὰ τὰ αὐτὰ δὴ καί, ὃ μέρος ἐστὶν ὁ ΗΒ τοῦ ΘΕ ἢ μέρη, τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἐστὶ χαὶ ὁ Γ τοῦ Ζ ἢ τὰ αὐτὰ μέρη· ὥστε καί [ὃ μέρος ἐστὶν ὁ ΑΗ τοῦ ΔΘ ἢ μέρη, τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἐστὶ καὶ ὁ ΗΒ τοῦ ΘΕ ἢ τὰ αὐτὰ μέρη. καὶ ὃ ἄρα μέρος ἐστὶν ὁ AH τοῦ  $\Delta\Theta$  ἢ μέρη, τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἐστὶ καὶ ὁ AB τοῦ  $\Delta E$  ἢ τὰ αὐτὰ μέρη· ἀλλ' ὃ μέρος ἐστὶν ὁ AH τοῦ  $\Delta\Theta$  η μέρη, τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἐδείχθη καὶ ὁ  $\Gamma$  τοῦ Z η τὰ αὐτὰ μέρη, καὶ] ἂ [ἄρα] μέρη ἐστὶν ὁ ΑΒ τοῦ ΔΕ ἢ μέρος, τὰ αὐτὰ μέρη ἐστὶ καὶ ὁ Γ τοῦ Ζ ἢ τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος. ὅπερ ἔδει δεĩξαι.

AB is of DE, C is also the same parts, or the same part, of F.



For since which(ever) parts AB is of C, DE is also the same parts of F, thus as many parts of C as are in AB, so many parts of F (are) also in DE. Let AB have been divided into the parts of C, AG and GB, and DEinto the parts of F, DH and HE. So the multitude of (divisions) AG, GB will be equal to the multitude of (divisions) DH, HE. And since which(ever) part AG is of C, DH is also the same part of F, also, alternately, which (ever) part, or parts, AG is of DH, C is also the same part, or the same parts, of F [Prop. 7.9]. And so, for the same (reasons), which(ever) part, or parts, GB is of HE, C is also the same part, or the same parts, of F [Prop. 7.9]. And so [which(ever) part, or parts, AG is of DH, GB is also the same part, or the same parts, of HE. And thus, which (ever) part, or parts, AG is of DH, AB is also the same part, or the same parts, of *DE* [Props. 7.5, 7.6]. But, which(ever) part, or parts, AG is of DH, Cwas also shown (to be) the same part, or the same parts, of F. And, thus] which(ever) parts, or part, AB is of DE, C is also the same parts, or the same part, of F. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

<sup>†</sup> In modern notation, this proposition states that if a = (m/n) b and c = (m/n) d then if a = (k/l) c then b = (k/l) d, where all symbols denote numbers.

ια΄.

Έαν ἢ ὡς ὅλος πρὸς ὅλον, οὕτως ἀφαιρεθεὶς πρὸς ἀφαιρεθέντα, καὶ ὁ λοιπὸς πρὸς τὸν λοιπὸν ἔσται, ὡς ὅλος πρὸς ὅλον.

Έστω ὡς ὅλος ὁ ΑΒ πρὸς ὅλον τὸν ΓΔ, οὕτως ἀφαιρεθεὶς ὁ ΑΕ πρὸς ἀφαιρεθέντα τὸν ΓΖ· λέγω, ὅτι καὶ λοιπὸς ὁ ΕΒ πρὸς λοιπὸν τὸν ΖΔ ἐστιν, ὡς ὅλος ὁ ΑΒ πρὸς ὅλον τὸν ΓΔ.

## **Proposition 11**

If as the whole (of a number) is to the whole (of another), so a (part) taken away (is) to a (part) taken away, then the remainder will also be to the remainder as the whole (is) to the whole.

Let the whole AB be to the whole CD as the (part) taken away AE (is) to the (part) taken away CF. I say that the remainder EB is to the remainder FD as the whole AB (is) to the whole CD.



Έπεί ἐστιν ὡς ὁ AB πρὸς τὸν ΓΔ, οὕτως ὁ AE πρὸς τὸν ΓΖ, ὃ ἄρα μέρος ἐστὶν ὁ AB τοῦ ΓΔ ἢ μέρη, τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἐστὶ καὶ ὁ AE τοῦ ΓΖ ἢ τὰ αὐτὰ μέρη. καὶ λοιπὸς ӑρα ὁ EB λοιποῦ τοῦ ΖΔ τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἐστὶν ἢ μέρη, ἄπερ ἱ AB τοῦ ΓΔ. ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ὁ EB πρὸς τὸν ΖΔ, οὕτως ἱ AB πρὸς τὸν ΓΔ· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.



(For) since as AB is to CD, so AE (is) to CF, thus which(ever) part, or parts, AB is of CD, AE is also the same part, or the same parts, of CF [Def. 7.20]. Thus, the remainder EB is also the same part, or parts, of the remainder FD that AB (is) of CD [Props. 7.7, 7.8]. Thus, as EB is to FD, so AB (is) to CD [Def. 7.20]. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

<sup>†</sup> In modern notation, this proposition states that if a:b::c:d then a:b::a-c:b-d, where all symbols denote numbers.

ıβ'.

Έὰν ῶσιν ὑποσοιοῦν ἀριθμοὶ ἀνάλογον, ἔσται ὡς εἶς τῶν ἡγουμένων πρὸς ἕνα τῶν ἑπομένων, οὕτως ἄπαντες οἱ ἡγούμενοι πρὸς ἄπαντας τοὺς ἑπομένους.



Έστωσαν ὑποσοιοῦν ἀριθμοὶ ἀνάλογον οἱ A, B, Γ, Δ, <br/>ὡς ὁ A πρὸς τὸν B, οὕτως ὁ Γ πρὸς τὸν Δ· λέγω, ὅτι ἐστὶν<br/>ὡς ὁ A πρὸς τὸν B, οὕτως οἱ A, Γ πρὸς τοὺς B, Δ.

Έπεὶ γάρ ἐστιν ὡς ὁ A πρὸς τὸν B, οὕτως ὁ Γ πρὸς τὸν Δ, ὃ ἄρα μέρος ἐστιν ὁ A τοῦ B ἢ μέρη, τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἐστὶ καὶ ὁ Γ τοῦ Δ ἢ μέρη. καὶ συναμφότερος ἄρα ὁ A, Γ συναμφοτέρου τοῦ B, Δ τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἐστὶν ἢ τὰ αὐτὰ μέρη, ἄπερ ὁ A τοῦ B. ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ὁ A πρὸς τὸν B, οὕτως οἱ A, Γ πρὸς τοὺς B, Δ· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

### Proposition $12^{\dagger}$

If any multitude whatsoever of numbers are proportional then as one of the leading (numbers is) to one of the following so (the sum of) all of the leading (numbers) will be to (the sum of) all of the following.



Let any multitude whatsoever of numbers, A, B, C, D, be proportional, (such that) as A (is) to B, so C (is) to D. I say that as A is to B, so A, C (is) to B, D.

For since as A is to B, so C (is) to D, thus which(ever) part, or parts, A is of B, C is also the same part, or parts, of D [Def. 7.20]. Thus, the sum A, C is also the same part, or the same parts, of the sum B, D that A (is) of B [Props. 7.5, 7.6]. Thus, as A is to B, so A, C (is) to B, D [Def. 7.20]. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

<sup>†</sup> In modern notation, this proposition states that if a:b::c:d then a:b::a+c:b+d, where all symbols denote numbers.

ιγ'.

Έὰν τέσσαρες ἀριθμοὶ ἀνάλογον ῶσιν, καὶ ἐναλλὰξ ἀνάλογον ἔσονται.



Έστωσαν τέσσαρες ἀριθμοὶ ἀνάλογον οἱ A, B,  $\Gamma$ , Δ, ὡς ὁ A πρὸς τὸν B, οὕτως ὁ  $\Gamma$ πρὸς τὸν Δ· λέγω, ὅτι καὶ ἐναλλὰξ ἀνάλογον ἔσονται, ὡς ὁ A πρὸς τὸν  $\Gamma$ , οὕτως ὁ B πρὸς τὸν Δ.

Έπεὶ γάρ ἐστιν ὡς ὁ A πρὸς τὸν B, οὕτως ὁ Γ πρὸς τὸν Δ, ὅ ἄρα μέρος ἐστὶν ὁ A τοῦ B ἢ μέρη, τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἐστὶ καὶ ὁ Γ τοῦ Δ ἢ τὰ αὐτὰ μέρη. ἐναλλὰξ ἄρα, ὅ μέρος ἐστὶν ὁ A τοῦ Γ ἢ μέρη, τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἐστὶ καὶ ὁ B τοῦ Δ ἢ τὰ αὐτὰ μέρη. ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ὁ A πρὸς τὸν Γ, οὕτως ὁ B πρὸς τὸν Δ· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

#### Proposition 13<sup>†</sup>

If four numbers are proportional then they will also be proportional alternately.



Let the four numbers A, B, C, and D be proportional, (such that) as A (is) to B, so C (is) to D. I say that they will also be proportional alternately, (such that) as A (is) to C, so B (is) to D.

For since as A is to B, so C (is) to D, thus which(ever) part, or parts, A is of B, C is also the same part, or the same parts, of D [Def. 7.20]. Thus, alterately, which(ever) part, or parts, A is of C, B is also the same part, or the same parts, of D [Props. 7.9, 7.10]. Thus, as A is to C, so B (is) to D [Def. 7.20]. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

<sup>†</sup> In modern notation, this proposition states that if a : b :: c : d then a : c :: b : d, where all symbols denote numbers.

ιδ'.

Έὰν ῶσιν ὁποσοιοῦν ἀριθμοὶ καὶ ἄλλοι αὐτοῖς ἴσοι τὸ πλῆθος σύνδυο λαμβανόμενοι καὶ ἐν τῷ αὐτῷ λόγῳ, καὶ δι' ἴσου ἐν τῷ αὐτῷ λόγῷ ἔσονται.



Έστωσαν ὑποσοιοῦν ἀριθμοὶ οἱ A, B, Γ καὶ ἄλλοι αὐτοῖς ἴσοι τὸ πλῆθος σύνδυο λαμβανόμενοι ἐν τῷ αὐτῷ λόγῳ οἱ Δ, E, Z, ὡς μὲν ὁ A πρὸς τὸν B, οὕτως ὁ Δ πρὸς τὸν E, ὡς δὲ ὁ B πρὸς τὸν Γ, οὕτως ὁ Ε πρὸς τὸν Ζ· λέγω, ὅτι καὶ δι° ἴσου ἐστὶν ὡς ὁ A πρὸς τὸν Γ, οὕτως ὁ Δ πρὸς τὸν Ζ.

Ἐπεὶ γάρ ἐστιν ὡς ὁ Α πρὸς τὸν Β, οὕτως ὁ Δ πρὸς τὸν Ε, ἐναλλὰξ ἄρα ἐστὶν ὡς ὁ Α πρὸς τὸν Δ, οὕτως ὁ Β πρὸς τὸν Ε. πάλιν, ἐπεί ἐστιν ὡς ὁ Β πρὸς τὸν Γ, οὕτως ὁ

## Proposition 14<sup>†</sup>

If there are any multitude of numbers whatsoever, and (some) other (numbers) of equal multitude to them, (which are) also in the same ratio taken two by two, then they will also be in the same ratio via equality.



Let there be any multitude of numbers whatsoever, A, B, C, and (some) other (numbers), D, E, F, of equal multitude to them, (which are) in the same ratio taken two by two, (such that) as A (is) to B, so D (is) to E, and as B (is) to C, so E (is) to F. I say that also, via equality, as A is to C, so D (is) to F.

For since as A is to B, so D (is) to E, thus, alternately, as A is to D, so B (is) to E [Prop. 7.13]. Again, since as B is to C, so E (is) to F, thus, alternately, as B is

Ε πρός τόν Z, ἐναλλὰξ ἄρα ἐστὶν ὡς ὁ Β πρός τὸν Ε, οὕτως to E, so C (is) to F [Prop. 7.13]. And as B (is) to E, ό Γ πρὸς τὸν Ζ. ὡς δὲ ὁ Β πρὸς τὸν Ε, οὕτως ὁ Α πρὸς τὸν  $\Delta$ · καὶ ὡς ἄρα ὁ Α πρὸς τὸν  $\Delta$ , οὕτως ὁ Γ πρὸς τὸν Ζ· ἐναλλὰξ ἄρα ἐστὶν ὡς ὁ Α πρὸς τὸν Γ, οὕτως ὁ Δ πρὸς τὸν Ζ. ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

so A (is) to D. Thus, also, as A (is) to D, so C (is) to F. Thus, alternately, as A is to C, so D (is) to F [Prop. 7.13]. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

<sup>†</sup> In modern notation, this proposition states that if a:b::d:e and b:c::e:f then a:c::d:f, where all symbols denote numbers.

#### ιε΄.

Ἐὰν μονὰς ἀριθμόν τινα μετρῆ, ἰσακις δὲ ἕτερος ἀριθμὸς άλλον τινὰ ἀριθμὸν μετρῆ, καὶ ἐναλλὰξ ἰσάκις ἡ μονὰς τὸν τρίτον ἀριθμόν μετρήσει καὶ ὁ δεύτερος τὸν τέταρτον.



Μονὰς γὰρ ἡ Α ἀριθμόν τινα τὸν ΒΓ μετρείτω, ἰσάχις δὲ ἕτερος ἀριθμὸς ὁ Δ ἄλλον τινὰ ἀριθμὸν τὸν ΕΖ μετρείτω· λέγω, ὅτι καὶ ἐναλλὰξ ἰσάκις ἡ Α μονὰς τὸν Δ ἀριθμὸν μετρεῖ καὶ ὁ ΒΓ τὸν ΕΖ.

Ἐπεὶ γὰρ ἰσάχις ἡ Α μονὰς τὸν ΒΓ ἀριθμὸν μετρεῖ χαὶ ὁ  $\Delta$  τὸν EZ, ὅσαι ἄρα εἰσὶν ἐν τῷ BΓ μονάδες, τοσοῦτοί εἰσι καὶ ἐν τῷ ΕΖ ἀριθμοὶ ἴσοι τῷ Δ. διῃρήσθω ὁ μὲν ΒΓ εἰς τὰς έν ἑαυτῷ μονάδας τὰς BH, HΘ, ΘΓ, ὁ δὲ EZ εἰς τοὺς τῷ  $\Delta$ ἴσους τοὺς ΕΚ, ΚΛ, ΛΖ. ἔσται δὴ ἴσον τὸ πλῆθος τῶν BH, ΗΘ, ΘΓ τῷ πλήθει τῶν ΕΚ, ΚΛ, ΛΖ. καὶ ἐπεὶ ἴσαι εἰσὶν αἱ ΒΗ, ΗΘ, ΘΓ μονάδες ἀλλήλαις, εἰσὶ δὲ καὶ οἱ ΕΚ, ΚΛ, ΛΖ άριθμοὶ ἴσοι ἀλλήλοις, καί ἐστιν ἴσον τὸ πλῆθος τῶν ΒΗ, ΗΘ, ΘΓ μονάδων τῷ πλήθει τῶν ΕΚ, ΚΛ, ΛΖ ἀριθμῶν, έσται άρα ώς ή BH μονάς πρός τὸν EK ἀριθμόν, οὕτως ή ΗΘ μονὰς πρὸς τὸν ΚΛ ἀριθμὸν καὶ ἡ ΘΓ μονὰς πρὸς τὸν  $\Lambda Z$  ἀριθμόν. ἕσται ἄρα καὶ ὡς εῖς τῶν ἡγουμένων πρὸς ἕνα τῶν ἑπομένων, οὕτως ἄπαντες οἱ ἡγούμενοι πρὸς ἄπαντας τοὺς ἑπομένους· ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ἡ ΒΗ μονὰς πρὸς τὸν ΕΚ άριθμόν, οὕτως ὁ ΒΓ πρὸς τὸν ΕΖ. ἴση δὲ ἡ ΒΗ μονὰς τῆ Α μονάδι, ὁ δὲ ΕΚ ἀριθμὸς τῷ  $\Delta$  ἀριθμῷ. ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ἡ Α μονὰς πρὸς τὸν  $\Delta$  ἀριθμόν, οὕτως ὁ  $B\Gamma$  πρὸς τὸν EZ. ἰσάχις ἄρα ἡ A μονὰς τὸν Δ ἀριθμὸν μετρεῖ χαὶ <br/>ἑ ${\rm B}\Gamma$ τὸν ΕΖ· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

#### Proposition 15

If a unit measures some number, and another number measures some other number as many times, then, also, alternately, the unit will measure the third number as many times as the second (number measures) the fourth.

For let a unit A measure some number BC, and let another number D measure some other number EF as many times. I say that, also, alternately, the unit A also measures the number D as many times as BC (measures) EF.

For since the unit A measures the number BC as many times as D (measures) EF, thus as many units as are in BC, so many numbers are also in EF equal to D. Let BC have been divided into its constituent units, BG, GH, and HC, and EF into the (divisions) EK, KL, and LF, equal to D. So the multitude of (units) BG, GH, HC will be equal to the multitude of (divisions) EK, KL, LF. And since the units BG, GH, and HCare equal to one another, and the numbers EK, KL, and LF are also equal to one another, and the multitude of the (units) BG, GH, HC is equal to the multitude of the numbers EK, KL, LF, thus as the unit BG (is) to the number EK, so the unit GH will be to the number KL, and the unit HC to the number LF. And thus, as one of the leading (numbers is) to one of the following, so (the sum of) all of the leading will be to (the sum of) all of the following [Prop. 7.12]. Thus, as the unit BG (is) to the number EK, so BC (is) to EF. And the unit BG (is) equal to the unit A, and the number EK to the number D. Thus, as the unit A is to the number D, so BC (is) to EF. Thus, the unit A measures the number D as many times as BC (measures) EF [Def. 7.20]. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> This proposition is a special case of Prop. 7.9.

ເຈ່.

Εὰν δύο ἀριθμοὶ πολλαπλασιάσαντες ἀλλήλους ποιῶσί τινας, οἱ γενόμενοι ἐξ αὐτῶν ἴσοι ἀλλήλοις ἔσονται.



Έστωσαν δύο ἀριθμοὶ οἱ A, B, καὶ ὁ μὲν A τὸν B πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Γ ποιείτω, ὁ δὲ B τὸν A πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Δ ποιείτω· λέγω, ὅτι ἴσος ἐστὶν ὁ Γ τῷ Δ.

Έπεὶ γὰρ ὁ Α τὸν Β πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Γ πεποίηκεν, ὁ Β ἄρα τὸν Γ μετρεῖ κατὰ τὰς ἐν τῷ Α μονάδας. μετρεῖ δὲ καὶ ἡ Ε μονὰς τὸν Α ἀριθμὸν κατὰ τὰς ἐν αὐτῷ μονάδας· ἰσάκις ἄρα ἡ Ε μονὰς τὸν Α ἀριθμὸν μετρεῖ καὶ ὁ Β τὸν Γ. ἐναλλὰξ ἄρα ἰσάκις ἡ Ε μονὰς τὸν Β ἀριθμὸν μετρεῖ καὶ ὁ Α τὸν Γ. πάλιν, ἐπεὶ ὁ Β τὸν Α πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Δ πεποίηκεν, ὁ Α ἄρα τὸν Δ μετρεῖ κατὰ τὰς ἐν τῷ Β μονάδας· μετρεῖ δὲ καὶ ἡ Ε μονὰς τὸν Β κατὰ τὰς ἐν αὐτῷ μονάδας· ἰσάκις ἄρα ἡ Ε μονὰς τὸν Β ἀριθμὸν μετρεῖ καὶ ὁ Α τὸν Δ. ἰσάκις ἄρα ἡ Ε μονὰς τὸν Β ἀριθμὸν μετρεῖ καὶ ὁ Α τὸν Δ. ἰσάκις ἄρα ἡ Ε μονὰς τὸν Β ἀριθμὸν μετρεῖ καὶ ὁ Α τὸν Γ· ἰσάκις ἄρα ὁ Α ἑκάτερον τῶν Γ, Δ μετρεῖ. ἴσος ἄρα ἐστὶν ὁ Γ τῷ Δ· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

## Proposition 16<sup>†</sup>

If two numbers multiplying one another make some (numbers) then the (numbers) generated from them will be equal to one another.



Let A and B be two numbers. And let A make C (by) multiplying B, and let B make D (by) multiplying A. I say that C is equal to D.

For since A has made C (by) multiplying B, B thus measures C according to the units in A [Def. 7.15]. And the unit E also measures the number A according to the units in it. Thus, the unit E measures the number A as many times as B (measures) C. Thus, alternately, the unit E measures the number B as many times as A (measures) C [Prop. 7.15]. Again, since B has made D (by) multiplying A, A thus measures D according to the units in B [Def. 7.15]. And the unit E also measures B according to the units in it. Thus, the unit E measures the number B as many times as A (measures) D. And the unit E was measuring the number B as many times as A (measures) C. Thus, A measures each of C and D an equal number of times. Thus, C is equal to D. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

<sup>†</sup> In modern notation, this proposition states that a b = b a, where all symbols denote numbers.

ιζ΄.

Έλν ἀριθμὸς δύο ἀριθμοὺς πολλαπλασιάσας ποιῆ τινας, οἱ γενόμενοι ἐξ αὐτῶν τὸν αὐτὸν ἔξουσι λόγον τοῖς πολλαπλασιασθεῖσιν.



Ἀριθμὸς γὰρ ὁ Α δύο ἀριθμοὺς τοὺς Β, Γ πολλαπλασιάσας τοὺς Δ, Ε ποιείτω· λέγω, ὅτι ἐστὶν ὡς ὁ Β πρὸς τὸν Γ, οὕτως ὁ Δ πρὸς τὸν Ε.

Έπεὶ γὰρ ὁ Α τὸν Β πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Δ πεποίηκεν, ὁ Β ẳρα τὸν Δ μετρεῖ κατὰ τὰς ἐν τῷ Α μονάδας. μετρεῖ

#### Proposition 17<sup>†</sup>

If a number multiplying two numbers makes some (numbers) then the (numbers) generated from them will have the same ratio as the multiplied (numbers).



For let the number A make (the numbers) D and E (by) multiplying the two numbers B and C (respectively). I say that as B is to C, so D (is) to E.

For since A has made D (by) multiplying B, B thus measures D according to the units in A [Def. 7.15]. And

δὲ καὶ ή Z μονὰς τὸν A ἀριθμὸν κατὰ τὰς ἐν αὐτῷ μονάδας· ἰσάκις ἄρα ή Z μονὰς τὸν A ἀριθμὸν μετρεῖ καὶ ὁ B τὸν Δ. ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ἡ Z μονὰς πρὸς τὸν A ἀριθμόν, οὕτως ὁ B πρὸς τὸν Δ. διὰ τὰ αὐτὰ δὴ καὶ ὡς ἡ Z μονὰς πρὸς τὸν A ἀριθμόν, οὕτως ὁ Γ πρὸς τὸν Ε· καὶ ὡς ἄρα ὁ B πρὸς τὸν Δ, οὕτως ὁ Γ πρὸς τὸν Ε. ἐναλλὰξ ἄρα ἑστὶν ὡς ὁ B πρὸς τὸν Γ, οὕτως ὁ Δ πρὸς τὸν Ε· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι. the unit F also measures the number A according to the units in it. Thus, the unit F measures the number A as many times as B (measures) D. Thus, as the unit F is to the number A, so B (is) to D [Def. 7.20]. And so, for the same (reasons), as the unit F (is) to the number A, so C (is) to E. And thus, as B (is) to D, so C (is) to E. Thus, alternately, as B is to C, so D (is) to E [Prop. 7.13]. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

<sup>†</sup> In modern notation, this proposition states that if d = a b and e = a c then d : e :: b : c, where all symbols denote numbers.

*ι*η'.

Έὰν δύο ἀριθμοὶ ἀριθμόν τινα πολλαπλασιάσαντες ποιῶσί τινας, οἱ γενόμενοι ἐξ αὐτῶν τὸν αὐτὸν ἕξουσι λόγον τοῖς πολλαπλασιάσασιν.



 $\Delta$ ύο γὰρ ἀριθμοὶ οἱ A, B ἀριθμόν τινα τὸν Γ πολλαπλασιάσαντες τοὺς Δ, Ε ποιείτωσαν λέγω, ὅτι ἐστὶν ὡς ὁ A πρὸς τὸν B, οὕτως ὁ Δ πρὸς τὸν Ε.

Έπεὶ γὰρ ὁ Α τὸν Γ πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Δ πεποίηχεν, καὶ ὁ Γ ἄρα τὸν Α πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Δ πεποίηχεν. διὰ τὰ αὐτὰ δὴ καὶ ὁ Γ τὸν Β πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Ε πεποίηχεν. ἀριθμὸς δὴ ὁ Γ δύο ἀριθμοὺς τοὺς Α, Β πολλαπλασιάσας τοὺς Δ, Ε πεποίηχεν. ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ὁ Α πρὸς τὸν Β, οὕτως ὁ Δ πρὸς τὸν Ε· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

# Proposition 18<sup>†</sup>

If two numbers multiplying some number make some (other numbers) then the (numbers) generated from them will have the same ratio as the multiplying (numbers).



For let the two numbers A and B make (the numbers) D and E (respectively, by) multiplying some number C. I say that as A is to B, so D (is) to E.

For since A has made D (by) multiplying C, C has thus also made D (by) multiplying A [Prop. 7.16]. So, for the same (reasons), C has also made E (by) multiplying B. So the number C has made D and E (by) multiplying the two numbers A and B (respectively). Thus, as A is to B, so D (is) to E [Prop. 7.17]. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

<sup>†</sup> In modern notation, this propositions states that if a c = d and b c = e then a : b :: d : e, where all symbols denote numbers.

## ıϑ'.

Έὰν τέσσαρες ἀριθμοὶ ἀνάλογον ῶσιν, ὁ ἐκ πρώτου καὶ τετάρτου γενόμενος ἀριθμὸς ἴσος ἔσται τῷ ἐκ δευτέρου καὶ τρίτου γενομένῷ ἀριθμῷ· καὶ ἐὰν ὁ ἐκ πρώτου καὶ τετάρτου γενόμενος ἀριθμὸς ἴσος ἢ τῷ ἐκ δευτέρου καὶ τρίτου, οἱ τέσσασρες ἀριθμοὶ ἀνάλογον ἔσονται.

Έστωσαν τέσσαρες ἀριθμοὶ ἀνάλογον οἱ A, B,  $\Gamma$ , Δ, ὡς ὁ A πρὸς τὸν B, οὕτως ὁ  $\Gamma$  πρὸς τὸν Δ, καὶ ὁ μὲν A τὸν Δ πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Ε ποιείτω, ὁ δὲ B τὸν  $\Gamma$  πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Ζ ποιείτω· λέγω, ὅτι ἴσος ἐστὶν ὁ Ε τῷ Ζ.

#### Proposition 19<sup>†</sup>

If four number are proportional then the number created from (multiplying) the first and fourth will be equal to the number created from (multiplying) the second and third. And if the number created from (multiplying) the first and fourth is equal to the (number created) from (multiplying) the second and third then the four numbers will be proportional.

Let A, B, C, and D be four proportional numbers, (such that) as A (is) to B, so C (is) to D. And let A make E (by) multiplying D, and let B make F (by) multiplying C. I say that E is equal to F.



Ό γὰρ Α τὸν Γ πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Η ποιείτω. ἐπεὶ οῦν ὁ Α τὸν Γ πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Η πεποίηκεν, τὸν δὲ Δ πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Ε πεποίηκεν, ἀριθμὸς δὴ ὁ Α δύο ἀριθμοὺς τοὺς Γ, Δ πολλαπλασιάσας τούς Η, Ε πεποίηκεν. ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ὁ Γ πρὸς τὸν Δ, οὕτως ὁ Η πρὸς τὸν Ε. ἀλλʾ ὡς ὁ Γ πρὸς τὸν Δ, οὕτως ὁ Α πρὸς τὸν Β· καὶ ὡς ἄρα ὁ Α πρὸς τὸν Β, οὕτως ὁ Η πρὸς τὸν Ε. πάλιν, ἐπεὶ ὁ Α τὸν Γ πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Η πεποίηκεν, ἀλλὰ μὴν καὶ ὁ Β τὸν Γ πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Ζ πεποίηκεν, ἀλλὰ μὴν καὶ ὁ Β τὸν Γ πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Ζ πεποίηκεν, δύο δὴ ἀριθμοὶ οἱ Α, Β ἀριθμόν τινα τὸν Γ πολλαπλασιάσαντες τοὺς Η, Ζ πεποιήκασιν. ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ὁ Α πρὸς τὸν Β, οὕτως ὁ Η πρὸς τὸν Ζ. ἀλλὰ μὴν καὶ ὡς ὁ Α πρὸς τὸν Β, οὕτως ὁ Η πρὸς τὸν Ε· καὶ ὡς ἄρα ὁ Η πρὸς τὸν Ε, οῦτως ὁ Η πρὸς τὸν Ζ. ὁ Η ἄρα πρὸς ἑκάτερον τῶν Ε, Ζ τὸν αὐτὸν ἔχει λόγον· ἴσος ἄρα ἐστὶν ὁ Ε τῷ Ζ.

Έστω δὴ πάλιν ἴσος ὁ Ε τῷ Ζ· λέγω, ὅτι ἐστὶν ὡς ὁ Α πρὸς τὸν Β, οὕτως ὁ Γ πρὸς τὸν Δ.

Τῶν γὰρ αὐτῶν κατασκευασθέντων, ἐπεὶ ἴσος ἐστὶν ὁ Ε τῷ Ζ, ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ὁ Η πρὸς τὸν Ε, οὕτως ὁ Η πρὸς τὸν Ζ. ἀλλ' ὡς μὲν ὁ Η πρὸς τὸν Ε, οὕτως ὁ Γ πρὸς τὸν Δ, ὡς δὲ ὁ Η πρὸς τὸν Ζ, οὕτως ὁ Α πρὸς τὸν Β. καὶ ὡς ἄρα ὁ Α πρὸς τὸν Β, οὕτως ὁ Γ πρὸς τὸν Δ· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.



For let *A* make *G* (by) multiplying *C*. Therefore, since *A* has made *G* (by) multiplying *C*, and has made *E* (by) multiplying *D*, the number *A* has made *G* and *E* by multiplying the two numbers *C* and *D* (respectively). Thus, as *C* is to *D*, so *G* (is) to *E* [Prop. 7.17]. But, as *C* (is) to *D*, so *A* (is) to *B*. Thus, also, as *A* (is) to *B*, so *G* (is) to *E*. Again, since *A* has made *G* (by) multiplying *C*, but, in fact, *B* has also made *F* (by) multiplying *C*, the two numbers *A* and *B* have made *G* and *F* (respectively, by) multiplying some number *C*. Thus, as *A* is to *B*, so *G* (is) to *E*. And thus, as *G* (is) to *E*, so *G* (is) to *F*. Thus, as *G* (is) to *F*. Thus, as *G* (is) to *E*. And thus, as *G* (is) to *E* and *F*. Thus, *E* is equal to *F* [Prop. 5.9].

So, again, let E be equal to F. I say that as A is to B, so C (is) to D.

For, with the same construction, since E is equal to F, thus as G is to E, so G (is) to F [Prop. 5.7]. But, as G (is) to E, so C (is) to D [Prop. 7.17]. And as G (is) to F, so A (is) to B [Prop. 7.18]. And, thus, as A (is) to B, so C (is) to D. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

<sup>†</sup> In modern notation, this proposition reads that if a : b :: c : d then a d = b c, and vice versa, where all symbols denote numbers.

## κ΄.

Οἱ ἐλάχιστοι ἀριθμοὶ τῶν τὸν αὐτὸν λόγον ἐχόντων αὐτοῖς μετροῦσι τοὺς τὸν αὐτὸν λόγον ἔχοντας ἰσάκις ὅ τε μείζων τὸν μείζονα καὶ ὁ ἐλάσσων τὸν ἐλάσσονα.

Έστωσαν γὰρ ἐλάχιστοι ἀριθμοὶ τῶν τὸν αὐτὸν λόγον ἐχόντων τοῖς Α, Β οἱ ΓΔ, ΕΖ· λέγω, ὅτι ἰσάχις ὁ ΓΔ τὸν Α μετρεῖ χαὶ ὁ ΕΖ τὸν Β.

## Proposition 20

The least numbers of those (numbers) having the same ratio measure those (numbers) having the same ratio as them an equal number of times, the greater (measuring) the greater, and the lesser the lesser.

For let CD and EF be the least numbers having the same ratio as A and B (respectively). I say that CD measures A the same number of times as EF (measures) B.



Ὁ ΓΔ γὰρ τοῦ Α οὖκ ἐστι μέρη. εἰ γὰρ δυνατόν, ἔστω· καὶ ὁ ΕΖ ἄρα τοῦ Β τὰ αὐτὰ μέρη ἐστίν, ἄπερ ὁ ΓΔ τοῦ A. ὅσα ἄρα ἐστὶν ἐν τῷ ΓΔ μέρη τοῦ Α, τοσαῦτά ἐστι καὶ έν τῷ EZ μέρη τοῦ B. διηρήσθω ὁ μὲν Γ $\Delta$  εἰς τὰ τοῦ A μέρη τὰ ΓΗ, ΗΔ, <br/>ὁ δὲ ΕΖ εἰς τὰ τοῦ Β μέρη τὰ ΕΘ, ΘΖ· έσται δὴ ἴσον τὸ πλῆθος τῶν ΓΗ, ΗΔ τῷ πλήθει τῶν ΕΘ, ΘΖ. καὶ ἐπεὶ ἴσοι εἰσὶν οἱ ΓΗ, ΗΔ ἀριθμοὶ ἀλλήλοις, εἰσὶ δὲ καὶ οἱ ΕΘ, ΘΖ ἀριθμοὶ ἴσοι ἀλλήλοις, καί ἐστιν ἴσον τὸ πληθος τῶν ΓΗ, ΗΔ τῷ πλήθει τῶν ΕΘ, ΘΖ, ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ό ΓΗ πρός τὸν ΕΘ, οὕτως ὁ ΗΔ πρὸς τὸν ΘΖ. ἔσται ἄρα καὶ ὡς εἶς τῶν ἡγουμένων πρὸς ἕνα τῶν ἑπομένων, οὕτως άπαντες οἱ ἡγούμενοι πρὸς ἄπαντας τοὺς ἑπομένους. ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ὁ ΓΗ πρὸς τὸν ΕΘ, οὕτως ὁ ΓΔ πρὸς τὸν ΕΖ· οἱ  $\Gamma H, E\Theta$  ἄρα τοῖς  $\Gamma\Delta, EZ$  ἐν τῷ αὐτῷ λόγῳ εἰσὶν ἐλάσσονες όντες αὐτῶν· ὅπερ ἐστὶν ἀδύνατον· ὑπόκεινται γὰρ οἱ ΓΔ, ΕΖ ἐλάχιστοι τῶν τὸν αὐτὸν λόγον ἐχόντων αὐτοῖς. οὐχ άρα μέρη ἐστὶν <br/>ὁ ΓΔ τοῦ Α· μέρος ἄρα. καὶ ὁ ΕΖ τοῦ Β τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἐστίν, ὅπερ ὁ ΓΔ τοῦ Α· ἰσάχις ἄρα ὁ ΓΔ τὸν Α μετρεῖ καὶ ὁ ΕΖ τὸν Β· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

#### κα'.

Οἱ πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους ἀριθμοὶ ἐλάχιστοί εἰσι τῶν τὸν αὐτὸν λόγον ἐχόντων αὐτοῖς.

Έστωσαν πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους ἀριθμοὶ οἱ Α, Β· λέγω, ὅτι οἱ Α, Β ἐλάχιστοί εἰσι τῶν τὸν αὐτὸν λόγον ἐχόντων αὐτοῖς.

Εἰ γὰρ μή, ἔσονταί τινες τῶν Α, Β ἐλάσσονες ἀριθμοὶ ἐν τῷ αὐτῷ λόγῷ ὄντες τοῖς Α, Β. ἔστωσαν οἱ Γ, Δ.





For CD is not parts of A. For, if possible, let it be (parts of A). Thus, EF is also the same parts of B that CD (is) of A [Def. 7.20, Prop. 7.13]. Thus, as many parts of A as are in CD, so many parts of B are also in EF. Let *CD* have been divided into the parts of *A*, *CG* and *GD*, and EF into the parts of B, EH and HF. So the multitude of (divisions) CG, GD will be equal to the multitude of (divisions) EH, HF. And since the numbers CG and GD are equal to one another, and the numbers EH and *HF* are also equal to one another, and the multitude of (divisions) CG, GD is equal to the multitude of (divisions) EH, HF, thus as CG is to EH, so GD (is) to HF. Thus, as one of the leading (numbers is) to one of the following, so will (the sum of) all of the leading (numbers) be to (the sum of) all of the following [Prop. 7.12]. Thus, as CG is to EH, so CD (is) to EF. Thus, CGand EH are in the same ratio as CD and EF, being less than them. The very thing is impossible. For CD and *EF* were assumed (to be) the least of those (numbers) having the same ratio as them. Thus, CD is not parts of A. Thus, (it is) a part (of A) [Prop. 7.4]. And EF is the same part of B that CD (is) of A [Def. 7.20, Prop 7.13]. Thus, CD measures A the same number of times that EF(measures) B. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

#### **Proposition 21**

Numbers prime to one another are the least of those (numbers) having the same ratio as them.

Let A and B be numbers prime to one another. I say that A and B are the least of those (numbers) having the same ratio as them.

For if not then there will be some numbers less than A and B which are in the same ratio as A and B. Let them be C and D.



Έπεὶ οῦν οἱ ἐλάχιστοι ἀριθμοὶ τῶν τὸν αὐτὸν λόγον ἐχόντων μετροῦσι τοὺς τὸν αὐτὸν λόγον ἔχοντας ἰσάχις ὅ τε μείζων τὸν μείζονα καὶ ὁ ἐλάττων τὸν ἐλάττονα, τουτέστιν ὅ τε ἡγούμενος τὸν ἡγούμενον καὶ ὁ ἑπόμενος τὸν ἑπόμενον, ἰσάχις ἄρα ὁ Γ τὸν Α μετρεῖ καὶ ὁ Δ τὸν Β. ἱσάχις δὴ ὁ Γ τὸν Α μετρεῖ, τοσαῦται μονάδες ἔστωσαν ἐν τῷ Ε. καὶ ὁ Δ ἄρα τὸν Β μετρεῖ κατὰ τὰς ἐν τῷ Ε μονάδας. καὶ ἐπεὶ ὁ Γ τὸν Α μετρεῖ κατὰ τὰς ἐν τῷ Ε μονάδας, καί ὁ Ε ἄρα τὸν Α μετρεῖ κατὰ τὰς ἐν τῷ Ε μονάδας, καί ὁ Ε ἄρα τὸν Α μετρεῖ κατὰ τὰς ἐν τῷ Δ μονάδας, ό Ε ἄρα τοὺς Α, Β μετρεῖ πρώτους ὄντας πρὸς ἀλλήλους· ὅπερ ἐστιν ἀδύνατον. οὐχ ἄρα ἔσονταί τινες τῶν Α, Β ἐλάσσονες ἀριθμοὶ ἐν τῷ αὐτῷ λόγῳ ὄντες τοῖς Α, Β. οἱ Α, Β ἄρα ἐλάχιστοί εἰσι τῶν τὸν αὐτὸν λόγον ἐχόντων αὐτοῖς· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.



Οἱ ἐλάχιστοι ἀριθμοὶ τῶν τὸν αὐτὸν λόγον ἐχόντων αὐτοῖς πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσίν.



Έστωσαν ἐλάχιστοι ἀριθμοὶ τῶν τὸν αὐτὸν λόγον ἐχόντων αὐτοῖς οἱ Α, Β· λέγω, ὅτι οἱ Α, Β πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσίν.

Εἰ γὰρ μή εἰσι πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους, μετρήσει τις αὐτοὺς ἀριθμός. μετρείτω, καὶ ἔστω ὁ Γ. καὶ ὁσάκις μὲν ὁ Γ τὸν Α μετρεῖ, τοσαῦται μονάδες ἔστωσαν ἐν τῷ Δ,



Therefore, since the least numbers of those (numbers) having the same ratio measure those (numbers) having the same ratio (as them) an equal number of times, the greater (measuring) the greater, and the lesser the lesser-that is to say, the leading (measuring) the leading, and the following the following—C thus measures A the same number of times that D (measures) B[Prop. 7.20]. So as many times as C measures A, so many units let there be in E. Thus, D also measures B according to the units in E. And since C measures A according to the units in E, E thus also measures A according to the units in C [Prop. 7.16]. So, for the same (reasons), E also measures B according to the units in D [Prop. 7.16]. Thus, E measures A and B, which are prime to one another. The very thing is impossible. Thus, there cannot be any numbers less than A and B which are in the same ratio as A and B. Thus, A and B are the least of those (numbers) having the same ratio as them. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

## **Proposition 22**

The least numbers of those (numbers) having the same ratio as them are prime to one another.



Let A and B be the least numbers of those (numbers) having the same ratio as them. I say that A and B are prime to one another.

For if they are not prime to one another then some number will measure them. Let it (so measure them), and let it be C. And as many times as C measures A, so

όσάχις δὲ ὁ Γ τὸν Β μετρεῖ, τοσαῦται μονάδες ἔστωσαν ἐν τῷ Ε.

Έπεὶ ὁ Γ τὸν Α μετρεῖ κατὰ τὰς ἐν τῷ Δ μονάδας, ὁ Γ ἄρα τὸν Δ πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Α πεποίηκεν. διὰ τὰ αὐτὰ δὴ καὶ ὁ Γ τὸν Ε πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Β πεποίηκεν. ἀριθμὸς δὴ ὁ Γ δύο ἀριθμοὺς τοὺς Δ, Ε πολλαπλασιάσας τοὺς Α, Β πεποίηκεν· ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ὁ Δ πρὸς τὸν Ε, οὕτως ὁ Α πρὸς τὸν Β· οἱ Δ, Ε ἄρα τοῖς Α, Β ἐν τῷ αὐτῷ λόγῷ εἰσὶν ἐλάσσονες ὄντες αὐτῶν· ὅπερ ἐστὶν ἀδύνατον. οὐκ ἄρα τοὺς Α, Β ἀριθμοὺς ἀριθμός τις μετρήσει. οἱ Α, Β ἄρα πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσίν· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

## χγ'.

Έὰν δύο ἀριθμοὶ πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους ῶσιν, ὁ τὸν ἕνα αὐτῶν μετρῶν ἀριθμὸς πρὸς τὸν λοιπὸν πρῶτος ἔσται.



Έστωσαν δύο ἀριθμοὶ πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους οἱ A, B, τὸν δὲ A μετρείτω τις ἀριθμὸς ὁ  $\Gamma$ · λέγω, ὅτι καὶ οἱ  $\Gamma$ , B πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσίν.

Εἰ γὰρ μή εἰσιν οἱ Γ, Β πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους, μετρήσει [τις] τοὺς Γ, Β ἀριθμός. μετρείτω, καὶ ἔστω ὁ Δ. ἐπεὶ ὁ Δ τὸν Γ μετρεῖ, ὁ δὲ Γ τὸν Α μετρεῖ, καὶ ὁ Δ ἄρα τὸν Α μετρεῖ. μετρεῖ δὲ καὶ τὸν Β· ὁ Δ ἄρα τοὺς Α, Β μετρεῖ πρώτους ὄντας πρὸς ἀλλήλους· ὅπερ ἐστὶν ἀδύνατον. οὐκ ἄρα τοὺς Γ, Β ἀριθμοὺς ἀριθμός τις μετρήσει. οἱ Γ, Β ἄρα πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσίν· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

## **χ**δ'.

Έὰν δύο ἀριθμοὶ πρός τινα ἀριθμὸν πρῶτοι ῶσιν, καὶ ὁ ἐξ αὐτῶν γενόμενος πρὸς τὸν αὐτὸν πρῶτος ἔσται. many units let there be in D. And as many times as C measures B, so many units let there be in E.

Since *C* measures *A* according to the units in *D*, *C* has thus made *A* (by) multiplying *D* [Def. 7.15]. So, for the same (reasons), *C* has also made *B* (by) multiplying *E*. So the number *C* has made *A* and *B* (by) multiplying the two numbers *D* and *E* (respectively). Thus, as *D* is to *E*, so *A* (is) to *B* [Prop. 7.17]. Thus, *D* and *E* are in the same ratio as *A* and *B*, being less than them. The very thing is impossible. Thus, some number does not measure the numbers *A* and *B*. Thus, *A* and *B* are prime to one another. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

## **Proposition 23**

If two numbers are prime to one another then a number measuring one of them will be prime to the remaining (one).



Let A and B be two numbers (which are) prime to one another, and let some number C measure A. I say that C and B are also prime to one another.

For if C and B are not prime to one another then [some] number will measure C and B. Let it (so) measure (them), and let it be D. Since D measures C, and Cmeasures A, D thus also measures A. And (D) also measures B. Thus, D measures A and B, which are prime to one another. The very thing is impossible. Thus, some number does not measure the numbers C and B. Thus, C and B are prime to one another. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

# **Proposition 24**

If two numbers are prime to some number then the number created from (multiplying) the former (two numbers) will also be prime to the latter (number).



 $\Delta$ ύο γὰρ ἀριθμοὶ οἱ A, B πρός τινα ἀριθμὸν τὸν Γ πρῶτοι ἕστωσαν, καὶ ὁ A τὸν B πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν  $\Delta$  ποιείτω·λέγω, ὅτι οἱ Γ,  $\Delta$  πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσίν.

Εἰ γὰρ μή εἰσιν οἱ Γ, Δ πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους, μετρήσει [τις] τοὺς Γ, Δ ἀριθμός. μετρείτω, καὶ ἔστω ὁ Ε. καὶ ἐπεὶ οί Γ, Δ πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσίν, τὸν δὲ Γ μετρεῖ τις άριθμός ὁ Ε, οἱ Α, Ε ἄρα πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσίν. όσάχις δη ό Ε τὸν Δ μετρεῖ, τοσαῦται μονάδες ἔστωσαν ἐν τῷ Z· καὶ ὁ Z ẳρα τὸν  $\Delta$  μετρεῖ κατὰ τὰς ἐν τῷ E μονάδας. ό Ε ἄρα τὸν Ζ πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Δ πεποίηκεν. ἀλλὰ μήν καὶ ὁ Α τὸν Β πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Δ πεποίηκεν· ἴσος άρα ἐστὶν ὁ ἑκ τῶν Ε, Ζ τῷ ἐκ τῶν Α, Β. ἐὰν δὲ ὁ ὑπὸ τῶν ἄχρων ἴσος ἢ τῷ ὑπὸ τῶν μέσων, οἱ τέσσαρες ἀριθμοὶ άνάλογόν εἰσιν ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ὁ Ε πρὸς τὸν Α, οὕτως ὁ Β πρός τόν Ζ. οί δὲ Α, Ε πρῶτοι, οί δὲ πρῶτοι καὶ ἐλάχιστοι, οί δὲ ἐλάγιστοι ἀριθμοὶ τῶν τὸν αὐτὸν λόγον ἐγόντων αὐτοῖς μετροῦσι τοὺς τὸν αὐτὸν λόγον ἔχοντας ἰσάχις ὅ τε μείζων τὸν μείζονα καὶ ὁ ἐλάσσων τὸν ἐλάσσονα, τουτέστιν ὅ τε ήγούμενος τὸν ἡγούμενον καὶ ὁ ἑπόμενος τὸν ἑπόμενον. ὁ Ε ἄρα τὸν B μετρεĩ. μετρεῖ δὲ καὶ τὸν  $\Gamma$  ὁ E ἄρα τοὺς B,  $\Gamma$ μετρεῖ πρώτους ὄντας πρὸς ἀλλήλους. ὅπερ ἐστὶν ἀδύνατον. ούχ ἄρα τοὺς  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Delta$  ἀριθμοὺς ἀριθμός τις μετρήσει. οἱ  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Delta$ άρα πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσίν. ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

# ×ε′**.**

Έὰν δύο ἀριθμοὶ πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους ῶσιν, ὁ ἐκ τοῦ ἑνὸς αὐτῶν γενόμενος πρὸς τὸν λοιπὸν πρῶτος ἔσται.

Έστωσαν δύο ἀριθμοὶ πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους οἱ Α, Β, καὶ ὁ Α ἑαυτὸν πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Γ ποιείτω· λέγω, ὅτι



For let A and B be two numbers (which are both) prime to some number C. And let A make D (by) multiplying B. I say that C and D are prime to one another.

For if C and D are not prime to one another then [some] number will measure C and D. Let it (so) measure them, and let it be E. And since C and A are prime to one another, and some number E measures C, A and E are thus prime to one another [Prop. 7.23]. So as many times as E measures D, so many units let there be in F. Thus, F also measures D according to the units in E [Prop. 7.16]. Thus, E has made D (by) multiplying F [Def. 7.15]. But, in fact, A has also made D (by) multiplying B. Thus, the (number created) from (multiplying) E and F is equal to the (number created) from (multiplying) A and B. And if the (rectangle contained) by the (two) outermost is equal to the (rectangle contained) by the middle (two) then the four numbers are proportional [Prop. 6.15]. Thus, as E is to A, so B (is) to F. And A and E (are) prime (to one another). And (numbers) prime (to one another) are also the least (of those numbers having the same ratio) [Prop. 7.21]. And the least numbers of those (numbers) having the same ratio measure those (numbers) having the same ratio as them an equal number of times, the greater (measuring) the greater, and the lesser the lesser-that is to say, the leading (measuring) the leading, and the following the following [Prop. 7.20]. Thus, E measures B. And it also measures C. Thus, E measures B and C, which are prime to one another. The very thing is impossible. Thus, some number cannot measure the numbers C and D. Thus, C and D are prime to one another. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

## **Proposition 25**

If two numbers are prime to one another then the number created from (squaring) one of them will be prime to the remaining (number).

Let A and B be two numbers (which are) prime to

οί Β, Γ πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσίν.



Κείσθω γὰρ τῷ A ἴσος ὁ Δ. ἐπεὶ οἱ A, B πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσίν, ἴσος δὲ ὁ A τῷ Δ, καί οἱ Δ, B ἄρα πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσίν· ἐκάτερος ἄρα τῶν Δ, A πρὸς τὸν B πρῶτός ἐστιν· καὶ ὁ ἐκ τῶν Δ, A ἄρα γενόμενος πρὸς τὸν B πρῶτος ἔσται. ὁ δὲ ἐκ τῶν Δ, A γενόμενος ἀριθμός ἐστιν ὁ Γ. οἱ Γ, B ἄρα πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσίν· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

# x໌.

Έὰν δύο ἀριθμοὶ πρὸς δύο ἀριθμοὺς ἀμφότεροι πρὸς ἑκάτερον πρῶτοι ῶσιν, καὶ οἱ ἐξ αὐτῶν γενόμενοι πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους ἔσονται.



Δύο γὰρ ἀριθμοὶ οἱ A, B πρὸς δύο ἀριθμοὺς τοὺς Γ, Δ ἀμφότεροι πρὸς ἑχάτερον πρῶτοι ἔστωσαν, χαὶ ὁ μὲν A τὸν B πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν E ποιείτω, ὁ δὲ Γ τὸν Δ πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Z ποιείτω· λέγω, ὅτι οἱ E, Z πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσίν.

Έπεὶ γὰρ ἑxάτερος τῶν Α, Β πρὸς τὸν Γ πρῶτός ἐστιν, xaì ὁ ἐx τῶν Α, Β ἄρα γενόμενος πρὸς τὸν Γ πρῶτος ἔσται. ὁ δὲ ἐx τῶν Α, Β γενόμενός ἑστιν ὁ E· οἱ Ε, Γ ἄρα πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσίν. διὰ τὰ αὐτὰ δὴ xaì οἱ Ε, Δ πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσίν. ἑxάτερος ἄρα τῶν Γ, Δ πρὸς τὸν Ε πρῶτός ἐστιν. xaì ὁ ἐx τῶν Γ, Δ ἄρα γενόμενος πρὸς τὸν Ε πρῶτος ἔσται. ὁ δὲ ἐx τῶν Γ, Δ γενόμενος ἐστιν ὁ Ζ. οἱ Ε, Ζ ἄρα πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσίν· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι. one another. And let A make C (by) multiplying itself. I say that B and C are prime to one another.



For let D be made equal to A. Since A and B are prime to one another, and A (is) equal to D, D and B are thus also prime to one another. Thus, D and A are each prime to B. Thus, the (number) created from (multilying) D and A will also be prime to B [Prop. 7.24]. And C is the number created from (multiplying) D and A. Thus, C and B are prime to one another. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

## **Proposition 26**

If two numbers are both prime to each of two numbers then the (numbers) created from (multiplying) them will also be prime to one another.



For let two numbers, A and B, both be prime to each of two numbers, C and D. And let A make E (by) multiplying B, and let C make F (by) multiplying D. I say that E and F are prime to one another.

For since A and B are each prime to C, the (number) created from (multiplying) A and B will thus also be prime to C [Prop. 7.24]. And E is the (number) created from (multiplying) A and B. Thus, E and C are prime to one another. So, for the same (reasons), E and D are also prime to one another. Thus, C and D are each prime to E. Thus, the (number) created from (multiplying) C and D will also be prime to E [Prop. 7.24]. And F is the (number) created from (multiplying) C and D. Thus, E and F are prime to one another. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

χζ΄.

Έὰν δύο ἀριθμοὶ πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους ῶσιν, καὶ πολλαπλασιάσας ἐκάτερος ἑαυτὸν ποιῆ τινα, οἱ γενόμενοι ἐξ αὐτῶν πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους ἔσονται, κἂν οἱ ἐξ ἀρχῆς τοὺς γενομένους πολλαπλασιάσαντες ποιῶσί τινας, κἀκεῖνοι πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους ἔσονται [καὶ ἀεὶ περὶ τοὺς ἄκρους τοῦτο συμβαίνει].



Έστωσαν δύο ἀριθμοὶ πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους οἱ Α, Β, καὶ ὁ Α ἑαυτὸν μὲν πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Γ ποιείτω, τὸν δὲ Γ πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Δ ποιείτω, ὁ δὲ Β ἑαυτὸν μὲν πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Ε ποιείτω, τὸν δὲ Ε πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Ζ ποιείτω· λέγω, ὅτι οἴ τε Γ, Ε καὶ οἱ Δ, Ζ πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσίν.

Έπει γὰρ οἱ Α, Β πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσίν, καὶ ὁ Α ἑαυτὸν πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Γ πεποίηκεν, οἱ Γ, Β ἄρα πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσίν. ἐπεὶ οὕν οἱ Γ, Β πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσίν, καὶ ὁ Β ἑαυτὸν πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Ε πεποίηκεν, οἱ Γ, Ε ἄρα πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσίν. πάλιν, ἑπεὶ οἱ Α, Β πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσίν, καὶ ὁ Β ἑαυτὸν πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Ε πεποίηκεν, οἱ Α, Β πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσίν, καὶ ὁ Β ἑαυτὸν πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Ε πεποίηκεν, οἱ Α, Β πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσίν, καὶ ὑ Β ἑαυτὸν πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Ε πεποίηκεν, οἱ Α, Ε ἄρα πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσίν. ἐπεὶ οῦν δύο ἀριθμοὶ οἱ Α, Γ πρὸς δύο ἀριθμοὺς τοὺς Β, Ε ἀμφότεροι πρὸς ἑκάτερον πρῶτοί εἰσιν, καὶ ὁ ἐκ τῶν Α, Γ ἄρα γενόμενος πρὸς τὸν ἐκ τῶν Β, Ε πρῶτός ἑστιν. καί ἐστιν ὁ μὲν ἐκ τῶν Α, Γ ὁ Δ, ὁ δὲ ἐκ τῶν Β, Ε ὁ Ζ. οἱ Δ, Ζ ἄρα πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσίν.

#### Proposition 27<sup>†</sup>

If two numbers are prime to one another and each makes some (number by) multiplying itself then the numbers created from them will be prime to one another, and if the original (numbers) make some (more numbers by) multiplying the created (numbers) then these will also be prime to one another [and this always happens with the extremes].



Let A and B be two numbers prime to one another, and let A make C (by) multiplying itself, and let it make D (by) multiplying C. And let B make E (by) multiplying itself, and let it make F by multiplying E. I say that C and E, and D and F, are prime to one another.

For since A and B are prime to one another, and A has made C (by) multiplying itself, C and B are thus prime to one another [Prop. 7.25]. Therefore, since C and Bare prime to one another, and B has made E (by) multiplying itself, C and E are thus prime to one another [Prop. 7.25]. Again, since A and B are prime to one another, and B has made E (by) multiplying itself, A and *E* are thus prime to one another [Prop. 7.25]. Therefore, since the two numbers A and C are both prime to each of the two numbers B and E, the (number) created from (multiplying) A and C is thus prime to the (number created) from (multiplying) B and E [Prop. 7.26]. And D is the (number created) from (multiplying) A and C, and F the (number created) from (multiplying) B and E. Thus, D and F are prime to one another. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

<sup>†</sup> In modern notation, this proposition states that if *a* is prime to *b*, then  $a^2$  is also prime to  $b^2$ , as well as  $a^3$  to  $b^3$ , *etc.*, where all symbols denote numbers.

×η'.

Έὰν δύο ἀριθμοὶ πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους ῶσιν, καὶ συναμφότερος πρὸς ἑκάτερον αὐτῶν πρῶτος ἔσται· καὶ ἐὰν συναμφότερος πρὸς ἕνα τινὰ αὐτῶν πρῶτος ἦ, καὶ οἱ ἐξ ἀρχῆς ἀριθμοὶ πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους ἔσονται.

#### Proposition 28

If two numbers are prime to one another then their sum will also be prime to each of them. And if the sum (of two numbers) is prime to any one of them then the original numbers will also be prime to one another.



Συγκείσθωσαν γὰρ δύο ἀριθμοὶ πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους οἱ AB, BΓ· λέγω, ὅτι καὶ συναμφότερος ὁ AΓ πρὸς ἑκάτερον τῶν AB, BΓ πρῶτός ἐστιν.

Εἰ γὰρ μή εἰσιν οἱ ΓΑ, ΑΒ πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους, μετρήσει τις τοὺς ΓΑ, ΑΒ ἀριθμός. μετρείτω, καὶ ἔστω ὁ Δ. ἐπεὶ οῦν ὁ Δ τοὺς ΓΑ, ΑΒ μετρεῖ, καὶ λοιπὸν ἄρα τὸν ΒΓ μετρήσει. μετρεῖ δὲ καὶ τὸν ΒΑ· ὁ Δ ἄρα τοὺς ΑΒ, ΒΓ μετρεῖ πρώτους ὄντας πρὸς ἀλλήλους· ὅπερ ἐστὶν ἀδύνατον. οὐκ ἄρα τοὺς ΓΑ, ΑΒ ἀριθμοὺς ἀριθμός τις μετρήσει· οἱ ΓΑ, ΑΒ ἄρα πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσίν. διὰ τὰ αὐτὰ δὴ καὶ οἱ ΑΓ, ΓΒ πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσίν. ὁ ΓΑ ἄρα πρὸς ἑκάτερον τῶν ΑΒ, ΒΓ πρῶτός ἐστιν.

Έστωσαν δὴ πάλιν οἱ ΓΑ, ΑΒ πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους· λέγω, ὅτι καὶ οἱ ΑΒ, ΒΓ πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσίν.

Εἰ γὰρ μή εἰσιν οἱ AB, BΓ πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους, μετρήσει τις τοὺς AB, BΓ ἀριθμός. μετρείτω, καὶ ἔστω ὁ Δ. καὶ ἐπεὶ ὁ Δ ἑκάτερον τῶν AB, BΓ μετρεῖ, καὶ ὅλον ἄρα τὸν ΓΑ μετρήσει. μετρεῖ δὲ καὶ τὸν AB· ὁ Δ ἄρα τοὺς ΓΑ, AB μετρεῖ πρώτους ὄντας πρὸς ἀλλήλους· ὅπερ ἐστὶν ἀδύνατον. οὐκ ἄρα τοὺς AB, BΓ ἀριθμοὺς ἀριθμός τις μετρήσει. οἱ AB, BΓ ἄρα πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσίν· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

#### χθ'.

Άπας πρῶτος ἀριθμὸς πρὸς ἄπαντα ἀριθμόν, ὃν μὴ μετρεῖ, πρῶτός ἐστιν.



Έστω πρῶτος ἀριθμὸς ὁ Α καὶ τὸν Β μὴ μετρείτω· λέγω, ὅτι οἱ Β, Α πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσίν.

Εἰ γὰρ μή εἰσιν οἱ Β, Α πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους, μετρήσει τις αὐτοὺς ἀριθμός. μετρείτω ὁ Γ. ἐπεὶ ὁ Γ τὸν Β μετρεῖ, ὁ δὲ Α τὸν Β οὐ μετρεῖ, ὁ Γ ἄρα τῷ Α οὕχ ἐστιν ὁ αὐτός. καὶ ἐπεὶ ὁ Γ τοὺς Β, Α μετρεῖ, καὶ τὸν Α ἄρα μετρεῖ πρῶτον ὄντα μὴ ἂν αὐτῷ ὁ αὐτός· ὅπερ ἐστὶν ἀδύνατον. οὐχ ἄρα τοὺς Β, Α μετρήσει τις ἀριθμός. οἱ Α, Β ἄρα πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσίν· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.



For let the two numbers, AB and BC, (which are) prime to one another, be laid down together. I say that their sum AC is also prime to each of AB and BC.

For if CA and AB are not prime to one another then some number will measure CA and AB. Let it (so) measure (them), and let it be D. Therefore, since D measures CA and AB, it will thus also measure the remainder BC. And it also measures BA. Thus, D measures AB and BC, which are prime to one another. The very thing is impossible. Thus, some number cannot measure (both) the numbers CA and AB. Thus, CA and AB are prime to one another. So, for the same (reasons), AC and CBare also prime to one another. Thus, CA is prime to each of AB and BC.

So, again, let CA and AB be prime to one another. I say that AB and BC are also prime to one another.

For if AB and BC are not prime to one another then some number will measure AB and BC. Let it (so) measure (them), and let it be D. And since D measures each of AB and BC, it will thus also measure the whole of CA. And it also measures AB. Thus, D measures CAand AB, which are prime to one another. The very thing is impossible. Thus, some number cannot measure (both) the numbers AB and BC. Thus, AB and BC are prime to one another. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

#### Proposition 29

Every prime number is prime to every number which it does not measure.



Let A be a prime number, and let it not measure B. I say that B and A are prime to one another. For if B and A are not prime to one another then some number will measure them. Let C measure (them). Since C measures B, and A does not measure B, C is thus not the same as A. And since C measures B and A, it thus also measures A, which is prime, (despite) not being the same as it. The very thing is impossible. Thus, some number cannot measure (both) B and A. Thus, A and B are prime to one another. (Which is) the very thing it was required to

show.

λ'.

Ἐἀν δύο ἀριθμοὶ πολλαπλασιάσαντες ἀλλήλους ποιῶσί τινα, τὸν δὲ γενόμενον ἐξ αὐτῶν μετρῆ τις πρῶτος ἀριθμός, καὶ ἕνα τῶν ἐξ ἀρχῆς μετρήσει.



Δύο γὰρ ἀριθμοὶ οἱ Α, Β πολλαπλασιάσαντες ἀλλήλους τὸν Γ ποιείτωσαν, τὸν δὲ Γ μετρείτω τις πρῶτος ἀριθμὸς ὁ  $\Delta$ · λέγω, ὅτι ὁ  $\Delta$  ἕνα τῶν A, B μετρεĩ.

Τὸν γὰρ A μὴ μετρείτω· καί ἐστι πρῶτος ὁ  $\Delta$ · οἱ A,  $\Delta$  ἄρα πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσίν. καὶ ۂσάκις <br/>ἑ $\Delta$ τὸν Γ μετρεῖ, τοσαῦται μονάδες ἔστωσαν ἐν τῷ Ε. ἐπεὶ οὖν ὁ Δ τὸν Γ μετρεῖ κατὰ τὰς ἐν τῷ Ε μονάδας, ὁ Δ ẳρα τὸν Ε πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Γ πεποίηχεν. ἀλλὰ μὴν καὶ ὁ Α τὸν Β πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Γ πεποίηχεν ἴσος ἄρα ἐστὶν ὁ ἐχ τῶν  $\Delta$ , E τῷ ἐκ τῶν A, B. ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ὁ  $\Delta$  πρὸς τὸν A, οὕτως ὁ Β πρὸς τὸν Ε. οἱ δὲ Δ, Α πρῶτοι, οἱ δὲ πρῶτοι καὶ έλάχιστοι, οί δὲ ἐλάχιστοι μετροῦσι τοὺς τὸν αὐτὸν λόγον έγοντας ἰσάχις ὅ τε μείζων τὸν μείζονα χαὶ ὁ ἐλάσσων τὸν έλάσσονα, τουτέστιν ὄ τε ήγούμενος τὸν ἡγούμενον καὶ ὁ έπόμενος τὸν ἑπόμενον· <br/>ἑ $\Delta$  ἄρα τὸν Β μετρεĩ. ἑμοίως δὴ δείξομεν, ὄτι καὶ ἐἀν τὸν Β μὴ μετρῆ, τὸν Α μετρήσει. ὁ Δ άρα ἕνα τῶν Α, Β μετρεῖ· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

# λα'.

Άπας σύνθεντος ἀριθμὸς ὑπὸ πρώτου τινὸς ἀριθμοῦ μετρεῖται.

Έστω σύνθεντος ἀριθμὸς ὁ Α· λέγω, ὅτι ὁ Α ὑπὸ πρώτου τινός ἀριθμοῦ μετρεῖται.

## **Proposition 30**

If two numbers make some (number by) multiplying one another, and some prime number measures the number (so) created from them, then it will also measure one of the original (numbers).



For let two numbers A and B make C (by) multiplying one another, and let some prime number D measure C. I say that D measures one of A and B.

For let it not measure A. And since D is prime, Aand D are thus prime to one another [Prop. 7.29]. And as many times as D measures C, so many units let there be in E. Therefore, since D measures C according to the units E, D has thus made C (by) multiplying E [Def. 7.15]. But, in fact, A has also made C (by) multiplying B. Thus, the (number created) from (multiplying) D and E is equal to the (number created) from (multiplying) A and B. Thus, as D is to A, so B (is) to E [Prop. 7.19]. And D and A (are) prime (to one another), and (numbers) prime (to one another are) also the least (of those numbers having the same ratio) [Prop. 7.21], and the least (numbers) measure those (numbers) having the same ratio (as them) an equal number of times, the greater (measuring) the greater, and the lesser the lesser-that is to say, the leading (measuring) the leading, and the following the following [Prop. 7.20]. Thus, D measures B. So, similarly, we can also show that if (D) does not measure B then it will measure A. Thus, D measures one of A and B. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

#### **Proposition 31**

Every composite number is measured by some prime number.

Let A be a composite number. I say that A is measured by some prime number.

For since A is composite, some number will measure Έπει γαρ σύνθετός έστιν ό A, μετρήσει τις αὐτὸν it. Let it (so) measure (A), and let it be B. And if B

## ΣΤΟΙΧΕΙΩΝ ζ΄.

ἀριθμός. μετρείτω, καὶ ἔστω ὁ Β. καὶ εἰ μὲν πρῶτός ἐστιν ὁ Β, γεγονὸς ἂν εἴη τὸ ἐπιταχθέν. εἰ δὲ σύνθετος, μετρήσει τις αὐτὸν ἀριθμός. μετρείτω, καὶ ἔστω ὁ Γ. καὶ ἐπεὶ ὁ Γ τὸν Β μετρεῖ, ὁ δὲ Β τὸν Α μετρεῖ, καὶ ὁ Γ ἄρα τὸν Α μετρεῖ. καὶ εἰ μὲν πρῶτός ἐστιν ὁ Γ, γεγονὸς ἂν εἴη τὸ ἐπιταχθέν. εἰ δὲ σύνθετος, μετρήσει τις αὐτὸν ἀριθμός. τοιαύτης δὴ γινομένης ἐπισκέψεως ληφθήσεται τις πρῶτος ἀριθμός, ὃς μετρήσει. εἰ γὰρ οὐ ληφθήσεται, μετρήσουσι τὸν Α ἀριθμὸν ἄπειροι ἀριθμοί, ῶν ἕτερος ἑτέρου ἐλάσσων ἐστίν· ὅπερ ἐστὶν ἀδύνατον ἐν ἀριθμοῖς. ληφθήσεταί τις ἄρα πρῶτος ἀριθμός, ὃς μετρήσει τὸν πρὸ ἑαυτοῦ, ὃς καὶ τὸν Α μετρήσει.



Άπας ἄρα σύνθεντος ἀριθμὸς ὑπὸ πρώτου τινὸς ἀριθμοῦ μετρεῖται· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

# λβ΄.

Άπας ἀριθμὸς ἦτοι πρῶτός ἐστιν ἢ ὑπὸ πρώτου τινὸς ἀριθμοῦ μετρεῖται.



Έστω ἀριθμὸς ὁ Α· λέγω, ὅτι ὁ Α ἦτοι πρῶτός ἐστιν ἢ ὑπὸ πρώτου τινὸς ἀριθμοῦ μετρεῖται.

El μέν οὖν πρῶτός ἐστιν ὁ Α, γεγονὸς ἂν εἴη τό ἐπιταχθέν. εἰ δὲ σύνθετος, μετρήσει τις αὐτὸν πρῶτος ἀριθμός.

Άπας ἄρα ἀριθμὸς ἤτοι πρῶτός ἐστιν ἢ ὑπὸ πρώτου τινὸς ἀριθμοῦ μετρεῖται· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖζαι.

# λγ΄.

Άριθμῶν δοθέντων ὁποσωνοῦν εὑρεῖν τοὺς ἐλαχίστους τῶν τὸν αὐτὸν λόγον ἐχόντων αὐτοῖς.

Έστωσαν οἱ δοθέντες ὁποσοιοῦν ἀριθμοὶ οἱ A, B,  $\Gamma$ · δεĩ δὴ εὑρεῖν τοὺς ἐλαχίστους τῶν τὸν αὐτὸν λόγον ἐχόντων τοῖς A, B,  $\Gamma$ .

Οἱ A, B, Γ γὰρ ἤτοι πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσιν ἢ οὕ. εἰ μὲν οῦν οἱ A, B, Γ πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσιν, ἐλάχιστοί εἰσι τῶν τὸν αὐτὸν λόγον ἐχόντων αὐτοῖς. is prime then that which was prescribed has happened. And if (B is) composite then some number will measure it. Let it (so) measure (B), and let it be C. And since C measures B, and B measures A, C thus also measures A. And if C is prime then that which was prescribed has happened. And if (C is) composite then some number will measure it. So, in this manner of continued investigation, some prime number will be found which will measure (the number preceding it, which will also measure A). And if (such a number) cannot be found then an infinite (series of) numbers, each of which is less than the preceding, will measure the number A. The very thing is impossible for numbers. Thus, some prime number will (eventually) be found which will measure the (number) preceding it, which will also measure A.



Thus, every composite number is measured by some prime number. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

## Proposition 32

Every number is either prime or is measured by some prime number.



Let A be a number. I say that A is either prime or is measured by some prime number.

In fact, if A is prime then that which was prescribed has happened. And if (it is) composite then some prime number will measure it [Prop. 7.31].

Thus, every number is either prime or is measured by some prime number. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

## **Proposition 33**

To find the least of those (numbers) having the same ratio as any given multitude of numbers.

Let A, B, and C be any given multitude of numbers. So it is required to find the least of those (numbers) having the same ratio as A, B, and C.

For A, B, and C are either prime to one another, or not. In fact, if A, B, and C are prime to one another then they are the least of those (numbers) having the same ratio as them [Prop. 7.22].

**ELEMENTS BOOK 7** 

А	В	Г	$\Delta$	Е	Ζ	Н	Θ	Κ	$\Lambda$	Μ	
T	T	T	Ī	Ī	T	T	T	Ī	T	Ι	
			1				Ţ				
					T			Ŧ	Ţ		
Ţ											
	Ţ										

Εἰ δὲ οὔ, εἰλήφθω τῶν Α, Β, Γ τὸ μέγιστον χοινὸν μέτρον ὁ Δ, καὶ ὁσάκις ὁ Δ ἕκαστον τῶν Α, Β, Γ μετρεῖ, τοσαῦται μονάδες ἔστωσαν ἐν ἑχάστω τῶν Ε, Ζ, Η. καὶ έκαστος ἄρα τῶν Ε, Ζ, Η ἕκαστον τῶν Α, Β, Γ μετρεῖ κατὰ τὰς ἐν τῷ  $\Delta$  μονάδας. οἱ E, Z, H ἄρα τοὺς A, B, Γ ἰσάχις μετροῦσιν οἱ Ε, Ζ, Η ἄρα τοῖς Α, Β, Γ ἐν τῷ αὐτῷ λόγῳ εἰσίν. λέγω δή, ὅτι καὶ ἐλάχιστοι. εἰ γὰρ μή εἰσιν οἱ Ε, Ζ, Η ἐλάχιστοι τῶν τὸν αὐτὸν λόγον ἐχόντων τοῖς Α, Β, Γ, έσονται [τινες] τῶν Ε, Ζ, Η ἐλάσσονες ἀριθμοὶ ἐν τῷ αὐτῷ λόγω ὄντες τοῖς A, B, Γ. ἔστωσαν οἱ Θ, K, Λ· ἰσάχις ἄρα ὁ Θ τὸν Α μετρεῖ καὶ ἑκάτερος τῶν Κ, Λ ἑκάτερον τῶν Β, Γ. όσάχις δὲ ὁ Θ τὸν Α μετρεῖ, τοσαῦται μονάδες ἔστωσαν ἐν τῷ M· καὶ ἑκάτερος ἄρα τῶν K, Λ ἑκάτερον τῶν B, Γ μετρεῖ κατὰ τὰς ἐν τῷ Μ μονάδας. καὶ ἐπεὶ ὁ Θ τὸν Α μετρεῖ κατὰ τὰς ἐν τῷ Μ μονάδας, καὶ ὁ Μ ẳρα τὸν Α μετρεῖ κατὰ τὰς έν τῷ Θ μονάδας. διὰ τὰ αὐτὰ δὴ ὁ Μ καὶ ἑκάτερον τῶν Β, Γ μετρεῖ κατὰ τὰς ἐν ἑκατέρω τῶν Κ, Λ μονάδας· ὁ Μ ἄρα τούς Α, Β, Γ μετρεῖ. καὶ ἐπεὶ ὁ Θ τὸν Α μετρεῖ κατὰ τὰς έν τῷ Μ μονάδας, ὁ Θ ἄρα τὸν Μ πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Α πεποίηκεν. διὰ τὰ αὐτὰ δὴ καὶ <br/> ὁ Ε τὸν  $\Delta$  πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Α πεποίηχεν. ἴσος ἄρα ἐστὶν ὁ ἐκ τῶν Ε, Δ τῷ ἐκ τῶν Θ, Μ. ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ὁ Ε πρὸς τὸν Θ, οὕτως ὁ Μ πρὸς τὸν  $\Delta$ . μείζων δὲ ὁ Ε τοῦ Θ· μείζων ἄρα καὶ ὁ M τοῦ  $\Delta$ . καὶ μετρεῖ τοὺς Α, Β, Γ· ὅπερ ἐστὶν ἀδύνατον· ὑπόκειται γὰρ ὁ Δ τῶν Α, Β, Γ τὸ μέγιστον χοινὸν μέτρον. οὐχ ἄρα έσονταί τινες τῶν Ε, Ζ, Η ἐλάσσονες ἀριθμοὶ ἐν τῷ αὐτῷ λόγω ὄντες τοῖς Α, Β, Γ. οἱ Ε, Ζ, Η ἄρα ἐλάχιστοί εἰσι τῶν τὸν αὐτὸν λόγον ἐχόντων τοῖς Α, Β, Γ· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

## λδ'.

Δύο ἀριθμῶν δοθέντων εὑρεῖν, δν ἐλάχιστον μετροῦσιν ἀριθμόν.

Έστωσαν οἱ δοθέντες δύο ἀριθμοὶ οἱ Α, Β· δεῖ δὴ εὑρεῖν,

And if not, let the greatest common measure, D, of A, B, and C have be taken [Prop. 7.3]. And as many times as D measures A, B, C, so many units let there be in E, F, G, respectively. And thus E, F, G measure A, B, C, respectively, according to the units in D [Prop. 7.15]. Thus, E, F, G measure A, B, C (respectively) an equal number of times. Thus, E, F, G are in the same ratio as A, B, C (respectively) [Def. 7.20]. So I say that (they are) also the least (of those numbers having the same ratio as A, B, C). For if E, F, G are not the least of those (numbers) having the same ratio as A, B, C (respectively), then there will be [some] numbers less than E, F, G which are in the same ratio as A, B, C(respectively). Let them be H, K, L. Thus, H measures A the same number of times that K, L also measure B, C, respectively. And as many times as H measures A, so many units let there be in M. Thus, K, L measure B, C, respectively, according to the units in M. And since H measures A according to the units in M, M thus also measures A according to the units in H [Prop. 7.15]. So, for the same (reasons), M also measures B, C according to the units in K, L, respectively. Thus, M measures A, B, and C. And since H measures A according to the units in M, H has thus made A (by) multiplying M. So, for the same (reasons), E has also made A (by) multiplying D. Thus, the (number created) from (multiplying) E and D is equal to the (number created) from (multiplying) H and M. Thus, as E (is) to H, so M (is) to D [Prop. 7.19]. And E (is) greater than H. Thus, M(is) also greater than D [Prop. 5.13]. And (M) measures A, B, and C. The very thing is impossible. For D was assumed (to be) the greatest common measure of A, B, and C. Thus, there cannot be any numbers less than E, F, G which are in the same ratio as A, B, C (respectively). Thus, E, F, G are the least of (those numbers) having the same ratio as A, B, C (respectively). (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

#### **Proposition 34**

To find the least number which two given numbers (both) measure.

Let A and B be the two given numbers. So it is re-

ὃν ἐλάχιστον μετροῦσιν ἀριθμόν.



Οί Α, Β γὰρ ἤτοι πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰσὶν ἢ οὕ. έστωσαν πρότερον οἱ Α, Β πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους, καὶ ὁ Α τὸν Β πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Γ ποιείτω· καὶ ὁ Β ἄρα τὸν Α πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Γ πεποίηχεν. οἱ Α, Β ἄρα τὸν Γ μετροῦσιν. λέγω δή, ὅτι καὶ ἐλάχιστον. εἰ γὰρ μή, μετρήσουσί τινα ἀριθμὸν οἱ Α, Β ἐλάσσονα ὄντα τοῦ Γ. μετρείτωσαν τὸν Δ. καὶ ὁσάχις ὁ Α τὸν Δ μετρεῖ, τοσαῦται μονάδες έστωσαν έν τῷ Ε, ὁσάχις δὲ ὁ Β τὸν Δ μετρεῖ, τοσαῦται μονάδες ἕστωσαν ἐν τῷ Ζ. ὁ μὲν Α ἄρα τὸν Ε πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν  $\Delta$  πεποίηχεν, ὁ δὲ B τὸν Z πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν  $\Delta$  πεποίηκεν· ἴσος ἄρα ἐστὶν ὁ ἐκ τῶν A, E τῷ ἐκ τῶν B, Z. ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ὁ Α πρὸς τὸν Β, οὕτως ὁ Ζ πρὸς τὸν Ε. οἱ δὲ Α, Β πρῶτοι, οἱ δὲ πρῶτοι καὶ ἐλάχιστοι, οἱ δὲ έλάγιστοι μετροῦσι τοὺς τὸν αὐτὸν λόγον ἔγοντας ἰσάχις ὅ τε μείζων τὸν μείζονα καὶ ὁ ἐλάσσων τὸν ἐλάσσονα· ὁ Β ἄρα τὸν E μετρεĩ, ὡς ἑπόμενος ἑπόμενον. καὶ ἐπεὶ ὁ Α τοὺς B, Ε πολλαπλασιάσας τοὺς Γ, Δ πεποίηκεν, ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ό B πρός τὸν E, οὕτως ὁ  $\Gamma$  πρὸς τὸν  $\Delta$ . μετρεῖ δὲ ὁ B τὸν Ε· μετρεῖ ἄρα καὶ <br/>ὑ Γ τὸν Δ ὁ μείζων τὸν ἐλάσσονα· ὅπερ έστιν άδύνατον. ούκ άρα οι Α, Β μετροῦσί τινα ἀριθμὸν έλάσσονα ὄντα τοῦ Γ. ὁ Γ ἄρα ἐλάγιστος ὢν ὑπὸ τῶν Α, Β μετρεῖται.



Μή ἔστωσαν δή οἱ Α, Β πρῶτοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους,

quired to find the least number which they (both) measure.



For A and B are either prime to one another, or not. Let them, first of all, be prime to one another. And let Amake C (by) multiplying B. Thus, B has also made C(by) multiplying A [Prop. 7.16]. Thus, A and B (both) measure C. So I say that (C) is also the least (number which they both measure). For if not, A and B will (both) measure some (other) number which is less than C. Let them (both) measure D (which is less than C). And as many times as A measures D, so many units let there be in E. And as many times as B measures D, so many units let there be in F. Thus, A has made D(by) multiplying E, and B has made D (by) multiplying F. Thus, the (number created) from (multiplying) A and E is equal to the (number created) from (multiplying) B and F. Thus, as A (is) to B, so F (is) to E [Prop. 7.19]. And A and B are prime (to one another), and prime (numbers) are the least (of those numbers having the same ratio) [Prop. 7.21], and the least (numbers) measure those (numbers) having the same ratio (as them) an equal number of times, the greater (measuring) the greater, and the lesser the lesser [Prop. 7.20]. Thus, B measures E, as the following (number measuring) the following. And since A has made C and D (by) multiplying B and E (respectively), thus as B is to E, so C(is) to D [Prop. 7.17]. And B measures E. Thus, C also measures D, the greater (measuring) the lesser. The very thing is impossible. Thus, A and B do not (both) measure some number which is less than C. Thus, C is the least (number) which is measured by (both) A and B.



So let A and B be not prime to one another. And χαὶ εἰλήφθωσαν ἐλάχιστοι ἀριθμοὶ τῶν τὸν αὐτὸν λόγον let the least numbers, F and E, have been taken having έχόντων τοῖς A, B ol Z, E ἴσος ἄρα ἐστὶν ὁ ἐx τῶν A, E τῷ the same ratio as A and B (respectively) [Prop. 7.33].

έκ τῶν Β, Ζ. καὶ ὁ Α τὸν Ε πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Γ ποιείτω· καὶ ὁ Β ἄρα τὸν Ζ πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Γ πεποίηκεν· οἱ Α, Β ἄρα τὸν Γ μετροῦσιν. λέγω δή, ὅτι καὶ ἐλάχιστον. εἰ γὰρ μή, μετρήσουσί τινα ἀριθμὸν οἱ Α, Β ἐλάσσονα ὄντα τοῦ Γ. μετρείτωσαν τὸν Δ. καὶ ۂσάκις μὲν ۂ Α τὸν Δ μετρεῖ, τοσαῦται μονάδες ἔστωσαν ἐν τῷ Η, ὑσάκις δὲ ὁ B τὸν  $\Delta$ μετρεῖ, τοσαῦται μονάδες ἔστωσαν ἐν τῷ Θ. ὁ μὲν Α ἄρα τὸν Η πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν Δ<br/> πεποίηχεν, ὁ δὲ Β τὸν Θ πολλαπλασιάσας τὸν  $\Delta$  πεποίηκεν. ἴσος ἄρα ἐστὶν ὁ ἐκ τῶν A, Η τῷ ἐχ τῶν B,  $\Theta$ · ἔστιν ἄρα ὡς ὁ A πρὸς τὸν B, οὕτως ό Θ πρός τὸν Η. ὡς δὲ ὁ Α πρὸς τὸν Β, οὕτως ὁ Ζ πρὸς τὸν Ε· καὶ ὡς ἄρα ὁ Ζ πρὸς τὸν Ε, οὕτως ὁ Θ πρὸς τὸν Η. οἱ δὲ Ζ, Ε ἐλάχιστοι, οἱ δὲ ἐλάχιστοι μετροῦσι τοὺς τὸν αὐτὸν λόγον ἔχοντας ἰσάχις ὅ τε μείζων τὸν μείζονα χαὶ ὁ έλάσσων τὸν ἐλάσσονα· ὁ Ε ἄρα τὸν Η μετρεĩ. καὶ ἐπεὶ ὁ Α τούς Ε, Η πολλαπλασιάσας τούς Γ, Δ πεποίηχεν, ἕστιν ἄρα ὡς ὁ Ε πρὸς τὸν Η, οὕτως ὁ Γ πρὸς τὸν Δ. ὁ δὲ Ε τὸν Η μετρεί και ό  $\Gamma$  άρα τὸν  $\Delta$  μετρεί ὁ μείζων τὸν ἐλάσσονα. ὅπερ ἐστὶν ἀδύνατον. οὐκ ἄρα οἱ Α, Β μετρήσουσί τινα άριθμὸν ἐλάσσονα ὄντα τοῦ Γ. ὁ Γ ἄρα ἐλάγιστος ὢν ὑπὸ τῶν Α, Β μετρεῖται· ὅπερ ἔπει δεῖξαι.

λε΄.

Έὰν δύο ἀριθμοὶ ἀριθμόν τινα μετρῶσιν, καὶ ὁ ἐλάχιστος ὑπ' αὐτῶν μετρούμενος τὸν αὐτὸν μετρήσει.



Δύο γὰρ ἀριθμοὶ οἱ A, B ἀριθμόν τινα τὸν ΓΔ μετρείτωσαν, ἐλάχιστον δὲ τὸν Ε· λέγω, ὅτι καὶ ὁ Ε τὸν ΓΔ μετρεĩ.

Εἰ γὰρ οὐ μετρεῖ ὁ Ε τὸν ΓΔ, ὁ Ε τὸν ΔΖ μετρῶν λειπέτω ἑαυτοῦ ἐλάσσονα τὸν ΓΖ. καὶ ἐπεὶ οἱ Α, Β τὸν Ε μετροῦσιν, ὁ δὲ Ε τὸν ΔΖ μετρεῖ, καὶ οἱ Α, Β ἄρα τὸν ΔΖ μετρήσουσιν. μετροῦσι δὲ καὶ ὅλον τὸν ΓΔ· καὶ λοιπὸν ἄρα τὸν ΓΖ μετρήσουσιν ἐλάσσονα ὄντα τοῦ Ε· ὅπερ ἐστὶν ἀδύνατον. οὐκ ἄρα οὐ μετρεῖ ὁ Ε τὸν ΓΔ· μετρεῖ ἄρα· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι. Thus, the (number created) from (multiplying) A and Eis equal to the (number created) from (multiplying) Band F [Prop. 7.19]. And let A make C (by) multiplying E. Thus, B has also made C (by) multiplying F. Thus, A and B (both) measure C. So I say that (C) is also the least (number which they both measure). For if not, Aand B will (both) measure some number which is less than C. Let them (both) measure D (which is less than C). And as many times as A measures D, so many units let there be in G. And as many times as B measures D, so many units let there be in H. Thus, A has made D(by) multiplying G, and B has made D (by) multiplying H. Thus, the (number created) from (multiplying) A and G is equal to the (number created) from (multiplying) Band H. Thus, as A is to B, so H (is) to G [Prop. 7.19]. And as A (is) to B, so F (is) to E. Thus, also, as F (is) to E, so H (is) to G. And F and E are the least (numbers having the same ratio as A and B), and the least (numbers) measure those (numbers) having the same ratio an equal number of times, the greater (measuring) the greater, and the lesser the lesser [Prop. 7.20]. Thus, E measures G. And since A has made C and D (by) multiplying E and G (respectively), thus as E is to G, so C(is) to D [Prop. 7.17]. And E measures G. Thus, C also measures D, the greater (measuring) the lesser. The very thing is impossible. Thus, A and B do not (both) measure some (number) which is less than C. Thus, C (is) the least (number) which is measured by (both) A and B. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

#### **Proposition 35**

If two numbers (both) measure some number then the least (number) measured by them will also measure the same (number).



For let two numbers, A and B, (both) measure some number CD, and (let) E (be the) least (number measured by both A and B). I say that E also measures CD.

For if E does not measure CD then let E leave CF less than itself (in) measuring DF. And since A and B (both) measure E, and E measures DF, A and B will thus also measure DF. And (A and B) also measure the whole of CD. Thus, they will also measure the remainder CF, which is less than E. The very thing is impossible. Thus, E cannot not measure CD. Thus, (E) measures

λς'.

Τριῶν ἀριθμῶν δοθέντων εὑρεῖν, ὃν ἐλάχιστον μετροῦσιν ἀριθμόν.

Έστωσαν οἱ δοθέντες τρεῖς ἀριθμοὶ οἱ Α, Β, Γ· δεῖ δὴ εὑρεῖν, ὃν ἐλάχιστον μετροῦσιν ἀριθμόν.



Εἰλήφθω γὰρ ὑπὸ δύο τῶν Α, Β ἐλάχιστος μετρούμενος ὁ Δ. ὁ δὴ Γ τὸν Δ ἤτοι μετρεῖ ἢ οὐ μετρεῖ. μετρείτω πρότερον. μετροῦσι δὲ xaì oἱ Α, Β τὸν Δ· οἱ Α, Β, Γ ἄρα τὸν Δ μετροῦσιν. λέγω δή, ὅτι xaì ἐλάχιστον. εἰ γὰρ μή, μετρήσουσιν [τινα] ἀριθμὸν οἱ Α, Β, Γ ἑλάσσονα ὄντα τοῦ Δ. μετρείτωσαν τὸν Ε. ἐπεὶ οἱ Α, Β, Γ τὸν Ε μετροῦσιν, xaì οἱ Α, Β ἄρα τὸν Ε μετροῦσιν. xaì ὁ ἐλάχιστος ἄρα ὑπὸ τῶν Α, Β μετρούμενος [τὸν Ε] μετρήσει. ἐλάχιστος δὲ ὑπὸ τῶν Α, Β μετρούμενος [τὸν Ε] μετρήσει. ἐλάχιστος δὲ ὑπὸ τῶν Α, Β μετρούμενος ἔστιν ὁ Δ· ὁ Δ ἄρα τὸν Ε μετρήσει ὁ μείζων τὸν ἐλάσσονα· ὅπερ ἐστὶν ἀδύνατον. οὐx ἄρα οἱ Α, Β, Γ μετρήσουσί τινα ἀριθμὸν ἐλάσσονα ὄντα τοῦ Δ· οἱ Α, Β, Γ ἅρα ἐλάχιστον τὸν Δ μετροῦσιν.

Μὴ μετρείτω δὴ πάλιν ὁ Γ τὸν Δ, καὶ εἰλήφθω ὑπὸ τῶν  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Delta$  ἐλάγιστος μετρούμενος ἀριθμὸς ὁ Ε. ἐπεὶ οἱ Α, Β τὸν  $\Delta$  μετροῦσιν, ὁ δ<br/>ὲ  $\Delta$  τὸν Ε μετρεῖ, καὶ οἱ Α, Β ẳρα τὸν Ε μετροῦσιν. μετρεῖ δὲ καὶ ὁ Γ [τὸν Ε· καὶ] οἱ A, B, Γ ἄρα τὸν Ε μετροῦσιν. λέγω δή, ὅτι καὶ ἐλάχιστον. εἰ γὰρ μή, μετρήσουσί τινα οἱ Α, Β, Γ ἐλάσσονα ὄντα τοῦ Ε. μετρείτωσαν τὸν Ζ. ἐπεὶ οἱ Α, Β, Γ τὸν Ζ μετροῦσιν, καὶ οἱ A, B ἄρα τὸν Z μετροῦσιν· καὶ ὁ ἐλάγιστος ἄρα ὑπὸ τῶν A, B μετρούμενος τὸν Z μετρήσει. ἐλάχιστος δὲ ὑπὸ τῶν A, B μετρούμενός ἐστιν ὁ  $\Delta$ · ὁ  $\Delta$  ἄρα τὸν Z μετρεĩ. μετρεĩ δ<br/>ἑ καὶ ὁ Γ τὸν Ζ· οἱ Δ, Γ ẳρα τὸν Ζ μετροῦσιν· ὥστε καὶ ὁ έλάχιστος ὑπὸ τῶν Δ, Γ μετρούμενος τὸν Ζ μετρήσει. ὁ δὲ ἐλάχιστος ὑπὸ τῶν Γ, Δ<br/> μετρούμενός ἐστιν ὁ Ε· ὁ Ε ἄρα τὸν Ζ μετρεῖ ὁ μείζων τὸν ἐλάσσονα· ὅπερ ἐστὶν ἀδύνατον. ούκ ἄρα οἱ Α, Β, Γ μετρήσουσί τινα ἀριθμὸν ἐλάσσονα ὄντα τοῦ Ε. ὁ Ε ἄρα ἐλάχιστος ὢν ὑπὸ τῶν Α, Β, Γ μετρεῖται· ὄπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

(CD). (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

## **Proposition 36**

To find the least number which three given numbers (all) measure.

Let A, B, and C be the three given numbers. So it is required to find the least number which they (all) measure.



For let the least (number), D, measured by the two (numbers) A and B have been taken [Prop. 7.34]. So C either measures, or does not measure, D. Let it, first of all, measure (D). And A and B also measure D. Thus, A, B, and C (all) measure D. So I say that (D is) also the least (number measured by A, B, and C). For if not, A, B, and C will (all) measure [some] number which is less than D. Let them measure E (which is less than D). Since A, B, and C (all) measure E then A and B thus also measure E. Thus, the least (number) measured by A and B will also measure [E] [Prop. 7.35]. And D is the least (number) measured by A and B. Thus, Dwill measure E, the greater (measuring) the lesser. The very thing is impossible. Thus, A, B, and C cannot (all) measure some number which is less than D. Thus, A, B, and C (all) measure the least (number) D.

So, again, let C not measure D. And let the least number, E, measured by C and D have been taken [Prop. 7.34]. Since A and B measure D, and D measures E, A and B thus also measure E. And C also measures [E]. Thus, A, B, and C [also] measure E. So I say that (E is) also the least (number measured by A, B, and C). For if not, A, B, and C will (all) measure some (number) which is less than E. Let them measure F (which is less than E). Since A, B, and C (all) measure F, A and Bthus also measure F. Thus, the least (number) measured by A and B will also measure F [Prop. 7.35]. And Dis the least (number) measured by A and B. Thus, Dmeasures F. And C also measures F. Thus, D and C(both) measure F. Hence, the least (number) measured by D and C will also measure F [Prop. 7.35]. And E

is the least (number) measured by C and D. Thus, E measures F, the greater (measuring) the lesser. The very thing is impossible. Thus, A, B, and C cannot measure some number which is less than E. Thus, E (is) the least (number) which is measured by A, B, and C. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

#### **Proposition 37**

If a number is measured by some number then the (number) measured will have a part called the same as the measuring (number).



 $\omega$  For let the number *A* be measured by some number *B*. I say that *A* has a part called the same as *B*.

For as many times as B measures A, so many units let there be in C. Since B measures A according to the units in C, and the unit D also measures C according to the units in it, the unit D thus measures the number C as many times as B (measures) A. Thus, alternately, the unit D measures the number B as many times as C(measures) A [Prop. 7.15]. Thus, which(ever) part the unit D is of the number B, C is also the same part of A. And the unit D is a part of the number B called the same as it (*i.e.*, a Bth part). Thus, C is also a part of A called the same as B (*i.e.*, C is the Bth part of A). Hence, A has a part C which is called the same as B (*i.e.*, A has a Bth part). (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

#### **Proposition 38**

If a number has any part whatever then it will be measured by a number called the same as the part.



For let the number A have any part whatever, B. And let the [number] C be called the same as the part B (*i.e.*, B is the Cth part of A). I say that C measures A.

For since B is a part of A called the same as C, and the unit D is also a part of C called the same as it (*i.e.*,

λζ΄.

Έὰν ἀριθμὸς ὑπό τινος ἀριθμοῦ μετρῆται, ὁ μετρούμενος ὑμώνυμον μέρος ἕξει τῷ μετροῦντι.



Άριθμὸς γάρ ὁ Α ὑπό τινος ἀριθμοῦ τοῦ Β μετρείσθω· λέγω, ὅτι ὁ Α ὁμώνυμον μέρος ἔχει τῷ Β.

Όσάχις γὰρ ὁ B τὸν A μετρεĩ, τοσαῦται μονάδες ἔστωσαν ἐν τῷ Γ. ἑπεὶ ὁ B τὸν A μετρεῖ κατὰ τὰς ἐν τῷ Γ μονάδας, μετρεῖ δὲ καὶ ἡ Δ μονὰς τὸν Γ ἀριθμὸν κατὰ τὰς ἐν αὐτῷ μονάδας, ἰσάκις ἄρα ἡ Δ μονὰς τὸν Γ ἀριθμὸν μετρεῖ καὶ ὁ B τὸν A. ἐναλλὰξ ἄρα ἰσάκις ἡ Δ μονὰς τὸν B ἀριθμὸν μετρεῖ καὶ ὁ Γ τὸν A· δ ἄρα μέρος ἐστὶν ἡ Δ μονὰς τοῦ B ἀριθμοῦ, τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἐστὶ καὶ ὁ Γ τοῦ A. ἡ δὲ Δ μονὰς τοῦ B ἀριθμοῦ μέρος ἐστὶν ὁμώνυμον αὐτῷ καὶ ὁ Γ ἄρα τοῦ A μέρος ἐστὶν ὁμώνυμον τῷ B. ὥστε ὁ A μέρος ἔχει τὸν Γ ὑμώνυμον ὄντα τῷ B· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι.

λη'.

Έὰν ἀριθμος μέρος ἔχῃ ὅτιοῦν, ὑπὸ ὁμωνύμου ἀριθμοῦ μετρηθήσεται τῷ μέρει.



Ἀριθμὸς γὰρ ὁ A μέρος ἐχέτω ὁτιοῦν τὸν B, καὶ τῷ B μέρει ὁμώνυμος ἔστω [ἀριθμὸς] ὁ  $\Gamma$ · λέγω, ὅτι ὁ  $\Gamma$  τὸν A μετρεĩ.

Έπεὶ γὰρ ὁ B τοῦ A μέρος ἐστὶν ὁμώνυμον τῷ Γ, ἔστι δὲ καὶ ἡ Δ μονὰς τοῦ Γ μέρος ὁμώνυμον αὐτῷ, ὃ ẳρα μέρος

ἐστὶν ἡ  $\Delta$  μονὰς τοῦ Γ ἀριθμοῦ, τὸ αὐτὸ μέρος ἐστὶ xaì ὁ B τοῦ A· ἰσάχις ἄρα ἡ  $\Delta$  μονὰς τὸν Γ ἀριθμὸν μετρεῖ xaì ὁ B τὸν A. ἐναλλὰξ ἄρα ἰσάχις ἡ  $\Delta$  μονὰς τὸν B ἀριθμὸν μετρεῖ χαὶ ὁ Γ τὸν A. ὁ Γ ἄρα τὸν Α μετρεῖ· ὅπερ ἔδει δεἰξαι.

#### λθ'.

Αριθμόν εὐρεῖν, ὃς ἐλάχιστος ὢν ἕξει τὰ δοθέντα μέρη.



Έστω τὰ δοθέντα μέρη τὰ Α, Β, Γ δεῖ δὴ ἀριθμὸν εὑρεῖν, ὅς ἐλάχιστος ὢν ἕξει τὰ Α, Β, Γ μέρη.

Έστωσαν γὰρ τοῖς A, B,  $\Gamma$  μέρεσιν ὑμώνυμοι ἀριθμοὶ οἱ  $\Delta$ , E, Z, καὶ εἰλήφθω ὑπὸ τῶν  $\Delta$ , E, Z ἐλάχιστος μετρούμενος ἀριθμὸς ὁ H.

Ο Η ἄρα ὑμώνυμα μέρη ἔχει τοῖς Δ, Ε, Ζ. τοῖς δὲ Δ, Ε, Ζ ὑμώνυμα μέρη ἐστὶ τὰ Α, Β, Γ· ὁ Η ἄρα ἔχει τὰ Α, Β, Γ μέρη. λέγω δή, ὅτι καὶ ἐλάχιστος ὤν, εἰ γὰρ μή, ἔσται τις τοῦ Η ἐλάσσων ἀριθμός, ὅς ἕξει τὰ Α, Β, Γ μέρη. ἔστω ὑ Θ. ἐπεὶ ὁ Θ ἔχει τὰ Α, Β, Γ μέρη, ὁ Θ ἄρα ὑπὸ ὑμωνύμων ἀριθμῶν μετρηθήσεται τοῖς Α, Β, Γ μέρεσιν. τοῖς δὲ Α, Β, Γ μέρεσιν ὑμώνυμοι ἀριθμοί εἰσιν οἱ Δ, Ε, Ζ· ὁ Θ ἄρα ὑπὸ τῶν Δ, Ε, Ζ μετρεῖται. καί ἐστιν ἐλάσσων τοῦ Η· ὅπερ ἐστὶν ἀδύνατον. οὐχ ἄρα ἔσται τις τοῦ Η ἐλάσσων ἀριθμός, ὅς ἕξει τὰ Α, Β, Γ μέρη· ὅπερ ἔδει δεῖξαι. *D* is the *C*th part of *C*), thus which(ever) part the unit *D* is of the number *C*, *B* is also the same part of *A*. Thus, the unit *D* measures the number *C* as many times as *B* (measures) *A*. Thus, alternately, the unit *D* measures the number *B* as many times as *C* (measures) *A* [Prop. 7.15]. Thus, *C* measures *A*. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.

#### **Proposition 39**

To find the least number that will have given parts.



Let A, B, and C be the given parts. So it is required to find the least number which will have the parts A, B, and C (*i.e.*, an Ath part, a Bth part, and a Cth part).

For let D, E, and F be numbers having the same names as the parts A, B, and C (respectively). And let the least number, G, measured by D, E, and F, have been taken [Prop. 7.36].

Thus, G has parts called the same as D, E, and F [Prop. 7.37]. And A, B, and C are parts called the same as D, E, and F (respectively). Thus, G has the parts A, B, and C. So I say that (G) is also the least (number having the parts A, B, and C). For if not, there will be some number less than G which will have the parts A, B, and C. Let it be H. Since H has the parts A, B, and C, H will thus be measured by numbers called the same as the parts A, B, and C [Prop. 7.38]. And D, E, and F are numbers called the same as the parts A, B, and C (respectively). Thus, H is measured by D, E, and F. And (H) is less than G. The very thing is impossible. Thus, there cannot be some number less than G which will have the parts A, B, and C. (Which is) the very thing it was required to show.